THIS CHAPTER HAS LINE ITEM VETOED MATERIAL

Line item vetoed material shown BOLDED, ITALICIZED and underlined.

House Engrossed

State of Arizona House of Representatives Forty-fifth Legislature First Regular Session 2001

CHAPTER 236

HOUSE BILL 2631

AN ACT

MAKING APPROPRIATIONS FOR THE DIFFERENT DEPARTMENTS OF THE STATE, FOR STATE INSTITUTIONS AND FOR PUBLIC SCHOOLS; PROVIDING FOR CERTAIN REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.

(TEXT OF BILL BEGINS ON NEXT PAGE)

- i -

Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Arizona:

Section 1. Subject to applicable laws, the sums or sources of revenue set forth in this act are appropriated for the fiscal years indicated for the purposes and objects specified and the performance measures are indicated as legislative intent.

Sec. 2. BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY

FTE positions	2001-02 10.0	2002-03 10.0
Lump sum appropriation	\$ 2,105,200**	\$ 2,071,900
Fund sources:		
Board of accountancy fund	\$ 2,105,200	\$ 2,071,900
Performance measures:		
Number of licensees (new and existing)	11,250	11,250
Number of complaints received about licensees	1,000	1,000
Average calendar days to resolve a complaint	180	180
Number of investigations of licensees	500	500
Average calendar days to renew a license		
(from receipt of application to issuance)	1.0	1.0
Administration as a per cent of total cost	0.7	0.7
Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8)	6.0	6.0

The agency shall report by June 30, 2002 to the joint legislative budget committee on progress made in evaluating the equity of the board's fee structure in relation to asset management. The report shall include a plan to bring agency expenditures in line with revenues.

Sec. 3. ACUPUNCTURE BOARD OF EXAMINERS

	<u> 2001 - 02</u>	<u> 2002-03</u>
FTE positions	0.5	0.5
Lump sum appropriation	\$ 50,400**	\$ 50,400
Fund sources:		
Acupuncture board of examiners fund	\$ 50,400	\$ 50,400
Performance measures:		
Number of licensees (new and existing)	225	225
Number of complaints received about licensees	10	15
Average calendar days to resolve a complaint	90	90
Number of investigations	20	30
Average calendar days to renew a license		
(from receipt of application to issuance)	60	60
Administration as a per cent of total cost	10	10
Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8)	6.0	6.0

- 1 -

1	Sec. 4. DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION			
2			2001-02	2002-03
3	<u>General fund</u>			
4	FTE positions		409.2	413.2
5	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 20	,030,300	\$ 20,220,200
6	ENSCO		,586,700	4,586,100
7	Arizona financial information		, ,	, ,
8	system	1	,906,100	1,934,500
9	Total - general fund		,523,100	\$ 26,740,800
10	Performance measures:		,,	, _0,, .0,000
11	Average cycle time for requests for			
12	proposal (RFP) (in days)		80	80
13	Customer satisfaction rating for the		00	00
14	quality of contracts (Scale 1-8)		6.0	6.0
15	Customer satisfaction rating for		0.0	0.0
16	purchasing services (Scale 1-8)		7.5	7.5
17	Customer satisfaction rating for the		7.5	7.5
18	administration of the payroll process			
19	, -		6.6	6.6
	(Scale 1-8)		0.0	0.0
20	Customer satisfaction rating for the		C 0	6.0
21	operation of AFIS (Scale 1-8)		6.0	6.0
22	Average capitol police response time to		0.00	0.00
23	emergency calls (in minutes and seconds)		2:00	2:00
24	Customer satisfaction with GRRC			
25	rulemaking assistance (Scale 1-8)		6.5	6.5
26	Customer satisfaction with tenant			
27	improvement process (Scale 1–8)		6.0	6.0
28	The department may collect an amount			
29	other funding sources, excluding federal fund		•	
30	operating AFIS II. All AFIS II operating c			
31	proportionately distributed among all contribu	uting fu	ınding sour	ces, including
32	the state general fund.			
33	<u>Air quality fund</u>			
34	State employee transportation			
35	service subsidy	\$	475,400	\$ 475,400
36	Performance measures:			
37	Agency sites that achieved their travel			
38	reduction goals		21	22
39	The amounts appropriated for the state	employe	e transpor	tation service
40	subsidy shall be used for up to a one hundr	ed per	cent subs	idy of charges
41	payable for transportation service expenses	as prov	dided in s	ection 41-786,
42	Arizona Revised Statutes, of nonuniversity	state	employees	in a vehicle
43	emissions control area, as defined in sec	ction 4	9-541, Ar	rizona Revised
44	Statutes, of a county with a population of m			
4 -		C+ - +	4	

persons according to the most recent United States decennial census.

- 2 -

45

1	Capital outlay stabilization fund			
2	FTE positions		38.7	53.7
3	Lump sum appropriation	\$		\$ 4,461,100
4	Utilities		6,100,000	6,100,000
5	Relocation		60.000*	60,000*
6	Total - capital outlay stabilization		_	
7	fund	\$	9,007,400	\$ 10,621,100
8	Performance measures:			
9	Customer satisfaction with agency relocation			
10	process (Scale 1–8)		6.0	6.0
11	Customer satisfaction rating for building			
12	maintenance (Scale 1-8)		6.0	6.0
13	Ratio of preventative maintenance work orders			
14	to total maintenance requests		485:15,285	550:15,285
15	<u>Corrections fund</u>		•	
16	FTE positions		9.3	9.3
17	Lump sum appropriation	\$	574,700	\$ 571,600
18	It is the intent of the legislature that	th	•	•
19	the corrections fund be expended solely for t			•
20	projects benefiting the state department of cor			
21	juvenile corrections.			·
22	Motor vehicle pool revolving fund			
23	FTE positions		19.0	19.0
24	Lump sum appropriation	\$	12,494,200	\$ 12,557,700
25	Performance measures:			
26	Per cent of downtime of fleet management			
27	vehicles in total fleet		2.7	2.6
28	It is the intent of the legislature tha	t ·	the departme	nt not replace
29	vehicles until an average of six years and 120			
30	Lease-purchase building operating and			
31	maintenance fund			
32	FTE positions		15.0	- 0 -
33	Lump sum appropriation	\$	1,351,800	\$ - 0 -
34	Technology and telecommunications fund			
35	FTE positions		225.3	225.3
36	Lump sum appropriation	\$	33,572,200	\$ 35,653,500
37	Performance measures:			
38	Customer satisfaction rating for mainframe			
39	services based on annual survey (Scale 1-8)		6.0	6.0
40	Customer satisfaction rating for information			
41	technology security services (Scale 1-8)		6.0	6.0
42	Customer satisfaction rating for finance			
43	and planning services (Scale 1-8)		6.0	6.0
44	Customer satisfaction rating for Arizona			
45	telecommunications system (ATS) (Scale 1-8)		6.0	6.0

- 3 -

2

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

1617

18

Per cent of enterprise application work 95 requests completed by estimated target date 95 The appropriation for the technology and telecommunications fund is an estimate representing all monies, including balance forward, revenue and transfers during fiscal year 2001–2002 and fiscal year 2002–2003. These monies are appropriated to the department of administration for the purposes established in section 41-713, Arizona Revised Statutes. The appropriation shall be adjusted as necessary to reflect receipts credited to the technology and telecommunications fund for Arizona telecommunications system and data center projects. Of the appropriation, \$13,111,100 in fiscal year 2001-2002 fiscal year 2002-2003 are for \$13,812,400 in telecommunications system and \$20,461,100 in fiscal year 2001-2002 and \$21,841,100 in fiscal year 2002-2003 are for all other information technology services expenditures. Expenditures for a 1 1 additional telecommunications system and data center projects shall be subject to review by the joint legislative budget committee, following approval of the government information technology agency, and shall not exceed the revenues for these projects.

19	Risk management revolving fund			
20	FTE positions	91.0		91.0
21	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 6,899,500	\$	6,850,900
22	Attorney general defense – risk			
23	management	6,806,700		6,807,500
24	Risk management losses and related			
25	expenditures	38,549,000		38,998,000
26	Workers' compensation losses and			
27	related expenditures	21,254,200		23,401,000
28	Insurance premiums	 6.910.400	_	6.910.400
29	Total – risk management revolving fund	\$ 80,419,800	\$	82,967,800
30	Performance measures:			
31	Number of settlements and judgments greater			
32	than \$150,000	25		25
33	Number of liability claims opened	4,200		4,200
34	Cost of risk per capita	14.3		14.3
35	Workers' compensation incidence rates/100			
36	FTE positions	6.0		6.0
37	Per cent of workers' compensation claims			
38	reported within 48 hours	75		75
39	Personnel division fund			
40	FTE positions	132.0		135.0
41	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 7,346,300	\$	7,362,600
42	Human resources management system	 4,602,900	_	7,215,800
43	Total – personnel division fund	\$ 11,949,200	\$	14,578,400

- 4 -

1	Performance measures:		
2	Average number of days to issue list of		
3	job applicants from Resumix to inquir		2.0
4	agency	2.0	2.0
5	Number of employees attending Arizona	F 0.7	C
6 7	healthways events	597	657
8	The human resources management system \$2,900,000 in fiscal year 2001-2002 and \$	•	
9	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•
9 10	to replace the human resources/payroll syssection 35–190, Arizona Revised Sta		·
11		lutes, relating to	o rapsing or
12	appropriations until June 30, 2004.	for nonlacement	of the human
13	Before the expenditure of funds	·	
13 14	resources/payroll system, the Arizona d	•	
15	submit a report for review to the jo detailing the expenditure plan for		
16	resources/payroll system.	the repracement t	or the numan
17	Special employee health insurance		
18	trust fund		
19	FTE positions	23.5	23.5
20	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 3,292,300	\$ 3,293,300
21	Performance measures:	\$ 3,292,300	\$ 3,293,300
22	Customer satisfaction with benefit plans		
23	(Scale 1-8)	6.8	7.0
24	Customer satisfaction with the open	0.0	7.0
25	enrollment process (Scale 1-8)	6.8	7.0
26	State surplus materials revolving	0.0	7.0
27	<u>fund and federal surplus material</u>	c	
28	<u>revolving fund</u>	<u> </u>	
29	FTE positions	23.0	23.0
30	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 4,222,200	\$ 4,222,900
31	The state surplus materials rev		
32	materials revolving fund lump sum app		•
33	necessary to reflect surplus property	•	
34	agencies. The current estimate of this a	•	
35	2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003 and		
36	Total appropriation - department of	3 meruded in the up	opropriacion.
37	administration	\$183,882,300	\$191,682,500
38	Fund sources:	\$100,002,000	¥131,002,000
39	State general fund	\$ 26,523,100	\$ 26,740,800
40	Other appropriated funds	157,359,200	164,941,700
41	Performance measures:	107,003,200	101,311,700
42	Per cent of ADOA services receiving a goo	nd	
43	(6) or better rating from customers, k		
44	on biennial survey (Scale 1–8)	85	85
	on brommar survey (source 1 o)	33	33

- 5 -

1 2 3	Customer satisfaction with ADOA's facilitatio of the flow of information from the agency the public, community organizations and				
4	other governmental agencies (Scale 1-8)		6.3		6.5
5	Per cent of agency staff turnover		13.5		13.5
6	Administration as a per cent of total cost		1.9		1.9
7	Sec. 5. OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS		1.9		1.9
8	Sec. S. Office of Administrative Hearings		2001-02		<u> 2002 - 03</u>
9	FTE positions		34.0		34.0
10	Lump sum appropriation	\$	2,364,500	\$	
11	Fund sources:	•	2,001,000	•	2,001,100
12	State general fund	\$	1,232,100	\$	1,231,900
13	Office of administrative hearings	·	1,101,100	•	1,201,000
14	fund		1,118,600		1,118,400
15	AHCCCS donations fund		13,800		13,800
16	Performance measures:		,,,,,,,		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
17	Number of hearings held		3,466		3,466
18	Average days from request for hearing to				
19	first date of hearing		46		46
20	Average days from the first scheduled				
21	hearing to its conclusion		10		10
22	Average days from the conclusion of the				
23	hearing to transmission of the decision				
24	to the agency		9.0		9.0
25	Evaluations rating the administrative law				
26	judge excellent or good in impartiality		96		97
27	Administration as a per cent of total cost		7.9		8.0
28	Sec. 6. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE				
29			<u> 2001 - 02</u>		<u> 2002-03</u>
30	FTE positions		308.6		308.6
31	Lump sum appropriation	\$	14,910,600	\$	14,879,900
32	Agricultural employment relations				
33	board		23,300		23,300
34	Animal damage control		65,000		65,000
35	Red imported fire ant	_	355,500	_	355,800
36	Total appropriation - department of		15 054 400		15 004 000
37	agriculture	\$	15,354,400	\$	15,324,000
38	Fund sources:	•	10 010 100	•	10 770 600
39	State general fund	Þ	12,810,100	Þ	12,778,600
40 41	Aquaculture fund		9,200 462,500		9,200 462,800
41 42	Egg inspection fund Citrus, fruit and vegetable		402,500		402,000
42	revolving fund		919,800		920,200
73	Tevolving Tunu		J19,000		JLU, LUU

31

32

33

34

35

1	Commercial feed fund	197,100			7,100
2	Fertilizer materials fund	255,000			5,000
3	Livestock custody fund	79,400		79	9,400
4	Pesticide fund	231,900		233	1,900
5	Consulting and training fund	61,300		63	1,400
6	Ratite control fund	8,900		9	9,000
7	Dangerous plants, pests and				
8	diseases fund	21,400		2.	1,400
9	Organic food certification fund	12,500		12	2,500
10	Arizona protected native plant				
11	fund	235,000		23!	5,100
12	Seed law fund	50,300		50	0,400
13	Performance measures:				
14	Per cent of industry stakeholders rating				
15	the department's quality of communication				
16	excellent or good	92			95
17	Meat and poultry product tests in compliance				
18	with bacteria, drug and chemical residue				
19	requirements	100			100
20	Per cent of industry satisfied that the level				
21	of inspection ensures that only quality				
22	produce reaches the market	95			98
23	Number of retailers actively participating in				
24	the "Arizona grown" program	150			150
25	Overall customer satisfaction rating for				
26	laboratory services (per cent)	95			98
27	Per cent of agency staff turnover	10.5			10.5
28	Administration as a per cent of total cost	13.7			13.7
29	Of the lump sum appropriation in fiscal year	2001-2002	and	fiscal	year

Of the lump sum appropriation in fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003, the sum of \$50,000 is appropriated in both fiscal years for the Arizona grown promotion program. Every dollar expended by the department of agriculture for the Arizona grown program shall be matched by at least fifty cents in contributions from the private sector. Any unmatched monies revert to the state general fund.

Sec. 7. ARIZONA HEALTH CARE COST CONTAINMENT SYSTEM

36		2001-02	2002-03
37	<u>Administration</u>		
38	FTE positions	2,478.8	2,491.8
39	Operating lump sum appropriation	\$ 67,419,300	\$ 68,430,700
40	DOA data center charges	5,534,100	5,717,500
41	Indian advisory council	222,900	222,900
42	DES eligibility	44,529,000	44,537,500
43	DES Title XIX pass-through	301,900	302,000

- 7 -

	5110 T113 YTY 11	1 601 000	1 660 400
1	DHS Title XIX pass-through	1,681,000	1,662,400
2	Healthcare group administration	1,300,500	1,300,600
3	Office of administrative hearings	174,200	174,200
4	CHIP – administration	8,623,900	9,001,800
5	CHIP – services	62,131,200	78,292,200
6	Finger imaging	950,000	950.000
7	Total expenditure authority -		
8	administration	\$192,868,000	\$210,591,800
9	Performance measures:		
10	Cost avoidance from fraud and abuse		
11	prevention program	\$ 7,000,000	\$ 7,000,000
12	Per cent of enrollees filing a grievance	0.4	0.4
13	Per cent of eligibility accuracy as		
14	measured by quality control sample	97	97
15	Per cent of AHCCCS employee turnover	11.5	11.5
16	Administration as a per cent of total cost	4.4	4.4
17	Customer satisfaction rating for eligibility		
18	determination clients (Scale 1-8)	6.0	6.0

Of the \$192,868,000 expenditure authority for administration in fiscal year 2001-2002, \$57,593,700 is appropriated from the state general fund, \$1,300,500 is appropriated from the donations fund and \$70,755,100 is appropriated from the children's health insurance program fund. Of the \$210,591,800 expenditure authority for administration in fiscal year 2002-2003, \$57,636,000 is appropriated from the state general fund, \$1,300,600 is appropriated from the donations fund and \$87,294,000 is appropriated from the children's health insurance program fund.

It is the intent of the legislature that the appropriation for the department of administration data center charges be used only for the payment of charges incurred by the department for the use of computing services provided by the department of administration data center.

The amounts appropriated for the department of economic security eligibility special line item shall be used for intergovernmental agreements with the department of economic security for the purpose of eligibility determination and other functions. The general fund share may be used for eligibility determination for other programs administered by the division of benefits and medical eligibility based on the results of the Arizona random moment sampling survey.

The amounts appropriated for the department of health services title XIX pass-through special line item shall be used for intergovernmental agreements with the department of health services for the purpose of medicaid-related licensure, certification and registration, and other functions.

- 8 -

The Arizona health care cost containment system administration shall report by January 1 of each year on the agency's use of the cost savings that results from entering into an agreement with another state as outlined in Laws 1999, chapter 313, section 27. The report shall also include detail on the source of all revenues and expenditure of monies from the intergovernmental service fund.

Before the expenditure of any monies for the Arizona health care cost containment system administration customer eligibility system, the Arizona health care cost containment system administration shall submit a report to the joint legislative budget committee for its review. The report shall discuss how the automation improvements are compatible with the no wrong door initiative.

If federal matching monies are received for the finger imaging enrollment program, the Arizona health care cost containment system shall revert the portion of the state general fund appropriation received equal to the federal dollars received for this program in the year that the federal monies are received.

The Arizona health care cost containment system shall report by September 30 of each year to the joint legislative budget committee on the services that receive reimbursement from the federal government under the medicaid in public school initiative. The report shall include information on the type of services, how those services meet the definition of medical necessity, and the total amount of federal dollars that the schools have received under the medicaid in public school initiative.

25	<u>Acute care</u>		
26	Capitation	\$1,077,731,200	\$1,175,442,800
27	Fee for service	311,501,600	342,685,100
28	Reinsurance	68,420,800	72,584,100
29	Medicare premiums	33,454,100	37,294,000
30	<u>Disproportionate share payments</u>	125.171.800	<i>125.171.800</i>
31	Graduate medical education	21,683,200	22,528,100
32	<u>Premium sharing and health</u>		
33	<u>care group</u>	15,000,000	<i>15,000,000</i>
34	Total expenditure authority -		
35	acute care	\$1,652,962,700	\$1,790,705,900
36	Performance measures:		
37	Per cent of two year old children enrolled		
38	in AHCCCS who have received age		
39	appropriate immunizations	83	83
40	Per cent of well child visits in the first		
41	15 months of life (EPSDT)	75	75
42	Per cent of children's access to primary		
43	care provider	83	83

- 9 -

Per cent of women receiving annual cervical screening 46 46

Member satisfaction as measured by percentage of enrollees that choose to change health plans 4.0 4.0

Of the \$1,652,962,700 expenditure authority for acute care in fiscal year 2001-2002, \$522,694,700 is appropriated from the state general fund. Of the \$1,790,705,900 expenditure authority for acute care in fiscal year 2002-2003, \$564,813,200 is appropriated from the state general fund.

The fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003 disproportionate share payment of \$125,171,800 is based on the federal fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003 authorized expenditure level of \$81,000,000. If the final federal expenditure authorization is an amount different from the estimate, the governor shall direct the Arizona health care cost containment system administration, subject to the availability of monies and subject to the review of the joint legislative budget committee, to proportionately adjust authorization amounts among the identified recipients of the disproportionate share hospital payment. Before the final payment, the governor shall provide notification to the president of the senate, the speaker of the house of representatives, the chairmen of the house and senate appropriations committees and the staff director of the joint legislative budget committee of the adjusted federal authorized expenditure level and the proposed distribution plan for these monies.

Before making fee-for-service program or rate changes that pertain to hospital, nursing facility or home and community based services rates or for any of the other fee-for-service rate categories that have increases that, in the aggregate, are two per cent above and \$1,500,000 from the state general fund greater than budgeted medical inflation in fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003, the Arizona health care cost containment system administration shall report its plan to the joint legislative budget committee for review.

Before implementation of capitation rate changes that have a budgetary impact, the Arizona health care cost containment system administration shall report its plan to the joint legislative budget committee for review.

The \$15,000,000 appropriated in fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003 for premium sharing and health care group is available for the following programs: Premium sharing, health care group, ticket to work, coverage of parents of title XXI eligible children, critical access hospitals, and medicaid breast and cervical cancer coverage for women who are screened under the DHS well women healthcheck program. Monies appropriated to the premium sharing and health care group special line item in fiscal year 2001-2002 are exempt from the provisions of section 35-190, Arizona Revised Statutes, relating to lapsing of appropriations until October 1, 2002.

<u>Long-term care</u>

Program lump sum appropriation \$632,968,900 \$694,667,900 Board of nursing 209,700 209,700

Total expenditure authority -		
long-term care	\$633,178,600	\$694,877,600
Performance measures:		
Per cent of nursing facility residents that		
receive influenza immunization	85	85
Per cent of members utilizing home and		
community based services (HCBS)	49	49
Per cent of ALTCS applications processed on		
time (within 45 days)	90	90
Per cent of financial redeterminations		
processed on time (within 12 months)	90	90

Any federal funds that the Arizona health care cost containment system administration passes through to the department of economic security for use in long-term administration care for the developmentally disabled shall not count against the long-term care expenditure authority above.

Pursuant to section 11-292, subsection B, Arizona Revised Statutes, the fiscal year 2001-2002 nonfederal portion of the costs of providing long-term care system services is \$217,077,800. The state contribution is \$48,318,100 and the county contribution is \$168,759,700. The fiscal year 2002-2003 nonfederal portion of the costs of providing long-term care services is \$237,434,300. The state contribution is \$58,554,700 and the county contribution is \$178,879,600.

Before making fee-for-service program or rate changes that pertain to hospital, nursing facility or home and community based services rates or for any of the other fee-for-service rate categories that have increases that, in the aggregate, are two per cent above and \$1,500,000 from the state general fund greater than budgeted medical inflation in fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003, the Arizona health care cost containment system administration shall report its plan to the joint legislative budget committee for review.

Before implementation of capitation rate changes that have a budgetary impact, the Arizona health care cost containment system administration shall report its plan to the joint legislative budget committee for review.

The administration shall provide the joint legislative budget committee staff an implementation plan for the provider rate adjustment by September 1, 2001.

It is the intent of the legislature that the agency distribute one hundred per cent of the increase intended for providers to contracted community treatment providers.

- 11 -

It is the intent of the legislature that the provider rate increase be incorporated into contracted rates. Since this increase in the contracted rate would not be competitively procured, the adjustment in this section is exempt from the provisions of Arizona Revised Statutes, title 41, chapter 23, related to procurement.

It is the intent of the legislature that the agency allocate funds in this section as a flat percentage increase across the total dollar value of all contracts in eligible categories.

It is the intent of the legislature that monies for the adjustment effective as of October 1, 2001 be allocated only to providers with contracts for eligible services in effect as of October 1, 2001.

It is the intent of the legislature that independent providers are eligible for these increases.

It is the intent of the legislature that community treatment providers allocate the adjustments for salary increases to direct care staff who provide direct care services for more than eighty per cent of their time weekly and who earn less than thirteen dollars per hour.

It is the intent of the legislature that these funds be spent for ongoing pay adjustments and salary-related employee related expenses such as workers' compensation, unemployment insurance, and FICA.

Each contract provider receiving a rate adjustment shall report to the agency by June 1, 2002 on how the adjustment was used. The Arizona health care cost containment system shall summarize this information and report it to the joint legislative budget committee by July 1, 2002.

25	Total expenditure authority	\$	2,479,009,300	\$ 2,696,175,300
26	Less tobacco tax medically needy			
27	account withdrawals		(36,856,900)	(37,329,100)
28	Less collections, other receipts			
29	and balances forward	(1	.741.490.300)	(1,889,247,700)
30	Total appropriation - Arizona health care			
31	cost containment system	\$	700,662,100	\$ 769,598,500
32	Fund sources:			
33	State general fund	\$	628,606,500	\$ 681,003,900
34	Other appropriated funds		72,055,600	88,594,600
35	Performance measures:			
36	Per cent of people under age 65 that are			
37	uninsured		24	24
38	Per cent of children (under 18 years)			
39	that are uninsured		22	22
40	AHCCCS enrollment		583,364	622,705
41	Children's health insurance program			
42	(CHIP) enrollment		45,627	54,558
43	Premium sharing enrollment		7,000	7,000
44	Sec. 8. BOARD OF APPRAISAL			
45			2001-02	<u> 2002-03</u>
46	FTE positions		4.0	4.0

- 12 -

1	Lump sum appropriation	\$	443,600**	\$	445,400
2	Fund sources:				
3	Board of appraisal fund	\$	443,600	\$	445,400
4	Performance measures:				
5	Number of licensees (new and existing)		2,070		2,090
6	Number of complaints received about				
7	licensees		150		150
8	Average calendar days to resolve a complaint		120		115
9	Number of investigations of licensees		72		72
10	Average calendar days to renew a license				
11	(from receipt of application to issuance)		1.0		1.0
12	Administration as a per cent of total cost		1.4		1.4
13	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1–8)		6.0		6.0
14	Sec. 9. ARIZONA COMMISSION ON THE ARTS				
15			<u> 2001-02</u>		2002-03
16	FTE positions		12.5		12.5
17	Lump sum appropriation	\$	612,900	\$	597,200
18	Arts endowment fund		2,000,000		2,000,000
19	Community service projects		1,775,000		1,775,000
20	Total appropriation - Arizona commission		_		_
21	on the arts	\$	4,387,900	\$	4,372,200
22	Performance measures:		, ,		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
23	Audiences reached by programs sponsored				
24	by agency		7,000,000		7,200,000
25	Number of grants awarded		550		560
26	Cumulative private funds raised to match				000
27	state arts endowment fund	\$	17,000,000	\$	19,000,000
28	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8)	Ψ	7.2	Ψ	7.2
29	Administration as a per cent of total cost		2.2		2.2
30	Sec. 10. ATTORNEY GENERAL - DEPARTMENT OF LAW	ı	۲.۲		۲.۲
31	JCC. 10. ATTORNET GENERAL DETARTMENT OF EAR	•	2001-02		2002-03
32	FTE positions		697.5		697.5
33	Operating lump sum appropriation	¢	47,077,600	¢	46,710,300
	State grand jury	Ψ		Ψ	
34 35	Victims' rights		157,700 3,140,500		157,700 3,140,600
36	Alternative fuels				
		_	<u>397,400</u>	_	397,400
37	Total appropriation - attorney general -	4	FO 772 200	4	FO 40C 000
38	department of law	Þ	50,773,200	Þ	50,406,000
39	Fund sources:	φ.	07 415 100	*	07 006 000
40	State general fund	\$	27,415,100	\$	27,296,000
41	Collection enforcement revolving		1 000 000		1 000 500
42	fund		1,998,200		1,998,500
43	Antitrust enforcement revolving				405 500
44	fund		374,400		125,500
45	Victims' rights fund		2,636,100		2,636,200
46	Interagency service agreements				
	- 13 -				

fund	16,648,200	16,648,600
Consumer fraud revolving fund	1,701,200	1,701,200
Performance measures:		
Solicitor general – number of days to respond		
to a request for a legal opinion	70	70
Civil rights – per cent of cases resolved		
using voluntary settlement agreements	25.6	30
Per cent of death penalty and/or sentences		
affirmed by the Arizona supreme court	80	80
Per cent of agency staff turnover	16	16
Administrative cost as per cent of total cost	7.6	7.6
Customer satisfaction rating for client		
agencies (Scale 1-8)	6.0	6.0

The \$157,700 appropriated for state grand jury expenses is for costs incurred pursuant to section 21-428, subsection C, Arizona Revised Statutes. It is the intent of the legislature that state grand jury expenses be limited to the amount appropriated and that a supplemental appropriation will not be provided.

The attorney general shall notify the president of the senate, the speaker of the house of representatives and the joint legislative budget committee before entering into a settlement of \$100,000 or more that will result in the receipt of monies by the attorney general or any other person. The attorney general shall not allocate or expend these monies until the joint legislative budget committee reviews the allocations or expenditures. Settlements that pursuant to statute must be deposited in the state general fund need not be reviewed by the joint legislative budget committee. This paragraph does not apply to actions under title 13, Arizona Revised Statutes, or other criminal matters.

In addition to \$16,648,200 appropriated for fiscal year 2001-2002 and \$16,648,600 appropriated for fiscal year 2002-2003 from the interagency service agreements fund, an additional \$370,000 and 5 FTE positions in fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003 are appropriated from the interagency service agreements fund for new or expanded interagency service agreements. The attorney general shall report to the joint legislative budget committee whenever an interagency service agreement is established that will require expenditures from these additional amounts. The report shall include the name of the agency or entity with which the agreement is made, the dollar amount of the contract by fiscal year and the number of associated FTE positions.

All revenues received by the antitrust enforcement revolving fund in excess of \$374,400 in fiscal year 2001-2002 and \$125,500 in fiscal year 2002-2003 are appropriated. Expenditures from the fund may not exceed \$750,000 in either fiscal year 2001-2002 or fiscal year 2002-2003. Before the expenditure of any antitrust enforcement revolving fund receipts in excess of \$374,400 in fiscal year 2001-2002 and \$125,500 in fiscal year

- 14 -

1 2 3	2002-2003, the attorney general shall submit for review by the joint legislative budget c Sec. 11. AUTO THEFT AUTHORITY			s of	the monies
4	JCC. II. AUTO THEFT AUTHORITY		2001 02		2002 02
	ETE		<u>2001-02</u>		<u>2002-03</u>
5	FTE positions		5.0		5.0
6	Lump sum appropriation	\$		\$	•
7	Auto theft authority grants		3,464,600	_	3,475,500
8	Total appropriation – auto theft authority	\$	3,885,600	\$	3,896,600
9	Fund sources:				
10	Auto theft authority fund	\$	3,885,600	\$	3,896,600
11	Performance measures:				
12	Vehicles stolen statewide		26,000		26,000
13	Per cent reduction in auto thefts		10.3		10.3
14	Felony auto theft arrests by auto theft		10.0		10.0
15	task force		350		375
16			9.6		
	Per cent of stolen vehicles recovered				10.6
17	Chop shops closed		35		40
18	Administration as a per cent of total cost		9.2		9.1
19	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8)		6.0		6.0
20	Sec. 12. BANKING DEPARTMENT				
21			<u> 2001 - 02</u>		<u>2002-03</u>
22	FTE positions		52.0		52.0
23	Lump sum appropriation	\$	2,849,700	\$	2,821,200
24	Performance measures:				
25	Per cent of examinations reports mailed				
26	within 25 days of examiner's completion				
27	of exam procedures		90.0		90.0
28	Per cent of license applications approved		30.0		30.0
29	within 45 days of receipt		95.0		95.0
30	Open receiverships		1.0		1.0
31	Per cent of examinations receiving		1.0		1.0
			05.0		05.0
32	satisfactory rating		85.0		85.0
33	Average days from receipt to resolution				
34	of regular complaints		23		23
35	Per cent of complainants indicating they				
36	received "good" or better service when				
37	filing a complaint		75.0		75.0
38	Administration as a per cent of total cost		14.8		14.8
39	The banking department shall assess and	d set	fees to ensu	ure t	hat monies
40	deposited in the state general fund will equa	1 or e	exceed its ex	cpend	iture from
41	the state general fund.			•	
42	Sec. 13. BARBER EXAMINERS BOARD				
43			2001-02		2002-03
44	FTE positions		3.0		3.0
45	Lump sum appropriation	\$	192,100*	٠ \$	186,300
46	Fund sources:	Ф	192,100	φ	100,300
40	runu Sources:				

- 15 -

1	Board of barbers fund	\$	192,100	\$	186,300
2	Performance measures:	*	132,100	•	100,000
3	Number of licensees (new and existing)		4,770		4,770
4	Number of complaints received about licensees		300		300
5	Average calendar days to resolve a complaint		21		21
6	Number of inspections of barbers/barber school	S	2,000		2,000
7	Average calendar days to renew a license		·		•
8	(from receipt of application to issuance)		5.0		5.0
9	Administration as a per cent of total cost		10		10
10	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8)		6.0		6.0
11	Sec. 14. BOARD OF BEHAVIORAL HEALTH EXAMINERS				
12			2001-02		2002-03
13	FTE positions		12.0		13.0
14	Lump sum appropriation	\$	658,700**	\$	689,900
15	Fund sources:		·		ŕ
16	Board of behavioral health				
17	examiners fund	\$	658,700	\$	689,900
18	Performance measures:		,		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
19	Number of licenses issued (new and existing)		5,724		6,100
20	Number of complaints received about licensees		65		65
21	Average days to resolve a complaint		180		180
22	Number of inspections/investigations		48		48
23	Average days to renew a license (from receipt				
24	of application to issuance)		30		30
25	Administration as a per cent of total cost		13		14
26	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8)		6.0		6.0
27	Sec. 15. BOXING COMMISSION				
28			2001-02		2002-03
29	FTE positions		1.5		1.5
30	Lump sum appropriation	\$	80,400	\$	80,400
31	Performance measures:				
32	Number of new licenses issued		350		350
33	Number of bouts		125		125
34	Number of investigations		6.0		6.0
35	Disciplinary actions		6.0		6.0
36	Per cent of bouts without serious injury		100		100
37	Administration as a per cent of total cost		3.1		3.1
38	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8)		6.0		6.0
39	The boxing commission shall report to the	pre	sident of th	ie se	enate, the
4.0					

The boxing commission shall report to the president of the senate, the speaker of the house of representatives, the chairmen of the senate and house of representatives appropriations committees and the director of the joint legislative budget committee on a semiannual basis. The report shall contain the number of boxing events, gross receipts, state revenues and license fee collections.

- 16 -

1	Sec. 16. DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING AND FIRE SAFI	ETY			
2			2001-02		2002-03
3	FTE positions		74.5		74.5
4	Lump sum appropriation	\$	3,608,500	\$	3,611,500
5	Performance measures:		, ,		
6	Per cent of manufactured homes complaints				
7	concerning quality and safety closed				
8	vs. complaints filed		89.6		92.5
9	Manufactured homes inspected in the plant		11,235		11,880
10	Per cent of fire code enforcement inspections		,		,
11	completed vs. required annual inspections		85		85
12	Area fire training sessions held		135		135
13	Cost per student at state fire school	\$	98	\$	100
14	Administration as a per cent of total cost	•	14.2	·	14.5
15	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8)		6.0		6.0
16	Sec. 17. STATE BOARD FOR CHARTER SCHOOLS				
17			2001-02		<u> 2002-03</u>
18	FTE positions		8.0		8.0
19	Lump sum appropriation	\$	683,800	\$	714,800
20	Performance measures:	-	, , , , , , , , ,	-	,
21	Applications received		45		40
22	Applications approved		28		25
23	On-site monitoring visits		175		200
24	Complaints regarding schools that it sponsors		60		65
25	Administration as a per cent of total cost		2.1		2.0
26	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8)		6.0		6.0
27	The state board for charter schools shal	1 c	onduct a sur	vey	
28	of charter school students in order to establi				•
29	every charter school in this state. The board				
30	employees in each charter school in the state i				
31	employee, the employee's quality rating for				
32	employee works. Each charter school in this				
33	with the information needed in order to conduc	ct 1	the surveys.		
34	Sec. 18. BOARD OF CHIROPRACTIC EXAMINERS				
35			2001-02		2002-03
36	FTE positions		5.0		5.0
37	Lump sum appropriation	\$	345,400**	٠ \$	339,400
38	Fund sources:				
39	Board of chiropractic examiners				
40	fund	\$	345,400	\$	339,400
41	Performance measures:				
42	Number of licensees (new and existing)		2,690		2,797
43	Number of complaints received about licensees		156		156
44	Average calendar days to resolve a complaint		75		73
45	Number of investigations of licensees		152		156
46	Average calendar days to renew a license				
	4 7				

1	(from receipt of application to iccurre)		1.5		1 Γ
1 2	(from receipt of application to issuance))	15 7.9		15
3	Administration as a per cent of total cost		7.9		8.0
3 4	Per cent of survey responses which indicate that staff was knowledgeable and courteou	1.0			
5	in public communications	15	96		97
6	Sec. 19. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE		90		97
7	Sec. 19. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE		2001-02		2002-02
8	FTE positions		2001-02 91.5		2002-03 91.5
9	Operating lump sum appropriation	\$	4,816,400	\$	4,816,900
10	Minority and women owned business	Ф	111,000	Ψ	111,100
11	Small business advocate		111,000		111,100
12	Economic development matching fund	1 c	10,000		10,000
13	CEDC commission	12	248,300		248,300
14	Advertising and promotion		659,200		659,200
15	International trade offices		976,000		976,000
16			45,000		
17	REDI matching grants		640,200		45,000
18	Motion picture development National law center/free trade				640,400
19			250,000		250,000
	Oil overcharge administration		139,200 130,000		139,300
20 21	Main street		•		130,000
	Senior living office		400,800 77,800		<u>400,900</u>
22 23	Special needs housing		-		77,800
23 24	<u>Williams AFB economic development</u>		350,000 152,900		350,000
24 25	Apprenticeship services	<u> </u>		\$	153,000
	Total appropriation - department of commerce	÷ Þ	9,210,800	Þ	9,211,900
26 27	Fund sources:	\$	E 240 400	\$	E 240 E00
28	State general fund	Þ	5,348,400	Þ	5,349,500
20 29	Bond fund CEDC fund		115,100		115,100
30			3,016,300 343,500		3,016,100
31	Housing trust fund State lottery fund		•		343,600
32	Oil overcharge fund		248,300 139,200		248,300 139,300
33	Performance measures:		139,200		139,300
34	Number of jobs created		15,500		15,500
35	Number of workers trained		12,000		13,000
36	Number of new company relocations or		12,000		13,000
30 37	• •		60		60
38	expansions Export sales	¢12 ∩	00,000,000	¢12 ∩	
39	Average wage rate for new jobs created	\$13, 0	\$17.80	\$13, 0	\$17.80
40	Administration as a per cent of total cost		9.2		9.2
41	Customer satisfaction rating for economic		9.2		9.2
41	development program (Scale 1-8)		6.0		6.0
42 43	Sec. 20. STATE BOARD OF DIRECTORS FOR COMMU	INITV			0.0
43 44	Jec. 20. STATE BUARD OF DIRECTORS FOR COMMIC	IITII			2002-03
45	<u>State board</u>		<u>2001-02</u>		<u>2002-03</u>
40	<u>state board</u>				

1	FTE positions		13.0		13.0
2	Lump sum appropriation	\$	944,500	\$	953,800
3	Fund sources:				
4	State general fund	\$	777,700	\$	774,700
5	Community college certification f		166,800		179,100
6	\$165,500 of the appropriation for fisca				
7	the appropriation for fiscal year 2002-20				-
8	certification fund are intended for the speci		•	-	-
9	certification costs and include \$155,500 a				
10	total direct certification costs of sta		•	-	
11	expenditures and all other direct operating				
12	respectively, for additional office lease ex				r related
13	indirect costs for administrative expenses	ıncurı	rea by the bo	oara.	
14 1.5	Equalization aid	•	2 112 500	¢	2 470 000
15 16	Cochise	\$	2,113,500		2,479,900
16 17	Graham Navajo		7,655,900		8,128,200 1,597,800
18	Pinal		1,270,300 96,000		- 0 -
19	Yuma/La Paz		- 0 -		269,100
20	Total - equalization aid	\$	11,135,700	\$ 1	2,475,000
21	Operating state aid	Ψ	11,133,700	Ψ Ι	2,473,000
22	Cochise	\$	6,321,400	\$	6,454,200
23	Coconino	•	3,259,700		3,313,500
24	Graham		5,821,700		5,995,700
25	Maricopa		49,973,900		2,553,400
26	Mohave		4,142,000		4,227,900
27	Navajo		4,434,500		4,434,500
28	Pima		20,439,600		1,117,400
29	Pinal		6,276,700		6,322,500
30	Yavapai		5,177,400		5,275,900
31	Yuma/La Paz		5,746,600		5.886.300
32	Total – operating state aid	\$1	11,593,500	\$11	5,581,300
33	Capital outlay state aid				
34	Cochise	\$	743,400	\$	767,800
35	Coconino		336,800		346,700
36	Graham		638,800		670,700
37	Maricopa		8,581,300		8,941,800
38	Mohave		499,400		515,100
39	Navajo		439,300		436,400
40	Pima		2,850,900		2,945,600
41	Pinal		682,900		691,300
42	Yavapai		605,900		623,900
43	Yuma/La Paz		741,900	<u>+ 1</u>	767,600
44 45	Total - capital outlay state aid	\$	16,120,600	ÞΙ	6,706,900
45 46	Arizona transfer articulation support	¢	225 700	¢	225 700
46	<u>system</u>	\$	225,700	\$	225,700

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

1			
2	Total appropriation – state board of		
3	directors for community colleges	\$140,020,000	\$145,942,700
4	Fund sources:		
5	State general fund	\$139,853,200	\$145,763,600
6	Community college certification fund	166,800	179,100
7	Performance measures:		
8	Per cent of upper-division students at		
9	universities who transfer from an Arizona		
10	community college with 12 or more credits	40	40
11	Per cent of students who transfer to Arizona		
12	public universities without loss of credits	85	95
13	Number of applied baccalaureate programs		
14	collaboratively developed with universities	10	12
15	Per cent of community college campuses that		
16	offer 2-way interactive TV courses	85	100
17	Per cent of students completing vocational		
18	education programs who enter jobs related		
19	to training	88	90
20	Administration as a per cent of total cost	0.7	0.7
21	Customer satisfaction rating for districts		
22	receiving board services (Scale 1-8)	6.0	6.0

It is the intent of the legislature that the community colleges and universities cooperate in operating a statewide articulation and transfer system, including the process for transfer of lower division general education credits, general elective credits and curriculum requirements for majors, to ensure that community college students may transfer to Arizona public universities without a loss of credits toward a baccalaureate degree. It is also the intent of the legislature that the higher education study committee continue the collaborative process that assures that the postsecondary education needs of students statewide are met without unnecessary duplication of programs. The committee shall focus its efforts on potential students who reside in rural areas or who cannot meet the regular class schedule due to their employment and family matters. Arizona board of regents and the state board of directors for community colleges shall submit an annual report of their progress on both articulation and meeting statewide postsecondary education needs to the joint legislative budget committee by December 15, 2001 and December 15, 2002.

All community college districts shall provide articulation information to students for classes that transfer for credit to an Arizona public university, including references to advisement, counseling and appropriate web sites, in all catalogues, course schedules and internet course guides.

Sec. 21. REGISTRAR OF CONTRACTORS

44 2001-02 2002-03 45 FTE positions 138.8 138.8

1		\$	8,547,500	\$	7,745,900
2	Office of administrative hearings				
3	costs		818,100		817,900
4	Incentive pay		113,500		113.500
5	Total appropriation - registrar of				
6	contractors	\$	9,479,100**	\$	8,677,300
7	Fund sources:				
8	Registrar of contractors' fund	\$	9,479,100	\$	8,677,300
9	Performance measures:				
10	Number of licensees (new and existing)		47,742		49,174
11	Number of complaints received (licensed				
12	contractors)		9,139		9,413
13	Average calendar days from receipt of				
14	complaint to jobsite inspection		18		14
15	Number of inspections		11,230		11,567
16	Per cent of agency staff turnover		12.0		12.0
17	Administration as a per cent of total cost		30		30
18	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8)		6.0		6.0
19	Sec. 22. CORPORATION COMMISSION				
20			2001-02		2002-03
21	FTE positions		310.5		318.5
22	·	\$	21,749,400	9	21,848,700
23	Utility audits, studies,		,,		,,
24	investigations and rate hearings _		380,000*		380,000*
25		\$	22,129,400	\$	22,228,700
26	Fund sources:	•	22,123,100	•	22,220,700
27		\$	5,578,500	\$	5,841,500
28	Arizona arts trust fund	*	35,800	*	35,800
29	Utility regulation revolving fund		10,787,900		10,540,300
30	Public access fund		1,770,600		1,770,600
31	Securities regulatory and		1,770,000		1,770,000
32	enforcement fund		3,157,900		3,314,800
33	Investment management regulatory and		3,137,300		3,314,000
34	enforcement fund		725,700		725,700
35	Pipeline safety revolving fund		73,000		- 0 -
36	Performance measures:		73,000		U
37	Number of corporations and limited liability				
38	•		325,848		369,268
	companies in Arizona	~	323,040		309,200
39 40	Average turnaround time in weeks for processing	J	С Б		6 5
	of regular corporate filings		6.5		6.5
41	Average turnaround time in days for processing		2.0		2.0
42	of expedited corporate filings		2.0		2.0
43	Average time in days to complete a utility		105		000
44	rate case		195		200
45	Number of complaints received by the securities	Š	400		450
46	division		420		450
	0.1				

7

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

Number of railroad grade crossing accidents	35	35
Per cent of agency staff turnover	13	13
Administration as a per cent of total cost	8.1	8.2
Customer satisfaction rating for corporations		
program (Scale 1–8)	6.0	6.0

The Arizona corporation commission and the Arizona department of transportation shall each conduct a study and make a recommendation to the joint legislative budget committee by November 1, 2001 concerning which agency is most appropriate to conduct railroad safety activities.

Employees of the Arizona corporation commission who receive salary adjustments from monies appropriated specifically to the Arizona corporation commission in fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003 for salary adjustments shall not be eligible for any statewide general salary adjustments authorized in fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003. Sec. 23. DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

	0001 201 221711112111 01 00111120120110		
16		<u> 2001 - 02</u>	<u> 2002-03</u>
17	<u>Administration</u>		
18	FTE positions	304.0	304.0
19	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 32,427,700	\$ 32,904,300
20	Contingency bed openings	155,700	<u> 155,700</u>
21	Total - administration	\$ 32,583,400	\$ 33,060,000
22	Fund sources:		
23	State general fund	\$ 32,427,700	\$ 32,904,300
24	Corrections fund	155,700	155,700
25	<u>Community corrections</u>		
26	FTE positions	157.0	157.0
27	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 8,870,200	\$ 8,879,700
28	Prison operations and services		
29	<u>Security</u>		
30	FTE positions	7,374.4	7,374.4
31	Lump sum appropriation	\$257,843,600	\$262,856,600
32	Contingency bed openings	4,519,000	4,519,000
33	Total - security	\$262,362,600	\$267,375,600
34	Fund sources:		
35	State general fund	\$257,573,600	\$262,586,600
36	Corrections fund	4,519,000	4,519,000
37	State charitable, penal and		
38	reformatory institutions		
39	land fund	270,000	270,000
40	Inmate education, treatment and		
41	<u>work programs</u>		

- 22 -

1	FTF was this was	F.C.F. F.	5.65 5
1	FTE positions	565.5	565.5
2	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 42,211,800	\$ 42,496,800
3	Fund sources:	A 20 00F 400	¢ 40 141 000
4	State general fund	\$ 39,885,400	\$ 40,141,900
5	Alcohol abuse treatment fund	449,300	449,300
6	State education fund for	1 077 100	1 005 600
7	correctional education	1,877,100	1,905,600
8	<u>Private prisons</u>		
9	FTE positions	10.0	18.0
10	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 20,079,600	\$ 20,287,900
11	Contingency bed openings	<u>5,719,300</u>	14,904,300
12	Total - private prisons	\$ 25,798,900	\$ 35,192,200
13	Fund sources:		
14	State general fund	\$ 20,079,600	\$ 20,287,900
15	Corrections fund	5,719,300	14,904,300
16	Inspections and investigations		
17	FTE positions	132.0	132.0
18	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 5,869,600	\$ 5,914,300
19	Contingency bed openings	88,700	88,700
20	Total - inspections and investigati		\$ 6,003,000
21	Fund sources:		
22	State general fund	\$ 5,869,600	\$ 5,914,300
23	Corrections fund	88,700	88,700
24	<u>Health care</u>	·	·
25	FTE positions	847.0	847.0
26	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 73,315,100	\$ 74,238,600
27	Contingency bed openings	626,400	626,400
28	Total - health care	\$ 73,941,500	\$ 74,865,000
29	Fund sources:	¥ 70,511,000	4 71,000,000
30	State general fund	\$ 73,315,100	\$ 74,238,600
31	Corrections fund	626,400	626,400
32	Prison management and support	020,400	020,400
33	FTE positions	1,237.5	1,237.5
34	Lump sum appropriation	\$132,387,800	\$133,195,600
35	Contingency bed openings	30,300	30,300
36	Total - prison management	30,300	30,300
37		¢122 /10 100	¢122 22E 000
	and support	\$132,418,100	\$133,225,900
38	Fund sources:	£100 000 000	¢120 727 100
39	State general fund	\$129,929,300	\$130,737,100
40	Corrections fund	1,113,800	1,113,800
41	Penitentiary land fund	1,375,000	1,375,000
42	Total – prison operations and services	\$542,691,200	\$559,158,500
43	Fund sources:		
44	State general fund	\$526,652,600	\$533,906,400
45	Corrections fund	12,067,200	21,252,200
46	Penitentiary land fund	1,375,000	1,375,000
	0.2		

1	State charitable, penal and		
2	reformatory institutions		
3	land fund	270,000	270,000
4	State education fund for		
5	correctional education	1,877,100	1,905,600
6	Alcohol abuse treatment fund	449.300	449.300
7	Total appropriation - department of		
8	corrections	\$584,144,800	\$601,098,200
9	Fund sources:		
10	State general fund	\$567,950,500	\$575,690,400
11	Corrections fund	12,222,900	21,407,900
12	Penitentiary land fund	1,375,000	1,375,000
13	State charitable, penal and		
14	reformatory institutions		
15	land fund	270,000	270,000
16	State education fund for		
17	correctional education	1,877,100	1,905,600
18	Alcohol abuse treatment fund	449,300	449,300
19	Performance measures:		
20	Average yearly cost per inmate	\$20,814	\$20,801
21	Average daily population - secure facilities	27,642	28,542
22	Fiscal year-end bed surplus/(shortage)	(1,941)	(1,091)
23	Escapes from secure facilities	0	0
24	Number of inmates receiving GED	2,550	2,600
25	Number of inmate random positive urinalysis		
26	results	1,025	907
27	Per cent of agency staff turnover	11.6	11.6
28	Administration as a per cent of total cost	6.6	6.6
29	Customer satisfaction rating for employee		
30	satisfaction (Scale 1-8)	6.0	6.0

Twenty-five per cent of land earnings and interest from the state charitable, penal and reformatory institutions land fund shall be distributed to the state department of corrections in compliance with section 25 of the enabling act and the constitution to be used for the support of state penal institutions.

One hundred per cent of land earnings and interest from the penitentiary land fund shall be distributed to the department of corrections in compliance with section 25 of the enabling act and the constitution to be used for the support of state penal institutions.

Before the expenditure of any state education fund for correctional education receipts in excess of \$1,905,600, the department of corrections shall report the intended use of the monies to the director of the joint legislative budget committee.

The department of corrections' fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003 appropriation includes contingency monies to operate 350 state-operated beds and to contract for 400 privately-operated DUI beds for

- 24 -

twelve months in both fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003. The authorized contingency amounts shall be reduced by one-twelfth for each successive month that the trigger appropriation is not used.

The department of corrections' fiscal year 2002-2003 appropriation includes contingency monies to contract for 1,000 privately-operated beds for six months in fiscal year 2002-2003. The authorized contingency amounts shall be reduced by one-sixth for each successive month that the trigger appropriation is not used.

The amounts appropriated in the contingency bed openings special line item shall not be expended unless both the department's bed deficit exceeds the funded bed capacity by 2,500 beds and the department has experienced inmate population growth averaging 115 inmates for 6 consecutive months. In addition, the department shall submit a plan detailing the use of the contingency bed openings special line item monies for review by the joint legislative budget committee before expenditure. It is the intent of the legislature that use of the contingency monies be prioritized so that the most cost-efficient beds are opened first.

The number of foreign nationals of a single nationality incarcerated in the 1,000 bed private prison shall not exceed 50 per cent of the average daily population at the private prison. The department shall not exceed the 50 per cent limit without prior approval of the full legislature.

Sec.	24.	COSMETOLOGY	BOARD
J C C •		OUSTILIOLOGI	סטוווט

FTF	2001-02	2002-03
FTE positions	24.5	24.5
Lump sum appropriation	\$ 1,578,600**	\$ 1,402,800
Fund sources:		
Board of cosmetology fund	\$ 1,578,600	\$ 1,402,800
Performance measures:		
Number of licensees (new and existing)	46,000	46,000
Number of complaints received about licensees	1,000	1,000
Average calendar days to resolve a complaint	120	120
Number of inspections of licensees	5,600	12,000
Average calendar days to renew a license		
(from receipt of application to issuance)	12	12
Administration as a per cent of total cost	4.3	4.9
Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8)	6.0	6.0
Sec. 25. ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION		
	2001-02	<u> 2002-03</u>
FTE positions	6.0	6.0
Lump sum appropriation	\$ 8,875,500	\$ 8,850,500
Fund sources:		
State general fund	\$ 2,905,200	\$ 2,905,200
Criminal justice enhancement fund	455,500	430,500
Victim compensation and assistance		
fund	2,900,000	2,900,000

- 25 -

1	State aid to county attorneys fund	1,341,100	1,341,100
2	State aid to indigent defense fund	1,273,700	1,273,700
3	Performance measures:		
4	Crime victim program site visits completed	30	30
5	Per cent of crime victim program audits		
6	reflecting no deficiencies	100	100
7	Drug and violent crime arrests made by		
8	grant-funded task forces	5,611	5,891
9	Studies/reports completed and published	15	20
10	Number of juvenile jails assisted for		
11	improvement	4.0	4.0
12	Administration as a per cent of total cost	6.8	6.8
13	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1–8)	6.0	6.0
14	All victim compensation and victim assista	ance receipts red	ceived by the
15	Arizona criminal justice commission in excess	of \$2,900,000 in	fiscal year
16	2001-2002 and \$2,900,000 in fiscal year 2002-2	2003 are appropr	iated to the
17	crime victims program. Before the expenditure o	f any victim comp	pensation and
18	victim assistance receipts in excess of \$2,900,	000 in fiscal ye	ear 2001-2002
19	and \$2,900,000 in fiscal year 2002-2003, th	e Arizona crim	inal justice

20 commission shall submit the intended use of the monies for review by the joint legislative budget committee.

Sec. 26. ARIZONA STATE SCHOOLS FOR THE DEAF AND THE BLIND

23		<u> 2001-02</u>		<u> 2002-03</u>
24	Phoenix day school for the deaf			
25	FTE positions	175.2		184.7
26	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 7,643,200	\$	8,191,900
27	Fund sources:			
28	State general fund	\$ 2,330,000	\$	2,296,000
29	Arizona schools for the deaf			
30	and the blind fund	\$ 5,313,200	\$	5,895,900
31	<u>Tucson campus</u>			
32	FTE positions	303.9		309.4
33	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 14,692,400	\$	15,402,100
34	Fund sources:			
35	State general fund	\$ 9,263,300	\$	9,496,600
36	Arizona schools for the deaf			
37	and the blind fund	\$ 5,429,100	\$	5,905,500
38	Administration/statewide programs			
39	FTE positions	129.3		129.3
40	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 7,334,200	\$	7,503,100
41	Fund sources:			
42	State general fund	\$ 7,132,600	\$	7,291,900
43	Arizona schools for the deaf			
44	and the blind fund	\$ 201,600	3	211,200

1	Total appropriation – Arizona state schools				
2	for the deaf and the blind	\$	29,669,800	\$ 31	1,097,100
3	Fund sources:				
4	State general fund	\$	18,725,900	\$ 19	0,084,500
5	Arizona schools for the deaf				
6	and the blind fund	\$	10,943,900	\$ 12	2,012,600
7	Performance measures:				
8	Parents rating overall quality of services				
9	as "good" or "excellent" based on annual				
10	survey		90		90
11	Per cent of certified positions filled		95		95
12	Per cent of agency staff turnover		11		8
13	Per cent of MDSSI students at or above				
14	"approaches standards" level on the				
15	AIMS-A test		100		100
16	Per cent of students achieving a year's grow	th			
17	in a year's time in reading and mathematic		75		75
18	Administration as a per cent of total cost		4.2		4.0
19	Before the expenditure of any Arizona	sch	ools for the	deaf	and the
20	blind fund nonendowment monies in excess of				
21	2001-2002 and \$11,643,400 in fiscal year 2002-				
22	for the deaf and the blind shall report the in				
23	speaker of the house of representatives, the				
24	chairmen of the house and senate appropriation				
25	the joint legislative budget committee and the	gov	ernor's offic	ce of s	strategic
26	planning and budgeting.				_
27	All endowment earnings above \$343,900	in	fiscal year	2001-	2002 and
28	\$369,200 in fiscal year 2002-2003 that are				
29	schools for the deaf and the blind and deposit				
30	the deaf and the blind fund are appropriated				
31	Before the expenditure of any recommer		•	•	
32	salary adjustments in fiscal year 2001-2002				
33	Arizona state schools for the deaf and the b				
34	use of the funds to the joint legislative but				
35	Sec. 27. COMMISSION FOR THE DEAF AND THE HAI				
36			2001-02		2002-03
37	FTE positions		11.0		11.0
38	Lump sum appropriation	\$	5,700,300	\$ 5	,849,900
39	Fund sources:				
40	Telecommunication fund for				
41	the deaf	\$	5,700,300	\$ 5	,849,900
42	Performance measures:				
43	Number of qualified interpreters		125		105
			123		125
44	Newsletters on new services and technology		123		125

- 27 -

46 Average number of call minutes per month

1	to the telecommunications relay services				
2	program		335,910		337,232
3	Cost per minute of the telecommunications				
4	relay services program		\$1.37		\$1.37
5	Administration as a per cent of total cost		4.4		4.4
6	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8)		6.0		6.0
7	Before the execution of any contract	fo	r telecommun	icat	tion relay
8	services, the commission for the deaf and the	har	d of hearing	sha	11 present
9	the proposed contract to the joint legislative	e bu	dget committe	ee f	or review.
10	Sec. 28. DENTAL EXAMINERS BOARD				
11			2001-02		<u> 2002 - 03</u>
12	FTE positions		9.0		0.0
13	Lump sum appropriation	\$	753,400**	\$	- 0 -
14	Fund sources:				
15	Board of dental examiners fund	\$	753,400	\$	- 0 -
16	Performance measures:				
17	Number of licensees (new and existing)		5,648		5,787
18	Number of complaints received about licensees		575		600
19	Average calendar days to resolve a complaint		150		150
20	Number of investigations of licensees		400		400
21	Average calendar days to renew a license				
22	(from receipt of application to issuance)		10		10
23	Administration as a per cent of total cost		1.9		1.9
24	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8)		6.0		6.0
25	By September 1, 2001, the board shall	sub	mit a report	to	the joint
26	legislative budget committee on how the board	has	<pre>implemented</pre>	the	provisions
27	of Laws 2000, chapter 87, section 1, rela	atin	ig to the d	efir	nitions of
28	unprofessional conduct. The report shall a				
29	outlining licensure requirements and grounds f	or o	disciplinary	acti	ion and how
30	the board has communicated these standards to				
31	Sec. 29. DRUG AND GANG PREVENTION RESOURCE C	ENT	ER		
32			<u> 2001 - 02</u>		<u> 2002 - 03</u>
33	FTE positions		45.8		45.8
34	Lump sum appropriation	\$	5,030,900	\$	5,020,900
35	Fund sources:				
36	Drug and gang prevention				
37	resource center fund	\$	261,900	\$	251,900
38	Intergovernmental agreements and				
39	grants		4,769,000		4,769,000
40	Performance measures:				
41	Number of effective research-based prevention				
42	programs identified by the center		38		39
43	Prevention materials disseminated (per item)		517,000		525,000
44	Agency and public service requests completed		11,000		11,000
45	Customer satisfaction rating of agencies		7 0		7 ^
46	served by the center (Scale 1-8)		7.0		7.0

- 28 -

45

Administration as a per cent of total cost 2 Grant and intergovernmental agreement revenues in excess of \$4,769,000 3 in fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003 are appropriated for Before the expenditure of these monies, the center shall 4 5 provide an expenditure plan to the joint legislative budget committee for 6 review. 7 Sec. 30. DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC SECURITY 8 2001-02 2002-03 9 Administration 10 FTE positions 316.9 316.9 11 Operating lump sum appropriation \$ 38,777,100 \$ 38,814,200 12 Finger imaging 857,200 857,300 13 Lease purchase equipment 2,500,200 2,392,100 14 Public assistance collections 382,500 382,800 15 Attorney general legal services 568,700 569,000 Total - administration 16 \$ 43,085,700 \$ 43,015,400 17 Fund sources: 18 State general fund \$ 34,241,000 \$ 34,191,600 19 Public assistance collections fund 295,400 295,700 20 Federal temporary assistance for 21 needy families block grant 6,988,600 6,968,100 22 Federal child care and development 23 fund block grant 1,040,200 1,039,400 520,500 24 Special administration fund 520,600 25 Performance measures: 26 Customer satisfaction ratings based on 27 annual survey (Scale 1-5) 28 3.0 3.0 Office of personnel management 29 Office of management development 3.0 3.0 30 Office of appellate services administration 4.0 4.0 31 Office of technology services 3.0 3.0 32 Number of districts where strategic planning 33 model was implemented for early intervention 34 3.0 6.0 program 35 Per cent information technology service help 90 95 36 calls requests resolved in 1 day 37 Cost per dollar to recover overpayments .10 .10 38 Per cent of agency staff turnover 15.6 15.6 39 Administration as a per cent of total cost 5.1 5.1 40 In accordance with section 35-142.01, Arizona Revised Statutes, the 41 department of economic security shall remit to the department of 42 administration any monies received as reimbursement from the federal 43 government or any other source for the operation of the department of 44 economic security west building and any other building lease-purchased by the

State of Arizona in which the department of economic security occupies space.

10.7

10.7

- 29 -

The department of administration shall deposit these monies in the state general fund.

In accordance with section 38-654, Arizona Revised Statutes, the department of economic security shall transfer to the department of administration for deposit in the special employee health insurance trust fund any unexpended state general fund monies at the end of each fiscal year appropriated for employer health insurance contributions.

8	<u>Developmental disabilities</u>				
9	FTE positions		373.0		373.0
10	Operating lump sum appropriation	\$	4,814,000	\$	
11	Case management		2,996,300		2,997,800
12	Home and community based services		28,506,200		28,507,100
13	Institutional services		294,900		294,900
14	Arizona training program at				
15	Coolidge		5,462,700		5,465,300
16	State-funded long term care				
17	services	_	17,950,600	_	18,821,800
18	Total - developmental disabilities	\$	60,024,700	\$	60,874,700
19	Fund sources:				
20	State general fund	\$	45,533,100	\$	45,511,900
21	Long term care system fund		14,491,600		15,362,800
22	Performance measures:				
23	Per cent of consumer satisfaction with				
24	case management services		90		95
25	Per cent of consumers (people who live				
26	at home) who are satisfied with services				
27	and support (biennial survey)		75		NA
28	Average number of clients served monthly,				
29	including state-only and long term care		20,049		21,269
30	Cost per member year – Arizona training				
31	program – Coolidge	\$	93,700	\$	93,700
32	Average number of Arizona training program -				
33	Coolidge clients		175		175

It is the intent of the legislature that any available surplus monies for developmental disability programs be applied toward the waiting list, unless there are insufficient monies to annualize these costs in the subsequent year. The children's waiting list shall receive first priority. The amount appropriated for developmental disabilities shall be used to provide for services for non-title XIX eligible clients. The amount shall not be used for other purposes, unless a transfer of monies is reviewed by the joint legislative budget committee.

It is the intent of the legislature that monies appropriated for services relating to adult day services in the division of developmental disabilities budget be transferred to the division of employment and rehabilitation services, rehabilitation services administration to accommodate individuals who are determined by the division of developmental

- 30 -

disabilities to need vocational independence in a supported work environment. These monies may be transferred back to the division of developmental disabilities if a supported work environment is no longer the most appropriate day placement for a client.

The department of economic security shall report all new placements into a state-owned ICF-MR or the Arizona training program at Coolidge campus in fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003 to the president of the senate, the speaker of the house of representatives, the chairmen of the senate and house of representatives appropriations committees and the director of the joint legislative budget committee, and the reason why this placement, rather than a placement into a privately run facility for the developmentally disabled, was deemed as the most appropriate placement. The department should also report if no new placements were made. This report shall be made available by July 15, 2002 and July 15, 2003.

Long term care system fund		
FTE positions	1,278.4	1,365.7
Operating lump sum appropriation	\$ 18,228,700	\$ 19,440,100
Case management	18,891,200	21,140,300
Home and community based services	287,700,700	336,259,400
Institutional services	11,335,800	11,837,200
Medical services	54,735,700	64,736,500
Arizona training program at		
Coolidge	10,934,000	10,939,400
Less title XIX and other funds	<u>(261,790,300)</u>	(304,062,700)
Total - long term care system fund	\$ 140,035,800	\$ 160,290,200
Performance measures:		
Per cent of consumer satisfaction with		
case management services	90	95
Per cent of consumers (people who live at		
home) who are satisfied with services		
and support (biennial survey)	75	NA
Average number of clients served monthly,		
including state-only and long term care	20,049	21,269
Cost per member year at Arizona training		
program – Coolidge	\$ 93,700	\$ 93,700
Average number of Arizona training program -		
Coolidge clients	175	175
All manias in the lang tamm cans	avatam fund	unaynandad and

All monies in the long term care system fund unexpended and unencumbered at the end of the fiscal year revert to the state general fund, subject to approval by the Arizona health care cost containment system.

Monies for the long term care program are appropriated for the capitation rates effective on October 1, 2000. No monies may be expended for a change in these capitation rates unless an expenditure plan is reviewed by the joint legislative budget committee.

Before the expenditure of any monies for improvements to the division of developmental disabilities automation system, the department of economic

- 31 -

security shall submit a report to the joint legislative budget committee for its review. The report shall discuss how the automation improvements will ensure coordination between the division of developmental disabilities and other eligibility-based programs in the department of economic security.

5	Benefits and medical eligibility		•
6	FTE positions	747.3	747.3
7	Operating lump sum appropriation	\$ 34,061,300	\$ 34,092,200
8	Temporary assistance for needy		
9	families cash benefits	96,185,900	99,552,800
10	FLSA supplement	1,267,200	1,305,200
11	Tribal welfare reform	1,000,000	1,000,000
12	General assistance	4,260,800	4,260,800
13	Institutional support payments	266,400	266,400
14	Tuberculosis control	32,200	32,200
15	Outreach and naturalization	250,000	250,000
16	Food stamp outreach and education	200,000	200,000
17	Tribal pass-through funding	4,212,800	4,212,800
18	Total - benefits and medical		
19	eligibility	\$141,736,600	\$145,172,400
20	Fund sources:		
21	State general fund	\$ 84,678,900	\$ 84,683,300
22	Federal temporary assistance for		
23	needy families block grant	57,057,700	60,489,100
24	Performance measures:		
25	Per cent of cash benefits issued timely	98.6	98.6
26	Per cent of total cash benefits payments		
27	issued accurately	95.0	95.0
28	Average cash benefits caseload	93,527	96,333
29	Per cent of total food stamps payments		
30	issued accurately	95.0	95.0
31	Average monthly number of food stamp		
32	recipients	297,400	341,900
33	Per cent of clients satisfied with family		
34	assistance administration	87.7	88.2

The operating lump sum appropriation may be expended on Arizona health care cost containment system eligibility determinations based on the results of the Arizona random moment sampling survey.

Notwithstanding section 35-173, subsection C, Arizona Revised Statutes, any transfer to or from the \$96,185,900 appropriated for temporary assistance for needy families cash benefits in fiscal year 2001-2002 and the \$99,552,800 appropriated for temporary assistance for needy families cash benefits in fiscal year 2002-2003 requires approval of the joint legislative budget committee.

The \$1,000,000 appropriated for tribal welfare reform in fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003 shall be distributed to Native American tribes in this state to enhance welfare reform efforts on behalf of tribal

- 32 -

citizens. Each \$1,000,000 appropriation shall be distributed by the department of economic security on a proportional basis based on the population residing on the reservation of each tribe in this state.

Of the amounts appropriated for temporary assistance for needy families cash benefits, \$4,200,000 in both fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003 reflects appropriation authority only. The department shall notify the joint legislative budget committee and the governor's office of strategic planning and budgeting staff before the use of any of the \$4,200,000 appropriation authority.

The department of economic security shall provide data on the Arizona works program to the joint legislative budget committee on a bimonthly basis to accompany the report required by section 46-344, Arizona Revised Statutes. The department of economic security shall also provide data related to the performance contract with the Arizona works vendor to the vendor and the joint legislative budget committee no later than seventy days after the end of each fiscal quarter.

The department of economic security shall provide the Arizona works agency procurement board a level of support equivalent to that received in fiscal year 2000-2001.

	·		
20	Child support enforcement		
21	FTE positions	732.2	732.2
22	Operating lump sum appropriation	\$ 30,476,400	\$ 30,464,600
23	Genetic testing	723,600	723,600
24	Central payment processing	3,088,600	3,275,700
25	County participation	10,066,300	10,598,900
26	Attorney general legal services	5,108,900	5,114,800
27	Less federal funds	<u>(33,453,600)</u>	(33,949,400)
28	Total – child support enforcement	\$ 16,010,200	\$ 16,228,200
29	Fund sources:		
30	State general fund	\$ 4,824,300	\$ 4,824,600
31	Child support enforcement		
32	administration fund	11,185,900	11,403,600
33	Performance measures:		
34	Number of IV-D cases	228,300	225,300
35	Total IV-D collections	\$258,000,000	\$284,000,000
36	Per cent of IV-D caseload with a IV-D		
37	collection	44.4	49.5
38	Ratio of current IV-D support collected		
39	and distributed to current IV-D support		
40	due	47.2	49.2
41	Per cent of IV-D court ordered cases with a		
42	collection during the year	69.4	72.8
43	Per cent of IV-D children in the paternity		
44	function for whom paternity was established	d	
45	during the year	20.2	22.8
46	Per cent of cases in the establishment		

- 33 -

2 29.5 during the year 31.0 3 All state share of retained earnings and federal incentives above 4 \$10,377,700 in fiscal year 2001-2002 and \$10,547,100 in fiscal year 2002-2003 5 received by the division of child support enforcement are appropriated for 6 operating expenditures. New full-time equivalent positions may be authorized 7 with the increased funding. The division of child support enforcement shall 8 report the intended use of the monies to the speaker of the house of 9 representatives, the president of the senate, the chairmen of the senate and 10 house appropriations committees and the directors of the joint legislative 11 budget committee and the governor's office of strategic planning and 12 budgeting. 13 Aging and community services 14 FTE positions 96.1 96.1 15 Operating lump sum appropriation \$ 5,502,000 \$ 5,484,000 16 Community and emergency services 6,979,500 6,979,500 17 Coordinated hunger program 1,786,600 1,786,600 18 Information and referral 115,400 115,400 19 Coordinated homeless program 2,738,600 2,738,600 20 Adult services 11,496,300 11,545,300 21 Domestic violence prevention 8,823,800 8,823,800 22 Long-term care ombudsman 359,500 359,500 23 Temporary assistance for needy 24 families deposit to 25 community-based marriage and 26 communication skills program 27 fund 1.150.000 1.150.000 28 Total - aging and community services \$ 38,951,700 \$ 38,982,700 29 Fund sources: 30 State general fund \$ 22,923,500 \$ 22,954,400 31 Federal temporary assistance for 32 needy families block grant 14,328,200 14,328,300 33 Domestic violence shelter fund 1,700,000 1,700,000 34 Performance measures: 35 Average per cent of survey respondents 36 indicating provision of services avoided 37 premature institutionalization 81 84 38 Adult protective services investigation 39 per cent rate 81 81 40 Per cent of participants in older workers 41 program transitioned from subsidized to 49 42 unsubsidized positions 47 43 Per cent of eligibility determination made 44 within 48 hours for refugee medical 45 assistance program 98 98 Per cent of clients surveyed who were 46

function for which orders were established

accurately referred by the information and referral program

It is the intent of the legislature that the \$115,400 appropriated in fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003 for information and referral services shall be used to fund services in each city of this state with a population of more than two hundred fifty thousand persons according to the most recent United States decennial or special census.

It is the intent of the legislature that a state general fund amount of \$250,000 in adult services be matched with \$250,000 from the federal social services block grant for nonmedical home and community based services.

All domestic violence shelter fund monies above \$1,700,000 received by the department of economic security in fiscal year 2001-2002 or in fiscal year 2002-2003 are appropriated for the domestic violence prevention special line item. The department of economic security shall report the intended use of the monies above \$1,700,000 in fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003 to the joint legislative budget committee.

<u>Children,</u>	youth	and	fami	<u>lies</u>
------------------	-------	-----	------	-------------

1/	chiraren, youth and ramifires		
18	FTE positions	1,031.4	1,033.1
19	Operating lump sum appropriation	\$ 43,591,600	\$ 43,321,100
20	Children services	41,186,500	49,128,400
21	Intensive family services	3,035,600	3,035,600
22	High risk infant services	686,300	686,300
23	Adoption services	21,734,800	23,856,400
24	Child severance project	146,500	146,500
25	Homeless youth intervention	400,000	400,000
26	Permanent guardianship subsidy	983,300	983,300
27	Temporary assistance for needy		
28	families deposit to the joint		
29	substance abuse treatment fund	3,333,300	10,000,000
30	Child abuse prevention	812,000	812,000
31	Healthy families	5,000,000	5,000,000
32	Family builders program	8,001,300	8,001,300
33	Comprehensive medical and dental		
34	program	2,779,900	2,779,900
35	Attorney general legal services	4,254,100	4,256,300
36	Child protective services incentive		
37	pay program	240,000	240,000
38	Child protective services appeals	587,000	587,300
39	Temporary assistance for needy		
40	families deposit to social		
41	services block grant	32,066,500	10,785,000
42	Child protective services		
43	expedited substance abuse		
44	treatment fund deposit	224,500	224,500
45	Total – children, youth and families	\$169,063,200	\$164,243,900
46	Fund sources:		

- 35 -

1	State general fund	\$ 93,738,000	\$106,425,100
2	Child abuse prevention fund	1,062,000	1,062,000
3	Children and family services		
4	training program fund	209,600	209,600
5	Federal temporary assistance for		
6	needy families block grant	74,053,600	56,547,200
7	Performance measures:		
8	Per cent of children in out-of-home care		
9	who exit the child welfare system who		
10	achieve permanent placement through		
11	reunification, adoption or legal		0.7
12	guardianship	36	37
13	Per cent of children in out-of-home care		
14	who have not returned to their families		
15	or been placed in another type of		
16	permanent placement for more than 24		
17	consecutive months since they were		
18	removed from their homes	30	29
19	Number of children with finalized adoption	1,110	1,288
20	Per cent of CPS reports responded to by CPS		
21	staff	74	74
22	Per cent of CPS reports responded to by		
23	family builders	26	26
24	Substantiated reports of child maltreatment	4,589	4,589
25	Per cent of newly hired CPS specialists		
26	completing training within 7 months		
27	of hire	93	100
28	Per cent of CPS original dependencies		
29	cases where court denied or dismissed	3.0	3.0
30	Per cent of office of administrative		
31	hearings where CPS case findings		
32	are affirmed	86	89
33	Per cent of CPS complaints reviewed by		
34	the office of the ombudsman-citizens		
35	aide where allegations are reported		
36	as valid by the ombudsman	14	14
37	Per cent of calls to the family advocate		
38	that relate to CPS complaints	4.0	4.0
39	Per cent of CPS cases where the family		
40	advocate is involved and is successful		
41	in facilitating a solution	85	90
42	Per cent of CPS cases where most or all of the	е	
43	foster care review board recommendations		
44	are agreed on before court action as		
45	reported by the board	85	85
46	Average per cent of time spent on		
	20		

1	administrative paperwork as reported by		
2	CPS workers in an annual survey		
3	District 1	Baseline	Baseline
4			minus 5%
5	District 2	Baseline	Baseline
6			minus 5%
7	District 3	Baseline	Baseline
8			minus 5%
9	District 4	Baseline	Baseline
10			minus 5%
11	District 5	Baseline	Baseline
12			minus 5%
13	District 6	Baseline	Baseline
14			minus 5%
15	Average per cent rate at which CPS		

reports are substantiated 20.1 20.1

The \$240,000 appropriated in fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003 for the child protective services incentive pay program special line item shall be used for personal services and employee related

expenditures to implement a performance based incentives pilot program for eligible child protective services workers in accordance with Laws 1995,

chapter 43.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

Of the \$32,066,500 appropriated from the federal temporary assistance for needy families block grant to the social services block grant for deposit into the temporary assistance for needy families deposit to social services block grant special line item, \$25,595,500 is allocated for use to the children services program in fiscal year 2001-2002. The balance of \$6,471,000 is allocated for use to the children services program in fiscal year 2002-2003 and is exempt from the provisions of section 35-190, Arizona Revised Statutes, relating to lapsing of appropriations, until June 30, 2003. The \$6,471,000 may be expended during fiscal year 2001-2002 on review of the joint legislative budget committee. The department of economic security shall provide the joint legislative budget committee staff with bimonthly reports beginning August 1, 2001 of this and all other appropriated and nonappropriated expenditures for the children services program. bimonthly report shall compare for each month in the current fiscal year projected funding needs by funding source to client caseload levels and approved funding in the current fiscal year.

It is the intent of the legislature that the \$10,785,000 appropriated from the federal temporary assistance for needy families block grant to the social services block grant for deposit into the temporary assistance for needy families deposit to social services block grant special line item be allocated for use to the children services program in fiscal year 2002-2003.

Monies appropriated from the federal temporary assistance for needy families block grant and deposited into the joint substance abuse treatment fund pursuant to section 8-881, Arizona Revised Statutes, shall be

- 37 -

 administered jointly by the department of economic security and the department of health services. The program development costs shall be limited to five per cent and shall include training opportunities for community collaboratives. The program evaluation costs shall be limited to ten per cent and shall include technical assistance to communities for developing and providing substance abuse prevention and treatment programs. The program evaluation costs shall also include expenditures for conducting meetings to ensure collaboration, coordination and integration of services and funding sources between public and private agencies, programs, service providers, advocates and consumers to meet prevention, treatment and other service needs.

The department of economic security shall provide training to any new child protective services full-time equivalent positions before assigning to any of these employees any client caseload duties. The department shall also implement statewide by October 1, 2001 the family group decision making program authorized by Laws 2000, chapter 369.

17	Employment and rehabilitation services		
18	FTE positions	471.5	471.5
19	Operating lump sum appropriation	\$ 23,506,100	\$ 19,973,500
20	Job search stipends	93,900	93,900
21	Vocational rehabilitation services	3,913,400	4,070,700
22	Independent living rehabilitation		
23	services	2,203,500	2,203,500
24	Developmental disabilities		
25	employment support	7,093,600	7,093,600
26	Summer youth program	1,000,000	1,000,000
27	Project intervention	1,000,000	1,000,000
28	Summer youth employment and training	1,000,000	1,000,000
29	Day care subsidy	113,462,600	125,976,700
30	Transitional child care	30,428,000	37,096,500
31	Enhanced quality reimbursement	500,000	500,000
32	JOBS	25,701,700	26,531,100
33	Work-related transportation	3,302,200	3,302,200
34	Wheels to work program	2,000,000	2,000,000
35	Young father mentoring	1,000,000	1,000,000
36	<u>Parenting skills classes</u>	250,000	<i>250,000</i>
37	Workforce investment act programs	46,070,600	46,070,600
38	Total – employment and rehabilitation		
39	services	\$262,525,600	\$279,162,300
40	Fund sources:		
41	State general fund	\$ 42,413,300	\$ 43,800,900
42	Federal temporary assistance for		
43	needy families block grant	84,321,300	93,373,300

- 38 -

1	Federal child care and development		
2	fund block grant	79,496,900	90,571,400
3	Special administration fund	2,585,000	1,085,000
4	Spinal and head injuries trust fund	2,256,100	2,447,400
5	Workforce investment act grant	47,875,000	47,884,300
6	Federal reed act grant	3,578,000	- 0 -
7	Performance measures:		
8	Number of TANF recipients who obtained		
9	employment	12,264	12,264
10	Average cost per JOBS participant in all		
11	work activities	\$771	\$771
12	Total average children in all child care		
13	programs per month	41,907	43,839
14	Per cent of customer satisfaction with		
15	child care	91.0	91.5
16	Vocational rehabilitation individuals		
17	successfully rehabilitated	2,197	2,307

It is the intent of the legislature that the \$25,701,700 appropriated for JOBS in fiscal year 2001-2002 and the \$26,531,100 appropriated for JOBS in fiscal year 2002-2003 may be used to support nonpermanent and seasonal positions to fulfill federal program requirements when contracts for services cannot be established with outside parties. The use of such positions shall be reported to the director of the joint legislative budget committee.

All federal workforce investment act funds that are received by the state in excess of \$47,875,000 in fiscal year 2001-2002 and \$47,884,300 in fiscal year 2002-2003 are appropriated to the workforce investment act programs special line item. Excess monies may not be spent until a proposed expenditure plan for the excess monies has been reviewed by the joint legislative budget committee.

Of the \$113,462,600 appropriated for day care subsidy in fiscal year 2001-2002 and \$125,976,700 in fiscal year 2002-2003, \$84,839,200 in fiscal year 2001-2002 and \$93,224,800 in fiscal year 2002-2003 are for a program in which the upper income limit is one hundred sixty-five per cent of the federal poverty level. This provision may not be construed to impose a duty on an officer, agent or employee of the state to discharge a responsibility or to create any right in a person or group if the discharge or right would require an expenditure of state monies in excess of the \$84,839,200 appropriation in fiscal year 2001-2002 and \$93,224,800 appropriation in fiscal year 2002-2003.

All spinal and head injuries trust fund receipts received by the department of economic security in excess of \$2,256,100 in fiscal year 2001-2002 and \$2,447,400 in fiscal year 2002-2003 are appropriated to the independent living rehabilitation services special line item. Before the expenditure of any spinal and head injuries trust fund receipts in excess of \$2,256,100 in fiscal year 2001-2002 and \$2,447,400 in fiscal year 2002-2003,

- 39 -

the department of economic security shall submit the intended use of the monies for review by the joint legislative budget committee.

It is the intent of the legislature that the department shall use \$4,500,000 of the monies appropriated for the JOBS special line item in both fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003 for contracts with education and training entities. These contracts shall focus on assisting JOBS clients in obtaining jobs paying, on average, ten dollars per hour or more. The department shall report to the joint legislative budget committee by October 15, 2002 and October 15, 2003 on these efforts. The report shall include, but not be limited to, expenditure details and placement data.

Of the monies appropriated for the JOBS special line item, the department may use up to \$5,500,000 in both fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003 to provide job training, education, supportive services, and other services that will promote job retention and career advancement of former temporary assistance for needy families recipients.

Total appropriation - department of

	11 1		
17	economic security	\$871,433,500	\$907,969,800
18	Fund sources:		
19	State general fund	\$468,387,900	\$502,682,000
20	Federal temporary assistance for		
21	needy families block grant	236,749,400	231,706,000
22	Federal child care and development		
23	fund block grant	80,537,100	91,610,800
24	Special administration fund	3,105,500	1,605,600
25	Public assistance collections fund	295,400	295,700
26	Long term care system fund	14,491,600	15,362,800
27	Child support enforcement		
28	administration fund	11,185,900	11,403,600
29	Domestic violence shelter fund	1,700,000	1,700,000
30	Child abuse prevention fund	1,062,000	1,062,000
31	Children and family services		
32	training program fund	209,600	209,600
33	Spinal and head injuries trust fund	2,256,100	2,447,400
34	Workforce investment act grant	47,875,000	47,884,300
35	Federal reed act grant	3,578,000	- 0 -
36	Performance measures:		
37	CPS and family builders per cent		
38	response rate	100	100
39	Agencywide customer satisfaction rating		
40	(Scale 1-5)	3.0	3.0
41	Employee satisfaction rating (Scale 1-5)	3.7	3.7

The above appropriation is in addition to funds granted to the state by the federal government for the same purposes but shall be deemed to include the sums deposited in the state treasury to the credit of the department of economic security, pursuant to section 42-5029, Arizona Revised Statutes.

- 40 -

A monthly report comparing total expenditures for the month and year-to-date as compared to prior year totals shall be forwarded to the president of the senate, the speaker of the house of representatives, the chairmen of the senate and house appropriations committees and the director of the joint legislative budget committee by the twenty-fifth of the following month. The report shall include an estimate of (1) potential shortfalls in entitlement programs, (2) potential federal and other funds, such as the statewide assessment for indirect costs, and any projected surplus in state supported programs that may be available to offset these shortfalls, and a plan, if necessary, for eliminating any shortfall without a supplemental appropriation, (3) shortfalls resulting from new leases or renegotiations of current leases and associated costs, and (4) total expenditure authority of the child support enforcement program for the month and year-to-date as compared to prior year totals.

The department of economic security shall report the receipt and intended use of all current and prior year reversions from nonappropriated sources to the joint legislative budget committee.

Sec. 31. STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION AND SUPERINTENDENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION

19	INSTRUCTION
20	

	<u> 2001 - 02</u>	<u> 2002-03</u>
State board of education and state board		
for vocational and technological		
education		

FTE positions 2.0 2.0 Operating lump sum appropriation \$ 276,400 \$ 276,500

The appropriated amount includes \$100,000 for administering a survey each academic year to a random sample of parents of children in public schools statewide. The survey shall consist of the following question: "Students are given the grades A+, A, B, C, D and Fail to denote the quality of their work. Using the same A+, A, B, C, D and Fail scale, what grade would you give the school that your oldest child attends?"

The state board of education program may establish its own strategic plan separate from that of the department of education and based on its own separate mission, goals and performance measures.

35	FTE positions - Arizona teacher		
36	evaluation	2.0	2.0
37	Arizona teacher evaluation	201,000	201,100
38	FTE positions – career ladder		
39	administration	1.0	1.0
40	Career ladder administration	85,000	85,000
41	FTE positions - certification		
42	investigations	4.0	4.0
43	Certification investigations	225,000	225,000
44	FTE positions - charter schools	4.0	4.0
45	Charter schools	205,000	205,000
46	FTE positions – teacher		

- 41 -

1 2	certification Teacher certification		21.0 984,800		21.0 985,000
3	Fund source:		,		
4	Teacher certification fund	\$	984.800	\$	985,000
5	Monies collected by the department				r teacher
6	certification fees, as authorized by section				
7	Arizona Revised Statutes, shall be deposited in				
8	for use in funding costs of the teacher certif				
9	Total - state board of education	\$	1,977,200	\$	1,977,600
10	Fund sources:				
11	State general fund	\$	992,400	\$	992,600
12	Teacher certification fund		984,800		985,000
13	Performance measures:				
14	Average number of days to process				
15	applications for certification services		30		30
16	Increased percentage of customers satisfied				
17	with certification services above the				
18	fiscal year 2000–2001 percentage		+1		+2
19	General services administration				
20	FTE positions		110.4		110.4
21	Operating lump sum appropriation	\$	6,667,300	\$	6,665,200
22	At least 1 FTE position from the departmen	nt's	s operating b	udg	et shall be
23	used for auditing average daily membership cou	nts	from school	dis	stricts and
24	charter schools.				
25	FTE positions - achievement testing		3.0		3.0
26	Achievement testing		5,477,500		5,940,000
27	The appropriated amount includes \$1,906,	200	for fiscal	yeaı	r 2001-2002
28	and \$2,088,400 for fiscal year 2002-2003 for	r n	orm-referenc	ed	testing of
29	pupils in grades one through nine.				
30	Before making any changes to the achieven	nent	testing pro	gra	m that will
31	affect program costs, the state board of educat	ion	shall report	; the	e estimated
32	fiscal impact of those changes to the joint le	egis	lative budge	et c	ommittee.
33	FTE positions – charter schools				
34	administration		3.0		3.0
35	Charter schools administration		149,700		149,800
36	FTE positions - special education				
37	audit		2.5		2.5
38	Special education audit		321,000	_	321,000
39	Total - general services				
40	administration	\$ 1	12,615,500	\$	13,076,000
41	Performance measures:				
42	Per cent of school report cards available				
43	in hard copy and on the agency's web site		20		25
44	Total cost of administration	\$	4,300,000	\$	4,700,000

- 42 -

```
1
     Per cent difference between the average
        daily membership (ADM) statewide total
 2
 3
        reported as of March 1<sup>st</sup> each year versus
        the year-end actual total as compared
 4
 5
        with the per cent difference observed
 6
        for fiscal year 2000-2001:
 7
        -- Charter schools
                                                              - 1
                                                                              - 2
 8
                                                                              - 2
        -- School districts
                                                              - 1
 9
     Increased percentage of customers satisfied
10
        with the agency above the fiscal year
11
        2000-2001 percentage
                                                              +1
                                                                              +1
12
           Assistance to schools
13
               Basic state aid entitlement
                                                  $2,363,019,000 $2,495,844,800
14
           Fund sources:
15
               State general fund
                                                  $2,288,120,700 $2,420,946,500
16
               Permanent state school fund
                                                      74,898,300
                                                                      74,898,300
17
           The above appropriation provides basic state support to school
     districts for maintenance and operations funding as provided by section
18
19
     15-973, Arizona Revised Statutes, and includes an estimated $74,898,300 per
20
     year in expendable income derived from the permanent state school fund for
21
     fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003.
22
           Receipts derived from the permanent state school fund and any other
23
     nonstate general fund revenue source that is dedicated to fund basic state
24
     aid will be expended, whenever possible, before expenditure of state general
25
     fund monies.
26
           All monies received during the fiscal year from national forests,
27
     interest collected on deferred payments on the purchase of state lands, the
28
     income from the investment of permanent funds as prescribed by the enabling
29
     act and the constitution and all monies received by the superintendent of
30
     public instruction from whatever source, except monies received pursuant to
31
     sections 15-237 and 15-531, Arizona Revised Statutes, when paid into the
32
     state treasury are appropriated for apportionment to the various counties in
33
     accordance with law. No expenditures may be made except as specifically
34
     authorized above.
35
               Additional state aid to schools
                                                     219,581,000
                                                                     230,103,900
36
               Assistance to school districts for
37
                 children of state employees
                                                          35,200
                                                                          36,900
38
               Certificates of educational
39
                 convenience
                                                         859,700
                                                                         895,200
40
               Special education fund
                                                      22,848,700
                                                                      25,117,000
41
               FTE positions - adult education
```

The appropriated amount is for classes in adult basic education, general education development and citizenship on a statewide basis.

5.8

4,588,700

4,588,600

- 43 -

Adult education assistance

assistance

42

43

44

45

1 2	It is the intent of the legislature that r the appropriation for adult education assistance		•
3	education for operating the division of adult education. It is also the		
4	intent of the legislature that the greatest po		
5	appropriated for adult education programs be devo		
6	than administrative, aspects of the programs.		•
7	AIMS intervention; dropout		
8	prevention	550,000	550,000
9	FTE positions - chemical abuse	3.0	3.0
10	Chemical abuse	863,400	863,500
11	Extended school year	500,000	500,000
12	Extraordinary special education	ŕ	·
13	needs fund	1,000,000	1,083,800
14	The department of education shall repo		
15	legislative budget committee regarding alloca		
16	extraordinary special education fund.		
17	FTE positions - family literacy	1.0	1.0
18	Family literacy	1,000,000	999,700
19	FTE positions - gifted support	2.0	2.0
20	Gifted support	1,296,700	1,296,800
21	Optional performance incentive	, ,	, ,
22	programs	120,000	120,000
23	The optional performance incentive program		
24	currently performing ranking performance pay.		
25	Parental choice for reading success	1,000,000	1,000,000
26	Residential placement	100,000	100,000
27	FTE positions - school accountability		14.0
28	School accountability	5,849,000	4,586,800
29	Fund sources:		
30	State general fund - dedicated		
31	proposition 301 fund	\$5,849,000	\$4,586,800
32	FTE positions – school report cards	3.0	3.0
33	School report cards	491,000	491,000
34	FTE positions - school safety program	3.0	3.0
35	School safety program	7,915,800	7,915,900
36	Small pass-through programs	581,600	581,600
37	The appropriated amounts for both fiscal ye	ear 2001-2002 a	and fiscal year
38	2002-2003 include \$50,000 for the academic contes	st fund, \$82,40	00 for academic
39	decathlon, \$50,000 for Arizona geographic all	iance, \$40,00	O for Arizona
40	humanities council, \$25,200 for Arizona princi	pals' academy	, \$234,000 for
41	Arizona school service through education techr	nology, \$50,00	00 for project
42	citizen and \$50,000 for the economic academic c	ouncil.	
43	FTE positions - state block grant	5.7	5.7
44	State block grant for early		
45	childhood education	19,492,600	19,492,700
46	FTE positions - state block grant	34.3	34.3

- 44 -

1	State block grant for vocational		
2	education	11,117,000	11,117,500
3	The appropriated amount is for block		
4	school districts that have vocational educat		
5	of the legislature that monies appropriated		
6	fiscal year 2002-2003 general appropriation		
7	for vocational education be used to promote		
8	providing vocational education programs wit	•	
9	that is linked both to numbers of students in		
10	program completers who enter jobs in fi	•	
11	vocational education program that they comp		
12	legislature that the amount of the state bloc		
13	funding that is used for state level administ		
14	to no more than the amount used for such cos	•	
15	plus the applicable amount of any pay raise		
16	employees through legislative appropriation	•	Videa for State
17	Vocational education extended	•	
18	year	600,000	600,000
19	Total - assistance to schools		\$2,807,885,800
20	Fund sources:	42,000,103,000	¥2,007,000,000
21	State general fund	\$2,582,662,000	\$2,728,400,700
22	State general fund – dedicated	¥2,302,002,000	Ψ <i>L</i> ,7 <i>L</i> 0,400,700
23	proposition 301 fund	\$ 5,849,000	\$ 4,586,800
24	Permanent state school fund	74,898,300	74,898,300
25	Performance measures:	7 + ,030 ,300	74,030,300
26	Per cent of students tested who perform		
27	at or above the national norm on the		
28	Stanford 9 test	54	55
29	Per cent of students in the class of	JŦ	33
30	2002 meeting state academic standards		
31	in reading, writing and math	96	N/A
32	Increased percentage of schools with at	30	11771
33	least 75 per cent of students meeting		
34	or exceeding standards in reading,		
35	writing and math above the fiscal		
36	year 2001-2002 percentage	+1	+2
37	Increased percentage of students who		
38	enter 9 th grade and graduate within		
39	four years above the fiscal year		
40	2001-2002 percentage	+1	+2
41	Per cent of students in grade 3 meeting		
42	or exceeding state academic standards		
43	in reading	74	76
44	Per cent of students in grade 3 meeting	7 न	7 0
45	or exceeding state academic standards		
46	in writing	71	73
. 0		, 1	, 9

- 45 -

1 2	Per cent of students in grade 3 meeting or exceeding state academic standards		
3	in math	52	54
4	Per cent of students in grade 5 meeting	32	31
5	or exceeding state academic standards		
6	in reading	68	70
7	Per cent of students in grade 5 meeting		
8	or exceeding state academic standards		
9	in writing	50	52
10	Per cent of students in grade 5 meeting		
11	or exceeding state academic standards		
12	in math	43	45
13	Per cent of students in grade 8 meeting		
14	or exceeding state academic standards		
15	in reading	56	58
16	Per cent of students in grade 8 meeting		
17	or exceeding state academic standards		
18	in writing	52	54
19	Per cent of students in grade 8 meeting		
20	or exceeding state academic standards		
21	in math	20	25
22	Per cent of students tested	94	95
23	Per cent of parents who rate "A+" the public		
24	school that their oldest school-age child		
25	attends	8.0	8.0
26	Total appropriation - state board of		
27	education and superintendent		
28	of public instruction	\$2,678,002,000	\$2,822,939,400
29	Fund sources:		
30	State general fund	\$2,596,269,900	\$2,742,469,300
31	State general fund - dedicated		
32	proposition 301 fund		\$ 4,586,800
33	Permanent state school fund	74,898,300	74,898,300
34	Teacher certification fund	984,800	985,000
35	Performance measures:		
36	Per cent of students tested who perform		
37	at or above the national norm on the		
38	Stanford 9 test	54	55
39	Per cent of students tested	94	95
40	Per cent of parents who rate "A+" the public		
41	school that their oldest school-age child		
42	attends	8.0	8.0
43	Per cent of agency staff turnover	19	18
44	Administration as a per cent of total cost	0.2	0.2
45	Total cost of administration	\$ 4,300,000	\$ 4,700,000
46	Sec. 32. DEPARTMENT OF EMERGENCY AND MILITA	RY AFFAIRS	

1			<u> 2001-02</u>		<u> 2002-03</u>
2	<u>Administration</u>				
3	FTE positions		18.5		18.5
4	Lump sum appropriation	\$	1,326,500	\$	1,336,600
5	Emergency management				
6	FTE positions		15.0		15.0
7	Lump sum appropriation	\$	963,500	\$	964,300
8	Civil air patrol		61,500		61,500
9	Total - emergency management	\$	1,025,000	\$	1,025,800
10	Fund sources:				
11	State general fund	\$	892,300	\$	893,100
12	Emergency response fund		132,700		132,700
13	<u>Military affairs</u>				
14	FTE positions		89.3		89.3
15	Lump sum appropriation	\$	5,336,400	\$	5,433,900
16	The department of emergency and military	/ aff	airs appropr	iati	on includes
17	\$1,488,900 in fiscal year 2001-2002 and \$1,49				
18	for project challenge. These monies shall	only	be used to	fund	operating
19	expenditures for project challenge.				
20	Total appropriation - department of emergenc	У			
21	and military affairs	\$	7,687,900	\$	7,796,300
22	Fund sources:				
23	State general fund	\$	7,555,200	\$	7,663,600
24	Emergency response fund		132,700		132,700
25	Performance measures:				
26	Number of communities with sustained disaste	r			
27	resistant community programs		11		13
28	Number of months of community recover time				
29	from declaration of emergency to				
30	termination of emergency		16.5		16.5
31	Per cent of project challenge graduates				
32	either employed or in school		94		95
33	Per cent of national guard tuition demands m	et	45		45
34	Administration as a per cent of total cost		14.2		14.6
35	Customer satisfaction rating for communities				
36	served during disasters (Scale 1–8)		6.0		6.0
37	The department of emergency and military	y aff	airs appropr	iati	on includes
38	\$852,300 for service contracts. This amount	is e	xempt from	secti	on 35-190,
39	Arizona Revised Statutes, relating to lapsing	ofa	appropriatio	ns, e	except that
40	all fiscal year 2001-2002 monies remaining				
41	October 31, 2002 revert to the state gener				
42	2002-2003 monies remaining unexpended and un	iencu	mbered on O	ctobe	r 31, 2003
43	revert to the state general fund.				

- 47 -

1	Sec. 33.	DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY				
2	Jee. 55.	DEFARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL GOALITE		2001-02		2002-03
3		FTE positions		557.8		557.8
4		Personal services	\$	12,303,700	\$	12,303,700
5		Employee related expenditures	•	2,644,300	•	2,651,600
6		All other operating expenditures		7,514,600		7,741,800
7		Aquifer protection permit program		773,700		774,000
8		Hazardous waste program		540,000		522,300
9		Solid waste program		3,298,600		3,305,700
10		Waste tire program		195,700		195,800
11		Water quality program		3,484,100		3,485,800
12		Air permits administration program		4,943,400		4,960,600
13		Emissions control program -				
14		administration		3,837,300		3,849,000
15		Emissions control - clean air				
16		fund subsidy		3,600,000		- 0 -
17		Emissions control contractor				
18		payment		15,869,800		31,739,600
19		Water infrastructure finance				
20		authority		2,995,100		2,995,100
21		Air quality program		4,051,300		4,122,300
22		Underground storage tank program		22,000		22,000
23		Pima county air quality programs	_	230,000	_	165,000
24	Total app	ropriation - department of				
25		environmental quality	\$	66,303,600	\$	78,834,300
26	Fun	d sources:				
27		State general fund	\$	16,609,600	\$	16,808,600
28		Solid waste fee fund		1,200,400		1,205,200
29		Water quality fee fund		3,484,100		3,485,800
30		Hazardous waste fund		540,000		522,300
31		Air permits administration fund		4,943,400		4,960,600
32		Emissions inspection fund		23,307,100		35,588,600
33		Air quality fee fund		4,281,300		4,287,300
34		Indirect cost recovery fund		9,748,600		9,784,400
35		Used oil fund		127,000		127,000
36		Underground storage tank fund		22,000		22,000
37	5	Recycling fund		2,040,100		2,042,500
38		formance measures:				
39		of contaminated sites closed				
40	•	ing no further action (cumulative)		74.0		70.0
41		known sites		74.3		78.0
42		remaining aquifer protection		200		100
43	permit	actions in the inventory		208		126

Vehicles that have failed inspection and		
later brought into compliance	180,000	180,000
Per cent of statutorily set permit timelines		
met through licensing time frames rule	99	99
Number of days per year exceeding national		
ambient air quality standards for ozone,		
carbon monoxide or particulates	0	0
Per cent of agency staff turnover	13.0	13.0
Administration as a per cent of total cost	12.6	12.6
Customer satisfaction rating for citizens		
(Scale 1-8)	6.0	6.0

Up to \$1,250,000 of the state general fund appropriation may be used temporarily to maintain existing environmental programs for which an application for federal funds has been submitted.

When expenditures from the hazardous waste or environmental health reserves are authorized, the director of the department of environmental quality shall report the nature of the emergency and the authorized expenditure amount to the president of the senate, the speaker of the house of representatives, the chairmen of the senate and house appropriations committees and the director of the joint legislative budget committee.

The department of environmental quality shall report quarterly to the legislature in writing on the progress of WQARF activities, including emergency response, priority site remediation, cost recovery activity, revenue and expenditure activity and other WQARF-funded program activity. The department shall submit the report to the members of the joint legislative budget committee and to the director of the joint legislative budget committee staff.

The amounts appropriated for the water infrastructure finance authority in fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003 shall be used to provide a twenty per cent match of the fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003 federal safe drinking water and clean water revolving fund allocations to Arizona. Of the amount appropriated, any amount in excess of the required twenty per cent match reverts to the state general fund.

Included in the modified lump sum appropriation is \$472,400 in fiscal year 2001-2002 and \$432,500 in fiscal year 2002-2003 for the national pollution discharge elimination system. If state primacy for the national pollution discharge elimination system is not granted through legislation in the first regular session of the forty-fifth legislature, these amounts shall revert to the state general fund in the year they are appropriated.

The monies appropriated in the Pima county air quality programs special line item are for use by Pima county to avoid being declared in non-attainment of particulate matter standards by establishing public notification and outreach programs, minimizing exposure to particulate matter concentrations, and to abatement and minimization of controllable sources of particulate matter through best available control measures. Of the monies in the Pima county air quality programs special line item in fiscal year 2001-

- 49 -

2

7

8

2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003, \$50,000 shall be used for carbon monoxide monitoring as required by the Pima county limited maintenance plan with the federal environmental protection agency.

Pursuant to section 49-282, Arizona Revised Statutes, the department of environmental quality shall submit a budget for the water quality assurance revolving fund before September 1, 2002, for review by the house and senate appropriations committees.

Sec. 34. OFFICE OF EQUAL OPPORTUNITY

9		<u> 2001 - 02</u>	<u> 2002-03</u>
10	FTE positions	4.0	4.0
11	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 234,900	\$ 234,900
12	Performance measures:		
13	Total training hours provided by the		
14	office of equal opportunity to state		
15	employees	1,200	1,200
16	Number of community organizations contacted		
17	to facilitate the dissemination of		
18	information	135	135
19	Individuals provided with training and		
20	technical assistance	300	300
21	Grievances and equal employment opportunity		
22	commission complaints	125	125
23	Administration as a per cent of total cost	96	96
24	Customer satisfaction rating for client		
25	agencies (Scale 1-8)	6.0	6.0
26	Sec. 35. STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION		
27		<u> 2001 - 02</u>	<u> 2002 - 03</u>
28	FTE positions	8.0	8.0
29	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 639,100	\$ 639,100
30	Performance measures:		
31	Tax appeals received	15,500	18,000
32	Per cent of tax appeals filed on-line	45	50
33	Cost per petition/hearing	\$ 25	\$ 23
34	Average calendar days to process a		
35	property tax appeal from receipt to		
36	issuance (includes statutory 14 days		
37	minimum to mail the hearing notice		
38	before the hearing)	28	28
39	Per cent of rulings upheld in tax courts	100	100
40	Administration as a per cent of total cost	15.8	15.8
41	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8)	6.0	6.0

- 50 -

1	Sec. 36.	BOARD OF EXECUTIVE CLEMENCY				
2				2001-02		2002-03
3		FTE positions		22.0		22.0
4		Lump sum appropriation	\$	1,318,800	\$	
5	Per [.]	formance measures:	-	, ,	-	, ,
6	Scheduled			3,018		2,774
7		revocations of parole		2,927		3,141
8		tim and official notifications		25,119		25,119
9		of parole granted		25		25
10		ation as a per cent of total cost		11.4		11.4
11		satisfaction rating for victims				
12	(Scale			6.0		6.0
13		ARIZONA EXPOSITION AND STATE FAIR B	30AR			
14				<u> 2001-02</u>		<u> 2002-03</u>
15		FTE positions		186.0		186.0
16		Lump sum appropriation	\$	14,890,400	\$	13,679,500
17	Fund	d source:	•	,,	•	,
18		Arizona exposition and state fair				
19		fund	\$	14,890,400	\$	13,679,500
20	Per:	formance measures:	•	1,000,000	•	20,0.0,000
21	Fair atte			1,025,000		1,025,000
22		guests rating state fair "good"		_,,		_,,,
23		cellent" based on annual survey		85		88
24		increase in fair income		4.0		4.0
25		events held on grounds		84		89
26		rental days during the non-fair peri	od	150		158
27		of agency staff turnover		4.5		4.5
28		ation as a per cent of total cost		9.6		9.6
29		STATE BOARD OF FUNERAL DIRECTORS AN	ND E			
30				2001-02		2002-03
31		FTE positions		4.0		4.0
32		Lump sum appropriation	\$	251,500**	\$	251,600
33	Func	d sources:		, , , , , ,		,,,,,,
34		Board of funeral directors and				
35		embalmers fund	\$	251,500	\$	251,600
36	Per [.]	formance measures:		ŕ		ŕ
37		licensees (new and existing)		1,250		1,250
38		complaints received about licensees	6	15		15
39		alendar days to resolve a complaint		45		45
40	-	inspections		145		145
41		alendar days to renew a license				
42	~	receipt of application to issuance)		45		45
43		ation as a per cent of total cost		5.0		5.0
44		satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8)		6.0		6.0

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

1	Sec. 39. GAME AND FISH DEPARTMENT			
2			2001-02	<u> 2002-03</u>
3	FTE positions		274.5	274.5
4	Lump sum appropriation	\$	19,836,800	\$ 19,849,200
5	Pittman - Robertson/Dingell -			
6	Johnson act		2,708,000	2,708,000
7	Performance incentive pay program		346,800*	346,800*
8	Total appropriation – game and fish			
9	department	\$	22,891,600	\$ 22,904,000
10	Fund sources:			
11	Game and fish fund	\$	20,206,400	\$ 20,098,100
12	Waterfowl conservation fund		43,500	43,500
13	Wildlife endowment fund		16,000	16,000
14	Watercraft licensing fund		2,348,000	2,468,700
15	Game, nongame, fish and endangered			
16	species fund		277,700	277,700
17	Performance measures:			
18	Per cent of public rating the department			
19	as "good" or "excellent"		74	75
20	Per cent of public satisfaction with			
21	off-highway vehicle and watercraft			
22	information products and services		77	78
23	Total number of reported watercraft			
24	accidents on Arizona waterways		428	435
25	Per cent of anglers rating their experience			
26	as "excellent", or greater than or equal t	0.0		
27	9, on a scale of 1 to 10		25	28
28	Per cent of agency staff turnover		10	10
29	Administration as a per cent of total cost		17.2	17.1
30	Any part of the appropriation of \$40,	,000	for coopera	ative fish and
31	wildlife research and \$2,708,000 for Pittman	- F	Robertson/Din	gell – Johnson

wildlife research and \$2,708,000 for Pittman - Robertson/Dingell - Johnson act may be used for the purpose of matching federal and apportionment funds.

The \$300,000 from the game and fish fund and \$46,800 from the watercraft licensing fund in fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003 for the performance incentive pay program special line item shall be used for personal services and employee related expenditures associated with the department's performance incentive pay program in accordance with Laws 1999, chapter 138. This appropriation is a continuing appropriation and is exempt from the provisions of section 35–190, Arizona Revised Statutes, relating to lapsing of appropriations.

The department shall review its activities and funding needs concerning watercraft law enforcement and registration services performed by the administrative and field services program on behalf of the watercraft program that necessitate cost transfer reimbursements from the watercraft licensing fund to the game and fish fund. The department shall prepare a report of its findings and suggest alternative budgeting and funding strategies that would

- 52 -

1 minimize the fund transfers from the watercraft licensing fund to the game 2 and fish fund for watercraft enforcement and safety activities. department shall submit the report to the joint legislative budget committee by December 15, 2001. 4 5 Sec. 40. DEPARTMENT OF GAMING 6 2002-03 2001-02 7 FTE positions 75.0 75.0 8 Lump sum appropriation \$ 5,111,700 \$ 4,892,900 9 Fund sources: 10 Tribal state compact fund \$ 5.111.700 \$ 4,892,900 11 Performance measures: 12 Per cent of gaming facilities reviewed 13 for compact compliance 80 80 Number of machines certified 14 8,350 8,350 15 Number of individual applications received 11,500 12,000 16 Per cent of vendor customers satisfied with 17 process 98 99 18 9.0 9.0 Administration as a per cent of cost Sec. 41. ARIZONA GEOLOGICAL SURVEY 19 20 2002-03 2001-02 21 FTE positions 13.3 13.3 22 Lump sum appropriation \$ 899,600 \$ 893,400 23 Performance measures: 24 Maps and reports produced 30 30 25 Maps and reports sold 11,500 12,500 Average days turnaround time on mail orders 26 0.5 0.5 27 Compliance and safety inspections made 33 43 28 Applied geology investigations completed 29 and distributed 4.0 4.0 30 Satisfaction with service provided 31 1-5 (highest) scale 4.9 4.9 32 Administration as a per cent of total cost 8.1 7.8 33 Sec. 42. GOVERNMENT INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY AGENCY 34 2001-02 2002-03 35 FTE positions 21.0 21.0 36 \$ 2,382,800 \$ 2,354,000 Lump sum appropriation 37 232,700 No wrong door 56,300 38 Total appropriation - government information 39 technology agency \$ 2,615,500 \$ 2,410,300 40 Fund sources: 41 Information technology fund \$ 2,382,800 \$ 2,354,000 42 Federal temporary assistance for 43 needy families block grant 232,700 56,300

1	Performance measures:				
2	Average calendar days to review information				
3	technology (IT) projects		18		18
4	Per cent of IT projects completed on				
5	schedule and within budget		50		60
6	Per cent of agencies maximizing bandwidth				
7	resulting in the leasing of fewer				
8	telecommunications lines		30		50
9	Number of transactions accessible on the				
10	Internet		21		33
11	Savings resulting from enterprise licensing				
12	agreements	\$	2,000,000	\$	5,000,000
13	Per cent of state employees working in the				
14	areas of data processing, computer				
15	programming and management of computer				
16	or data processing rating the performance				
17	of GITA as excellent		20		25
18	Administration as a per cent of total cost		16.4		16.4
19	Before the expenditure of any monies fo	r No	Wrong Door,	the	government
20	information technology agency shall submit	a re	port on the	a11	ocation of
21	monies to agencies and the cost of proj	ect	components	to	the joint
22	legislative budget committee for its review.		-		
23	Sec. 43. OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR				
24			2001-02		<u> 2002-03</u>
25	Lump sum appropriation	\$	6,278,900*	\$	6,372,800*
26	Included in the lump sum appropriation	of	\$6,278,900 f	or f	iscal year
27	2001-2002 and the \$6,372,800 appropriation	for	fiscal year	r 20	02-2003 is
28	\$10,000 each year for the purchase of mem	ento	s and items	fo	r visiting
29	officials.				
30	Sec. 44. GOVERNOR'S OFFICE FOR EXCELLENCE IN	۷ GO	/ERNMENT		
31			2001-02		<u> 2002-03</u>
32	FTE positions		19.0		19.0
33	Lump sum appropriation	\$	1,609,200	\$	1,609,300
34	Fund sources:				
35	State general fund	\$	1,584,200	\$	1,584,300
36	Office for excellence in				
37	government fund		25,000		25,000
38	Performance measures:				
39	Amount of money saved through ideas				
40	approved under the shared savings				
41	and suggest Arizona programs	\$	8,000	\$	15,000
42	Amount of money saved through outsourcing				
43	or business process improvement stemming				
44	from a competitive government review	\$	50,000	\$	100,000

1	Per cent of OEG customers indicating			
2	improved customer satisfaction			
3	attributable to assistance provided	Ć.F.		7.5
4	by OEG	65		75
5	Per cent of OEG employees expressing job	27		0.0
6	satisfaction	87		90
7	Per cent of external customers indicating			
8	satisfaction with OEG services	100		100
9	Number of agency process improvement			
10	reports issued	5.0		5.0
11	Average calendar days to complete and			
12	release agency process improvement			
13	reports	246		246
14	Number of state employees trained	250		250
15	Administration as a per cent of total cost	9.8		9.8
16	Sec. 45. GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF STRATEGIC PLA		ΓING	
17		<u> 2001 - 02</u>		<u> 2002-03</u>
18	FTE positions	24.0		24.0
19	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 1,941,100	\$	1,940,900
20	Performance measures:			
21	Per cent of state agencies loading budgets			
22	into AFIS	95		95
23	Non-technical supplemental appropriations			
24	dollars as a per cent of original			
25	appropriations dollars (excludes any			
26	separate appropriations)	0.5		0.5
27	Per cent of agencies submitting biennial			
28	budgets electronically	0		92
29	Per cent of agencies submitting biennial			
30	master list information electronically	100		0
31	Administration as a per cent of total cost	11.6		11.6
32	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8)	6.0		6.0
33	Sec. 46. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES			
34		<u> 2001 - 02</u>		<u> 2002-03</u>
35	<u>Administration</u>			
36	FTE positions	419.4		419.4
37	Personal services	\$ 7,004,500	\$	7,004,500
38	Employee related expenditures	1,505,000		1,511,000
39	All other operating expenditures	4,670,100		4,687,300
40	Assurance and licensure	6,593,800		6,835,200
41	Newborn screening fund – indirect			-
42	costs	478,600		478,600
43	Indirect cost fund	7,596,300		6,140,300
44	Total – administration	\$ 27,848,300	\$	26,656,900

1	Fund sources:				
2	State general fund	\$	19,321,200	\$	19,588,300
3	Newborn screening fund		478,600		478,600
4	Indirect cost fund		7,596,300		6,140,300
5	Nursing care institution resident				
6	protection fund		38,000		38,000
7	Emergency medical services operatir	ng			
8	fund		19,500		17,000
9	Federal child care and development				
10	fund block grant		394,700		394,700
11	Performance measures:				
12	Per cent of relicensure surveys completed				
13	on time				
14	Child care facilities		46		46
15	Health care facilities		46		46
16	Per cent complaint investigations initiated				
17	later than investigative guidelines				
18	Child care facilities		29		29
19	Health care facilities		56		56
20	Days to process enforcement action		41.6		40.0
21	Administration as a per cent of total cost		2.3		2.0
22	The department of health services shall	repo	rt to the jo	int 1	egislative
23	budget committee by November 1, 2002 on the s	tatı	ıs of licensı	ıre b	acklogs in
24	the assurance and licensure division.				
25	<u>Public health</u>				
26	FTE positions		242.7		242.7
27	Personal services	\$	4,084,200	\$	4,084,200
28	Employee related expenditures		944,200		948,200
29	All other operating expenditures		1,310,900		1,310,900
30	Tuberculosis provider care and				
31	control		1,082,000		1,082,000
32	Vaccines		3,415,100		4,415,100
33	STD control subventions		52,500		52,500
34	AIDS reporting and surveillance		1,125,000		1,125,000
35	Laboratory services		3,868,800		3,870,800
36	Kidney program		101,000		101,000
37	Direct grants		578,000		578,000
38	Reimbursement to counties		396,300		396,300
39	Loan repayment		100,000		100,000
40	Community has 1th contant		1,000,000		- 0 -
	Community health centers				
41 42	Alzheimer disease research U of A poison center funding		1,000,000 1,000,000 1,050,000		1,000,000 1,050,000

1	Poison control center funding	800,000	800,000
2	EMS operations	2,736,200	2,741,900
3	Trauma advisory board	250,000	250,000
4	Arizona statewide immunization		
5	information system	477,000	477,000
6	Hepatitis C surveillance	350.000	350.000
7	Total – public health	\$ 24,721,200	\$ 24,732,900
8	Fund sources:		
9	State general fund	\$ 16,290,900	\$ 17,296,600
10	Emergency medical services		
11	operating fund	2,986,200	2,991,900
12	Poison control fund	1,850,000	1,850,000
13	Tobacco tax and health care fund		
14	medically needy account	2,827,000	1,827,000
15	Environmental laboratory licensure		
16	revolving fund	767,100	767,400
17	Performance measures:		
18	Number of uninsured clients receiving		
19	primary care services through the		
20	tobacco tax grants	65,000	65,000
21	Number of clients receiving HIV medications		
22	through the Arizona drug assistance		
23	program (average per month)	800	800
24	Immunization rate among 2-year-old children	82	84
25	Per cent of high school youth who smoked		
26	in the last month	25.1	24.9
27	Exposure calls received at Arizona poison		
28	control centers	82,000	82,000
29	Customer waiting time in vital records'		
30	lobby (in minutes)	20	20

The appropriation for direct grants is to provide for local health work and a portion of the cost of employing one public health nurse and one sanitarian in each county and is to be divided equally among the fifteen counties on a nonmatching basis. All monies that are received by a county under this appropriation and that are not used for the prescribed purposes revert to the state general fund.

The \$396,300 appropriated in fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003 for reimbursement to counties is to provide matching monies to counties for local health work on an equal matching basis and shall be distributed in the following manner: \$174,790 of the monies shall be distributed to counties with populations of less than five hundred thousand persons based on amounts received in fiscal year 1996-1997. The distribution for counties with a population of five hundred thousand persons or more but less than one million persons is \$57,750, and for counties with a population of one million persons or more the distribution is \$163,760.

- 57 -

The \$1,050,000 appropriated in fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003 for the university of Arizona poison control center shall not be used to support any poison control center other than the one at the university of Arizona. The department of health services shall transmit all of the appropriated amount to the university of Arizona for this purpose.

The \$800,000 appropriated in fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003 for poison control center funding shall only be expended for poison control services in counties with a population of more than one million five hundred thousand persons according to the most recent United States decennial census

The department of health services shall report to the joint legislative budget committee by February 1, 2002 and February 1, 2003 on the amount of federal monies received for fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003 for the 317 vaccines program. If the department receives more than \$1,188,000 in federal 317 monies for vaccines purchase for fiscal year 2001-2002, the state general fund amount of the state fiscal year 2001-2002 appropriation for the vaccines special line item equal to the amount by which the federal monies exceed \$1,188,000 up to \$576,600 shall revert to the state general fund. If the department receives more than \$1,266,400 in federal 317 monies for vaccines purchase for fiscal year 2002-2003, the state general fund amount of the state fiscal year 2002-2003 appropriation for the vaccines special line item equal to the amount by which the federal monies exceed \$1,266,400 up to \$961,000 shall revert to the state general fund.

The department of health services shall require the screening of potential recipients of vaccines for private insurance coverage, eligibility for the federal vaccines for children program and eligibility for the state children's health insurance program. This requirement applies to vaccines purchased with state monies appropriated for the vaccines special line item for both the federal 317 program and the state-only immunization program.

The \$1,000,000 appropriated for community health centers in fiscal year 2001-2002 shall be allocated to qualifying community health centers pursuant to section 36-2907.06, subsection A, Arizona Revised Statutes. The monies shall not be used for abortion or abortion referral and counseling under the same conditions as set forth in section 36-2989, subsection A, paragraph 9, Arizona Revised Statutes.

<u>raming mearch</u>		
FTE positions	87.0	87.0
Personal services	\$ 2,383,900	\$ 2,383,900
Employee related expenditures	531,100	533,400
All other operating expenditures	788,100	788,100
Children's rehabilitative services	3,693,800	3,693,800
AHCCCS - children's rehabilitative		
services	32,016,200	33,819,500
Adult cystic fibrosis	223,600	223,600
Adult sickle cell anemia	70,100	70,100

- 58 -

1	High risk perinatal services	3,829,000	3,829,000
2	Nutrition services	390,100	390,100
3	County prenatal services grant	1,281,100	1,281,100
4	Teenage pregnancy prevention task		
5	force	250,000	250,000
6	Health start	1,199,300	1,199,400
7	Child fatality review team	99,800	99,800
8	Newborn screening program	2,307,400	2,307,700
9	Out of wedlock pregnancy prevention	2,507,000	2,507,100
10	TANF perinatal services	450,000	450,000
11	Less federal collections	<u>(21,369,800)</u>	<u>(22,508,500)</u>
12	Total - family health	\$ 30,650,700	\$ 31,318,100
13	Fund sources:		
14	State general fund	\$ 24,836,500	\$ 25,503,500
15	Child fatality review team fund	99,800	99,800
16	Emergency medical services		
17	operating fund	450,000	450,000
18	Newborn screening fund	2,307,400	2,307,700
19	Federal temporary assistance for		
20	needy families block grant	2,957,000	2,957,100
21	Performance measures:		
22	CRS clients served (title XIX and non-title XI	X) 16,200	16,400
23	Number of newborns screened under newborn		
24	screening program	82,000	84,000
25	Births by girls age 19 and under (rate per 1,0	00) 28.1	28.1
26	Per cent of women in health start program		
27	receiving prenatal care in first trimester	65	65

The amounts appropriated for children's rehabilitative services, for AHCCCS-children's rehabilitative services and for federal expenditure authority are intended to cover all indirect, fixed contract, fee-for-services costs and all other costs of the children's rehabilitative services program in full, unless a transfer of monies is approved by the joint legislative budget committee.

Monies in the Arizona health care cost containment system - children's rehabilitative services special line items are appropriated for capitation rates effective December 1, 2000. No monies may be expended for a change in these capitation rates unless an expenditure plan is reviewed by the joint legislative budget committee.

In accordance with Laws 2000, chapter 339, the sum of \$133,000 in personal services and employee related expenditures and 2 FTE positions has been transferred from the department of health services to the department of insurance and is not included in this appropriation.

The department of health services shall distribute all monies appropriated for the county prenatal services grant on a pass-through basis to counties to provide prenatal programs with consideration to population, need and amount received in prior years.

- 59 -

1	Behavioral health services			
2	FTE positions		128.6	128.6
3	Personal services	\$	3,821,500	\$ 3,821,500
4	Employee related expenditures		890,500	892,500
5	All other operating expenditures		3,409,000	3,409,000
6	Client satisfaction incentive progr	am	100,000	100,000
7	RBHA client encounter reports		1,848,900	1,848,900
8	Computer hardware lease		250,000	250,000
9	Children's behavioral health service	29	10,137,700	10,137,700
10	Children's behavioral health state		10,157,700	10,137,700
11	match for title XIX		93,048,400	102,255,700
12	Seriously emotionally handicapped		73,040,400	102,233,700
13	children		4,375,300	4,375,300
14	Seriously mentally ill state match		4,3/5,300	4,375,300
15	for title XIX		99,553,700	107,691,500
16		v		65,308,800
16	Seriously mentally ill non-title XI	λ	65,308,800	
	Court monitoring		205,700	205,700
18	Psychiatric review board		85,200	85,200
19	Arnold v. Sarn		27,500,000	54,902,100
20	Mental health non-title XIX		9,862,100	9,862,100
21	Substance abuse non-title XIX		15,485,400	15,485,400
22	Mental health and substance abuse			
23	state match for title XIX		25,055,800	27,101,800
24	Offset for receipts		(8,000,000)	- 0 -
25	Less federal collections		44,794,800)	(158,113,200)
26	Total - behavioral health services	\$	208,143,200	\$ 249,620,000
27	Fund sources:			
28	State general fund	\$	198,293,200	\$ 239,770,000
29	Substance abuse services fund		1,850,000	1,850,000
30	Tobacco tax and health care fund			
31	medically needy		8,000,000	8,000,000
32	Performance measures:			
33	Per cent SMI clients on anti-psychotics			
34	receiving new generation psychotropic			
35	medications		68	71
36	Per cent of RBHA title XIX clients			
37	satisfied with services		68	68
38	Per cent of clients with improved			
39	functioning		26	27
40	Title XIX clients served		45,600	48,300
41	Non-title XIX clients served		39,400	36,500
42	Per cent of eligible title XIX consumer		20,.00	20,000
43	enrolled		8.0	8.0
44	All federal title XIX funds appropr	iat		inistration are
45	appropriated as a lump sum.		ca for adm	ulc
73	appropriated as a ramp sam.			

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

The amount appropriated for children's behavioral health services shall be used to provide services for non-title XIX eligible children. The amount shall not be used to pay for either federally or nonfederally reimbursed services for title XIX eligible children, unless a transfer of monies is approved by the joint legislative budget committee.

Monies in the children's behavioral health state match for title XIX, seriously mentally ill state match for title XIX and mental health and substance abuse state match for title XIX special line items are appropriated for capitation rates effective on December 1, 2000. No monies may be expended for a change in these capitation rates unless an expenditure plan is reviewed by the joint legislative budget committee.

On a monthly basis, the department shall provide information by program for all populations on the number of new and non-title XIX clients reviewed for title XIX eligibility under the provisions of Proposition 204 as well as the number that convert from non-title XIX status or that are newly enrolled.

For every dollar appropriated from the state general fund for the Arnold v. Sarn special line item that is used for state match for title XIX eligible clients, an amount consistent with the federal matching assistance program that is current during the fiscal year of the appropriation shall be appropriated in federal expenditure authority. It is the intent of the legislature that the total amount available in the Arnold v. Sarn special line item be used for the population covered by the Arnold v. Sarn lawsuit in counties with a population of over 2,000,000 persons and for seriously mentally ill persons that meet the same criteria as those covered by the Arnold v. Sarn lawsuit in counties with populations of less than 2,000,000 persons. Prior to expenditure of these monies, the department shall submit an expenditure plan to the joint legislative budget committee for review. The report shall include projected Title XIX and non-Title XIX expenditures and estimated expenditures by service category.

Arizona state hospital

30	<u>Arizona state hospital</u>		
31	FTE positions	910.2	975.2
32	Personal services	\$ 23,102,800	\$ 24,897,200
33	Employee related expenditures	4,977,400	5,610,400
34	All other operating expenditures	10,777,600	12,377,600
35	Community placement treatment	7,848,000	7,848,000
36	Sexually violent persons	9,339,000	9,776,300
37	Total – Arizona state hospital	\$ 56,044,800	\$ 60,509,500
38	Fund sources:		
39	State general fund	\$ 43,150,900	\$ 47,615,600
40	<u>Arizona state hospital fund</u>	12,493,900	12,493,900
41	ASH land earnings fund	400,000	400,000

- 61 -

1	Performance measures:		
2	Per cent of clients satisfied with		
3	treatment and clinical services	90	90
4	Per cent of adult clients successfully		
5	placed in community who return for		
6	another stay within 1 year of discharge	9.5	9.5
7	Adult forensic patients year-end census	154	172
8	Civil adult patients year-end census	169	149
9	SVP program year-end census	237	253
10	Per cent of staff turnover during first		
11	12 months of employment	<u>15</u>	15
12	Total appropriation – department of		
13	health services	\$347,408,200	\$392,837,400
14	Fund sources:		
15	State general fund	\$301,892,700	\$349,774,000
16	Nursing care institution resident		
17	protection fund	38,000	38,000
18	Newborn screening program fund	2,786,000	2,786,300
19	Indirect cost fund	7,596,300	6,140,300
20	Federal child care and development		
21	fund block grant	394,700	394,700
22	Tobacco tax and health care fund		
23	medically needy account	10,827,000	9,827,000
24	Emergency medical services operating	J	
25	fund	3,455,700	3,458,900
26	Poison control fund	1,850,000	1,850,000
27	Environmental laboratory licensure		
28	revolving fund	767,100	767,400
29	Child fatality review fund	99,800	99,800
30	Federal temporary assistance for		
31	needy families block grant	2,957,000	2,957,100
32	Substance abuse services fund	1,850,000	1,850,000
33	Arizona state hospital fund	12,493,900	12,493,900
34	ASH land earnings fund	400,000	400,000
35	Performance measures:		
36	Per cent of SMI clients on anti-psychotics		
37	receiving new generation psychotropic		
38	medications	68	71
39	Per cent of relicensure surveys completed		
40	on time		
41	Child care facilities	46	46
42	Health care facilities	46	46

Per cent of high school youth who smoked

in the last month	25.1	24.9
Births by girls age 19 and under (rate per 1,000)	28.1	28.1
Per cent of agency staff turnover	9.5	9.0

In addition to the appropriation for the department of health services, earnings on state lands and interest on the investment of the permanent land funds are appropriated to the state hospital in compliance with the enabling act and the constitution.

A monthly report comparing total expenditures for the month and year to date as compared to prior year totals shall be forwarded to the president of the senate, the speaker of the house of representatives, the chairmen of the senate and house appropriations committees and the director of the joint legislative budget committee by the twenty-fifth of the following month. The report shall include an estimate of (1) potential shortfalls in programs, (2) potential federal and other funds, such as the statewide assessment for indirect costs, that may be available to offset these shortfalls, and a plan, if necessary, for eliminating any shortfall without a supplemental appropriation, and (3) total expenditure authority of the month and year to date for seriously mentally ill state match for title XIX, seriously mentally ill non-title XIX, children's behavioral health services, children's behavioral health state match for title XIX, mental health non-title XIX, substance abuse non-title XIX, seriously emotionally handicapped children and children's rehabilitative services.

Notwithstanding section 35–173, subsection C, Arizona Revised Statutes, any transfer to or from the amounts appropriated for seriously mentally ill state match for title XIX, seriously mentally ill non-title XIX, Arnold v. Sarn, children's behavioral health services, children's behavioral health state match for title XIX, mental health non-title XIX, substance abuse nontitle XIX, mental health and substance abuse state match for title XIX, seriously emotionally handicapped children, children's rehabilitative services, AHCCCS - children's rehabilitative services, tuberculosis provider care and control, alzheimer's disease research, kidney program, adult cystic fibrosis, adult sickle cell anemia, high risk perinatal services, county prenatal services grant, nutrition services, community placement treatment, sexually violent persons, university of Arizona poison control center funding and the poison control center funding shall require approval of the joint legislative budget committee. The amounts appropriated for these items shall be used exclusively for contracts for the provision of services to clients unless a transfer of monies is approved by the joint legislative budget committee. No monies may be used from these appropriated amounts for any other expenses of the department of health services.

- 63 -

1	Sec. 47. ARIZONA HISTORICAL SOCIETY				
2			2001-02		2002-03
3	FTE positions		68.5		68.5
4	Lump sum appropriation	\$	2,290,800	\$	2,293,300
5	Field services and grants		80,000		80,000
6	Papago park museum		2,316,600		2,319,200
7	Total appropriation – Arizona historical				
8	society	\$	4,687,400	\$	4,692,500
9	Performance measures:				
10	Museum visitors and researchers		210,116		220,621
11	Number of volunteer hours		35,516		39,068
12	Private funds raised				
13	grants and donations	\$	2,000,000	\$	1,200,000
14	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8)		6.8		7.0
15	Administration as a per cent of total cost		11.8		11.6
16	Of the \$80,000 appropriation for field				
17	reverts if the city of Phoenix does not				•
18	contribution pursuant to the memorandum of unde			ed	between the
19	city of Phoenix and the Arizona historical so				
20	The executive director shall provide mor				
21	board of directors of the Arizona historical s	oci	ety and to th	ne d	director of
22	the joint legislative budget committee staff.				
23	Sec. 48. PRESCOTT HISTORICAL SOCIETY				
24			<u> 2001 - 02</u>		<u>2002-03</u>
25	FTE positions		18.0		18.5
26	Lump sum appropriation	\$	751,800	\$	808,900
27	Performance measures:				
28	Museum visitors and researchers		110,000		110,000
29	Number of volunteer hours		33,000		33,000
30	Private funds raised (grants and donations)		\$570,000		\$575,000
31	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8)		8.0		8.0
32	Administration as a per cent of total cost	- D.C	17.3		17.3
33	Sec. 49. BOARD OF HOMEOPATHIC MEDICAL EXAMIN	EK2	0001 00		0000 00
34	ETE months and		2001-02		<u>2002-03</u>
35	FTE positions		1.0	•	1.0
36	Lump sum appropriation	\$	71,600**	\$	71,600
37	Fund sources:				
38	Board of homeopathic medical		71 600	•	71 600
39	examiners fund	\$	71,600	\$	71,600
40	Performance measures:		0.01		262
41	Number of licensees (new and existing)		261		263
42	Number of complaints received about licensees		25 120		23
43	Average calendar days to resolve a complaint		120		120
44	Number of investigations		22		21

1	Average calendar days to renew a license				
2	(from receipt of application to issuance)		120		120
3	Administration as a per cent of total cost		8.0		8.0
4	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8)		6.0		6.0
5	Sec. 50. ARIZONA COMMISSION OF INDIAN AFFAIRS				
6			2001-02		<u> 2002-03</u>
7	FTE positions		4.0		4.0
8	Lump sum appropriation	\$	231,200	\$	231,300
9	Performance measures:				
10	Number of native Americans serving on				
11	state boards/commissions		4.0		4.0
12	Per cent of tribes, legislators and state				
13	agencies rating commission information				
14	and referral services as "good" or "better"		40		45
15	Per cent of Indian economic development				
16	workshop participants rating workshop				
17	"good" or "better"		55		60
18	Administration as a per cent of total cost		5.2		5.2
19	Sec. 51. INDUSTRIAL COMMISSION				
20			2001-02		<u> 2002 - 03</u>
21	FTE positions		282.0		282.0
22	Lump sum appropriation	\$	15,359,400	\$	15,365,600
23	Fund sources:				
24	Industrial commission administrative				
25	fund	\$	15,359,400	\$	15,365,600
26	Performance measures:				
27	Claims for workers' compensation processed		156,637		160,553
28	Hearings conducted by the administrative				
29	law judge division		3,223		3,304
30	Average number of days to resolve a case				
31	by the administrative law judge division		126		129
32	Safety violations found		1,832		1,878
33	Child labor law violations investigated		359		368
34	Per cent of agency staff turnover		9.0		9.0
35	Administration as a per cent of total cost		10		10
36	Customer satisfaction rating for workers'				
37	compensation program (Scale 1-8)		6.0		6.0
38	Sec. 52. DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE				
39			<u>2001-02</u>		<u> 2002-03</u>
40	FTE positions		118.0		122.0
41	Lump sum appropriation	\$	5,577,200	\$	5,671,900
42	Managed care and dental plan				
43	oversight	_	633,000	_	631,300
44	Total appropriation - department of insurance	\$	6,210,200	\$	6,303,200

1	Performance measures:		
2	Average calendar days to complete a		
3	consumer complaint investigation	90	90
4	Number of new domestic company receiverships	0	0
5	Average days required to complete fraud		
6	investigations	100	70
7	Per cent of survey licensees respondents		
8	indicating "satisfied" or better	85.0	85.0
9	Per cent of consumer services survey		
10	respondents indicating "satisfied"		
11	or better	88	88
12	Average days to issue license after receiving		
13	all required information from applicant	31.4	31.4
14	Maximum number of days for approval of		
15	new products	60	60
16	Maximum number of days for approval of		
17	new rates	60	60
18	Per cent of agency staff turnover	15.1	15.1
19	Administration as a per cent of total cost	22.3	22.1
20	In accordance with Laws 2000, chapter 339,	the fiscal	year 2001-2002

In accordance with Laws 2000, chapter 339, the fiscal year 2001–2002 appropriation includes the \$133,000 in personal services and employee related expenditures transferred from the department of health services.

Sec. 53. ARIZONA JUDICIARY

21

22

23

24		<u> 2001 - 02</u>	<u>2002-03</u>
25	<u>Supreme court</u>		
26	FTE positions	247.4	253.9
27	Justices and support	\$ 3,456,000	\$ 3,487,300
28	Administrative supervision	7,822,500	7,820,300
29	Regulatory activities	985,300	985,500
30	Court assistance	2,932,800	2,932,900
31	Case processing		
32	State aid	8,378,200	8,378,200
33	County reimbursements	590,000	590,000
34	Automation	14,431,200	14,431,500
35	Family services		
36	Foster care review board	2,142,700	2,143,000
37	Court appointed special advocate	2,513,400	2,680,200
38	Model court	528,400	528,400
39	Domestic relations	1,008,900	1,008,900
40	Judicial nominations and performance		
41	review	355,800	355,800
42	Commission on judicial conduct	338,600	338,700
43	Total - supreme court	\$ 45,483,800	\$ 45,680,700

1	Fund sources:		
2	State general fund	\$ 18,294,300	\$ 18,323,800
3	Confidential intermediary and		
4	private fiduciary fund	394,100	394,100
5	Court appointed special advocate		
6	fund	2,513,400	2,680,200
7	Criminal justice enhancement fund	3,009,600	3,009,600
8	Defensive driving fund	5,139,800	5,140,300
9	Judicial collection enhancement fund	12,572,500	12,572,600
10	State aid to the courts fund	3,560,100	3,560,100
11	Performance measures:		
12	Annual per cent increase in court revenues	5.0	5.0
13	Per cent of courts with automated accounting		
14	and case management systems	81	81
15	New supreme court case filings	1,402	1,402
16	Supreme court cases pending at end of year	580	580
17	Average calendar days to issue an opinion	Baseline	Baseline
18	Per cent of agency staff turnover	15.4	15.4
19	Administration as a per cent of total cost	3.9	3.9
20	Customer satisfaction rating for defensive		
21	driving schools (Scale 1–8)	6.0	6.0
22	Included in the lump sum appropriati	on for the	administrative

Included in the lump sum appropriation for the administrative supervision program is 1,000 for the purchase of mementos and items for visiting officials.

By December 31, 2001, the administrative office of the courts shall prepare a report to the joint legislative budget committee that examines the barriers for placing juveniles on probation in out-of-state residential treatment when no in-state residential treatment facility is available to meet the juvenile's needs. The report shall include recommendations to address the barriers and progress made to eliminate these barriers.

The administrative office of the courts shall report annually, by November 1, to the joint legislative budget committee on the total receipts and expenditures in each account of the adult probation services fund established by section 12-267, Arizona Revised Statutes, and the juvenile probation fund established by section 12-268, Arizona Revised Statutes. The report shall present the information by county and include the amount of personal services expended from each revenue source of each account.

All case processing assistance fund receipts received by the administrative office of the courts in excess of \$3,009,600 in fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003 are appropriated to the supreme court. Before the expenditure of any case processing assistance fund receipts in excess of \$3,009,600 in fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003, the administrative office of the courts shall submit the intended use of the monies for review by the joint legislative budget committee.

All defensive driving fund receipts received by the administrative office of the courts in excess of \$5,139,800 in fiscal year 2001-2002 and

- 67 -

\$5,140,300 in fiscal year 2002-2003 are appropriated to the supreme court. Before the expenditure of any defensive driving fund receipts in excess of \$5,139,800 in fiscal year 2001-2002 and \$5,140,300 in fiscal year 2002-2003, the administrative office of the courts shall submit the intended use of the monies for review by the joint legislative budget committee.

All judicial collection enhancement fund receipts received by the administrative office of the courts in excess of \$12,572,500 in fiscal year 2001-2002 and \$12,572,600 in fiscal year 2002-2003 are appropriated to the supreme court. Before the expenditure of judicial collection enhancement fund receipts in excess of \$12,572,500 in fiscal year 2001-2002 and \$12,572,600 in fiscal year 2002-2003, the administrative office of the courts shall submit the intended use of the monies for review by the joint legislative budget committee.

regratuotive budget committeee.		
Court of appeals		
FTE positions	140.5	140.5
Division I	\$ 7,252,100	\$ 7,312,400
Performance measures:		
All cases on file	4,744	4,744
Cases pending at the end of the year	1,918	1,918
Cases upheld upon review	Baseline	Baseline
Customer satisfaction rating for		
settlement program (Scale 1–8)	6.0	6.0
Division II	3,445,800	3,431,800
Performance measures:		
All cases on file	2,412	2,412
Cases pending at the end of the year	1,101	1,101
Cases upheld upon review	Baseline	Baseline
Customer satisfaction rating for		
settlement program (Scale 1–8)	6.0	6.0
Total – court of appeals	\$ 10,697,900	\$ 10,744,200
Of the 140.5 FTE positions for fiscal	year 2001-2002 a	nd fiscal year
2002-2003, 103.5 FTE positions are for divis	sion I and 37 FTE	positions are
for division II.		

<u>Superior court</u>		
FTE positions	199.0	199.0
Judges compensation	\$ 12,337,000	\$ 12,382,700
Adult probation services		
Standard probation	27,624,900	28,400,800
Intensive probation	21,144,700	21,144,700
Community punishment	5,736,000	5,736,000
Interstate compact	1,445,700	1,445,700
Juvenile probation services		
Standard probation	8,675,300	9,173,300
Intensive probation	13,378,000	13,570,900
Treatment services	24,942,900	25,738,500
Family counseling	660,400	661,400
	FTE positions Judges compensation Adult probation services Standard probation Intensive probation Community punishment Interstate compact Juvenile probation services Standard probation Intensive probation Treatment services	FTE positions 199.0 Judges compensation \$ 12,337,000 Adult probation services Standard probation 27,624,900 Intensive probation 21,144,700 Community punishment 5,736,000 Interstate compact 1,445,700 Juvenile probation services Standard probation 8,675,300 Intensive probation 13,378,000 Treatment services 24,942,900

- 68 -

1	Progressively increasing		
2	consequences	9,724,400	9,724,400
3	Juvenile crime reduction _	5.053.900	5,053,900
4	Total - superior court \$	130,723,200	\$133,032,300
5	Fund sources:		
6	State general fund \$	123,838,900	\$126,148,000
7	Criminal justice enhancement fund	6,884,300	6,884,300
8	Performance measures:		
9	Customer satisfaction rating by states		
10	participating in the interstate compact		
11	(Scale 1-8)	6.0	6.0
12	<u>Juvenile standard probation:</u>		
13	Per cent of probationers successfully		
14	completing probation without a referral		
15	(a notice of misbehavior)	75	75
16	Number of probationers at year end	8,500	8,800
17	Average annual state cost per probation slot	\$1,016	\$1,016
18	Juvenile intensive probation (JIPS):		
19	Per cent of probationers successfully		
20	completing probation without a referral		
21	(a notice of misbehavior)	70	70
22	Number of probationers at year end	1,720	1,740
23	Average annual state cost per probation slot	\$6,941	\$6,941
24	Adult standard probation:		
25	Per cent of probationers successfully		
26	completing probation without a new		
27	conviction	90	90
28	Number of probationers at year end	36,100	37,500
29	Average annual state cost per probation slot	\$756	\$756
30	Adult intensive probation (AIPS):		
31	Per cent of probationers successfully		
32	completing probation without a new		
33	conviction	81	81
34	Number of probationers at year end	3,380	3,380
35	Average annual state cost per probation slot	\$5,821	\$5,821
36	Of the 199.0 FTE positions, 155 FTE position	ons represent	superior court

Of the 199.0 FTE positions, 155 FTE positions represent superior court judges. One-half of their salaries are provided by state general fund appropriations pursuant to section 12-128, Arizona Revised Statutes. This is not meant to limit the counties' ability to add additional judges pursuant to section 12-121, Arizona Revised Statutes.

Up to 4.6 per cent of the amounts appropriated for juvenile probation services - treatment services and progressively increasing consequences may be retained and expended by the supreme court to administer the programs established by section 8-322, Arizona Revised Statutes, and to conduct evaluations as needed. The remaining portion of the treatment services and progressively increasing consequences programs shall be deposited in the

- 69 -

juvenile probation services fund established by section 8-322, Arizona Revised Statutes.

Receipt of state probation monies by the counties is contingent on the county maintenance of fiscal year 1994-1995 expenditure levels for each probation program. State probation monies are not intended to supplant county dollars for probation programs.

All community punishment program receipts received by the administrative office of the courts in excess of \$5,736,000 in fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003 are appropriated to the community punishment subprogram. Before the expenditure of any community punishment receipts in excess of \$5,736,000 in fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003, the administrative office of the courts shall submit the intended use of the monies for review by the joint legislative budget committee.

All juvenile crime reduction fund receipts received by the administrative office of the courts in excess of \$5,053,900 in fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003 are appropriated to the juvenile crime reduction subprogram. Before the expenditure of any juvenile crime reduction fund receipts in excess of \$5,053,900 in fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003, the administrative office of the courts shall submit the intended use of the monies for review by the joint legislative budget committee.

	commit dece.		
22	Total appropriation – Arizona judiciary	\$186,904,900	\$189,457,200
23	Fund sources:		
24	State general fund	\$152,831,100	\$155,216,000
25	Confidential intermediary and		
26	private fiduciary fund	394,100	394,100
27	Court appointed special advocate		
28	fund	2,513,400	2,680,200
29	Criminal justice enhancement fund	9,893,900	9,893,900
30	Defensive driving school fund	5,139,800	5,140,300
31	Judicial collection enhancement		
32	fund	12,572,500	12,572,600
33	State aid to the courts fund	3,560,100	3,560,100
34	Sec. 54. DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE CORRECTIONS		
35		<u> 2001 - 02</u>	<u>2002-03</u>
36	FTE positions	1,300.7	1,300.7
37	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 71,594,400	\$ 71,830,600
38	Fund sources:		
39	State general fund	\$ 66,898,800	\$ 67,197,200
40	State charitable, penal and		
41	reformatory institutions		
42	land fund	300,000	360,000
43	Criminal justice enhancement fund	714,600	585,100
44	State education fund for committed		
45	youth	3,681,000	3,688,300
46	Performance measures:		

- 70 -

Average yearly cost per juvenile in secure care	\$54,400	\$54,400
Average daily population in secure care	927	925
Fiscal year-end bed surplus/(shortage)	41	43
Escapes from DJC secure care facilities	0	0
Juveniles passing the GED language test	85	85
Per cent of juveniles who show progress in		
their primary treatment problem area	77	80
Per cent of juveniles returned to custody		
within 12 months of release	22	22
Customer satisfaction rating for employee		
satisfaction (Scale 1-8)	6.0	6.0
Per cent of agency staff turnover	25	25
Administration as a per cent of total cost	8.5	8.5

The department shall provide a travel stipend to all southwest regional juvenile correction complex staff whose residence is at least 20 miles from work.

Twenty-five per cent of land earnings and interest from the state charitable, penal and reformatory institutions land fund shall be distributed to the department of juvenile corrections, in compliance with section 25 of the enabling act and with the constitution, to be used for the support of state juvenile institutions and reformatories.

Following implementation of the travel stipend the department shall study the relationship between the stipend, turnover and overtime pay. The department shall provide a report to the joint legislative budget committee staff on its findings no later than September 15, 2002.

Sec. 55. STATE LAND DEPARTMENT

	2001-02	<u> 2002-03</u>
FTE positions	192.0	192.0
Lump sum appropriation	\$ 15,251,600	\$ 15,470,100
Natural resource conservation		
districts	490,000	490,000
Environmental license plate program	674,000	674,000
Total appropriation – state land department	\$ 16,415,600	\$ 16,634,100
Fund sources:		
State general fund	\$ 14,738,500	\$ 14,971,200
Environmental special plate fund	674,000	674,000
Cooperative forestry fund	1,003,100	988,900
Performance measures:		
Average land sales processing time		
(application to auction, in months)	16.5	14.9
Average score on customer service survey		
(5=very satisfied)	3.6	3.7
Total expendable fund earnings, excluding		
interest on permanent fund	\$ 25,200,000	\$ 27,600,000
Total annual revenue to permanent fund	\$ 74,900,000	\$ 59,900,000
Per cent of fires controlled at 100 acres		

- 71 -

1	or less		95		95
2	Per cent of agency staff turnover		12.0		12.0
3	Administration as a per cent of total cost		16.4		16.4
4	The appropriation includes \$1,084,100 to				
5	fees in fiscal year 2001-2002 and \$1,282,400				
6	fees in fiscal year 2002-2003. For every doll				
7	the state in fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal				
8	assume their allocation of central Arizona				
9	Arizona water conservation district payments,				to the state
10	general fund in the year that the reimbursem				
11	Of the amounts appropriated from the e		· ·		
12	in fiscal year 2001–2002 and fiscal year 2002		•		
13	for costs associated with informing the publi				
14	monies in the environmental special plate		·	ed	by section
15	41-2252, subsection E, Arizona Revised Statu		•		
16	Sec. 56. LAW ENFORCEMENT MERIT SYSTEM COUNC	CIL			
17			<u>2001-02</u>		<u>2002-03</u>
18	FTE positions		1.0		1.0
19	Lump sum appropriation	\$	56,600	\$	56,600
20	Sec. 57. LEGISLATURE		0001 00		0000 00
21			<u> 2001 - 02</u>		<u>2002-03</u>
22	<u>Senate</u>		7 005 4004		7 007 0004
23	Lump sum appropriation		7,035,400*		
24	Included in the lump sum appropriation				
25	2001-2002 and \$7,037,000 for fiscal year 200			еa	cn year for
26	the purchase of mementos and items for visit	ing	officials.		
27	House of representatives	•	10 000 400+	4	12 001 500+
28 29	Lump sum appropriation Included in the lump sum appropriations		12,052,400*		
30	2001-2002 and \$12,991,500 for fiscal year 20				
31	the purchase of mementos and items for visit			eа	cii year Tor
32	Legislative council	illy	officials.		
33	FTE positions		54.0		54.0
34	Lump sum appropriation	\$	4,997,700*	\$	
35	Ombudsman-citizens aide office	Ψ	355,600*	Ψ	356,000*
36	Total appropriation - legislative		333,000		330,000
37	council	\$	5,353,300*	\$	5,176,300*
38	Performance measures:	Ψ	3,333,300	Ψ	3,170,300
39	Customers rating accuracy of bill				
40	drafting "good" or "excellent"				
41	based on annual survey		96		97
42	Customers rating timeliness of bill				
43	drafting "good" or "excellent"				
44	based on annual survey		93		94
45	Customers rating accuracy of computer				
46	help desk "good" or "excellent" based				
	70				

- 72 -

on annual survey	90	92
Individuals assisted by office of the		
ombudsman-citizens aide	2,800	3,000
Investigations completed within 3 months		
by office of the ombudsman-citizens aide	75	75
Administration as a per cent of total cost	5.9	5.9

Dues for the council of state governments shall only be expended on an affirmative vote of the legislative council. Monies not expended for these dues shall be used by the council for ongoing operating expenses or automation requirements.

The operating budget includes a total of \$1,181,900 in fiscal year 2001-2002 for new computer equipment. The senate share of this amount is \$246,500 and will be spent solely at the exclusive discretion of the president of the senate. The house of representatives share of this amount is \$272,000 and will be spent solely at the exclusive discretion of the speaker of the house of representatives. The joint legislative budget committee share of this amount is \$10,000 and the legislative council share is \$653,400, and both will only be spent with the joint approval of both the president of the senate and the speaker of the house of representatives. The \$653,400 for the legislative council includes \$643,400 for systemwide costs for network infrastructure upgrade.

The operating budget includes a total of \$977,000 in fiscal year 2002-2003 for new computer equipment. The senate share of this amount is \$34,600 and will be spent solely at the exclusive discretion of the president of the senate. The house of representatives share of this amount is \$53,000 and will be spent solely at the exclusive discretion of the speaker of the house of representatives. The legislative council share is \$889,400, and will only be spent with the joint approval of both the president of the senate and the speaker of the house of representatives. The \$889,400 for the legislative council is for systemwide costs for server operating system and office product upgrades to Windows 2000, and enhancements to internet and video broadcast capacities.

<u>Joint</u>	legisl	lative	budget	<u>committee</u>

<u> </u>		
FTE positions	35.0	35.0
Lump sum appropriation	\$ 2,452,500*	\$ 2,452,600*
Performance measures:		
Survey of legislator satisfaction (4=high):		
appropriations and JLBC members	3.73	3.73
Survey of legislator satisfaction:		
other members	3.48	3.48
Errors in budget bills	0	0
Maximum per cent actual revenues vary from		
forecasted revenues	3.0	3.0
Days to transmit fiscal notes	14	14
Administration as a per cent of total cost	6.7	6.7

- 73 -

1	<u>Auditor general</u>			
2	FTE positions		203.5	228.0
3	Lump sum appropriation	\$	13,050,500*	\$ 14,624,100*
4	Performance measures:			
5	Federal department of health and human			
6	services acceptance of single financial			
7	audit reports		100	100
8	Per cent of administrative recommendations			
9	implemented or adopted within 1 year for			
10	financial audits		65	65
11	Per cent of administrative recommendations			
12	implemented or adopted within 2 years for			
13	performance audits		90	90
14	Legislative recommendations implemented or		30	30
15	adopted within 3 years for performance au	ditc	60	60
16	Average hours per performance audit	uıts	2,500	2,500
17			2,500 27	2,500
	Per cent of agency staff turnover			- -
18	Administration as a per cent of total cost		7.8	7.4
19	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8)		6.0	6.0
20	Advocate for private property rights		1 0	1.0
21	FTE positions		1.0	1.0
22	Lump sum appropriation	\$	97,500*	\$ 97,600*
23	Performance measures:			
24	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8)		6.0	6.0
25	<u>Library, archives and public records</u>			
26	FTE positions		129.1	129.1
27	Lump sum appropriation	\$	7,449,100	\$ 7,742,400
28	Grants-in-aid		651,400	651,400
29	Statewide radio reading service			
30	for the blind		97.000	97,000
31	Total – library, archives and public			
32	records	\$	8,197,500*	\$ 8,490,800*
33	Fund source:			
34	State general fund	\$	7,888,800	\$ 8,182,100
35	Records services fund		308,700	308,700
36	Performance measures:			
37	Tour participants and program attendees		186,539	193,176
38	Number of volunteers hours		34,191	34,928
39	Outside funds raised (grants and donations)		\$4,600,000	\$4,600,000
40	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8)		7.3	7.4
41	Per cent of agency staff turnover		10.0	10.0
42	Administration as a per cent of total cost		3.5	3.5
43	Sec. 58. DEPARTMENT OF LIQUOR LICENSES AND	CONT		0.0
44	333. 33. BEIMMILM OF EIGON EIGENSES AND	30111	2001-02	<u> 2002-03</u>
45	FTE positions		45.0	45.0
46	Lump sum appropriation	\$	2,557,100	\$ 2,555,100
+ 0	- 74 -	Ψ	£,557,100	Ψ £,JJJ,1UU

1	Performance measures:				
2	Investigations and routine liquor				
3	inspections completed		5,100		5,200
4	Cost per investigation and routine liquor				
5	inspection		\$270		\$270
6	Average calendar days to complete an				
7	investigation		39		37
8	New licenses, transferred licenses and				
9	renewals issued		10,300		10,300
10	Per cent of customers who responded to the				
11	survey reporting very good or excellent				
12	service		99.3		99.3
13	Administration as a per cent of total cost		40		40
14	Sec. 59. ARIZONA STATE LOTTERY COMMISSION				
15			<u>2001-02</u>		2002-03
16	FTE positions		123.0		123.0
17	Lump sum appropriation	\$	6,951,400	\$	
18	Sales incentive program		50,000		50,000
19	Telecommunications		2,090,500		2,090,500
20	Compulsive gambling treatment and				
21	information		500,000		500,000
22	Total appropriation - Arizona state lottery				
23	commission	\$	9,591,900	\$	9,397,100
24	Fund source:				
25	State lottery fund	\$	9,591,900	\$	9,397,100
26	Performance measures:				
27	Amount of estimated on-line sales		12,900,000		05,400,000
28	Amount of estimated instant ticket sales	\$1	36,900,000	\$1	40,100,000
29	Average amount of sales per instant ticket				
30	vending machine (ITVM)	\$	98,754	\$	107,477
31	Per cent of active retailer accounts in				
32	good standing		99.8		99.8
33	Per cent of agency staff turnover		13.5		13.5
34	Administration as a per cent of total cost		8.1		8.1
35	Customer satisfaction rating for retailers				
36	(Scale 1-8)		6.0		6.0
37	An amount equal to 3.1 per cent of a	ctua	I instant 1	ticket	sales is

An amount equal to 3.1 per cent of actual instant ticket sales is appropriated for the printing of instant tickets or for contractual obligations concerning instant ticket distribution. This amount is currently estimated to be \$4,244,300 in fiscal year 2001-2002 and \$4,343,500 in fiscal year 2002-2003.

An amount equal to a percentage of actual on-line game sales as determined by contract is appropriated for payment of on-line vendor fees. This amount is currently estimated to be \$5,633,300 in fiscal year 2001-2002 and \$5,254,100 in fiscal year 2002-2003 or 4.99 per cent of actual on-line ticket sales.

- 75 -

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

An amount equal to 2.7 per cent of gross lottery game sales, but no more than \$11,000,000, is appropriated for advertising in accordance with section 5-505, Arizona Revised Statutes, that states that not more than four cent of the annual gross revenues shall be expended advertising. This amount is currently estimated to be \$6,744,700 in fiscal year 2001-2002 and \$6,599,100 in fiscal year 2002-2003.

An amount equal to 6.5 per cent of gross lottery game sales is appropriated for payment of sales commissions to ticket retailers. accordance with Laws 1997, chapter 214, an additional amount of not to exceed 0.5 per cent of gross lottery game sales is appropriated for payment of sales commissions to ticket retailers. The combined amount is currently estimated to be 6.625 per cent of total ticket sales, or \$16,549,400 in fiscal year 2001-2002 and \$16,257,900 in fiscal year 2002-2003.

Of the amount appropriated for compulsive gambling treatment and information, fifty per cent shall be used to contract for a statewide toll free crisis hotline to promote public education and awareness about compulsive gambling problems and to provide public information on gambling addiction. The remaining fifty per cent of the appropriated amount shall be used to contract for the treatment of individuals who are compulsive gamblers.

Sec. 60. MEDICAL EXAMINERS BOARD

22		2001-02	<u> 2002-03</u>
23	FTE positions	58.5	58.5
24	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 4,536,000**	\$ 4,537,600
25	Fund sources:		
26	Board of medical examiners fund	\$ 4,536,000	\$ 4,537,600
27	Performance measures:		
28	Number of licensees (new and existing)		
29	M.D.	16,359	16,645
30	P.A.	1,075	1,118
31	Number of complaints received about licensees	1,025	1,230
32	Average calendar days to resolve a complaint		
33	M.D.	180	180
34	P.A.	140	140
35	Number of investigations of licensees	850	1020
36	Average calendar days to renew a license		
37	(from receipt of application to issuance)	15	15
38	Administration as a per cent of total cost	6.5	6.9
39	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8)	6.0	6.0
40	Sec. 61. BOARD OF MEDICAL STUDENT LOANS		
41		<u> 2001 - 02</u>	<u>2002-03</u>
42	Medical student loans	\$ 350,400	\$ 361,500
43	Fund sources:		
44	State general fund	\$ 285,500	\$ 353,600
45	Medical student loan fund	64,900	7,900
46	Performance measures:		

- 76 -

1	Number of physicians who have finished schoo				
2	and are currently fulfilling service obli	gatior	13		13
3 4	Cumulative per cent of physicians providing service with contracts under new law		100		100
5	Number of physicians continuing service		100		100
6	after service requirement is met		16		18
7	Administration as a per cent of total cost		0.4		0.4
8	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8)		6.0		6.0
9	Sec. 62. STATE MINE INSPECTOR				
10			2001-02		2002-03
11	FTE positions		19.0		19.0
12	Lump sum appropriation	\$	1,208,800	\$	1,186,000
13	Abandoned mines safety fund				
14	deposit		30,000		30,000
15	Total appropriation - state mine inspector	\$	1,238,800	\$	1,216,000
16	Performance measures:				
17	Per cent of mandated inspections completed		84		83
18	Reportable accidents		75		80
19	Number of miners and contractors trained		4,500		4,500
20	Number of inspections		450		450
21	Administration as a per cent of total cost		23.7		23.7
22	Customer satisfaction rating for mines				
23	(Scale 1-8)		6.0		6.0
24	Sec. 63. DEPARTMENT OF MINES AND MINERAL RE	SOURCE	S		
25			<u> 2001 - 02</u>		<u> 2002-03</u>
26	FTE positions		8.0		8.0
27	Lump sum appropriation	\$	725,600	\$	718,300
28	Performance measures:				
29	Files, books and specimens collected or				
30	donated		300		300
31	General museum visitors		35,000		35,000
32	Total number of volunteer hours		8,757		8,757
33	Per cent of customers and stakeholders				
34	satisfied with information received		98		98
35	Administration as a per cent of total cost		7.9		7.9
36	Sec. 64. NATUROPATHIC PHYSICIANS BOARD OF M	IEDICAL			
37			<u> 2001 - 02</u>		<u> 2002-03</u>
38	FTE positions		3.0		3.0
39	Personal services	\$	93,000	\$	93,000
40	Employee related expenditures		11,800		11,900
41	All other operating expenditures		52,600		52,600
42	Inspection and evaluation		50,000	_	50,000
43	Total appropriation - naturopathic		007 4004		007 500
44	physicians board of medical examiners	\$	207,400**	\$	207,500
45	Fund sources:				
46	Naturopathic physicians board of				

1	medical examiners fund	\$	207,400	\$	207,500
2	Performance measures:		F.4.0		724
3	Number of licensees (new and existing)		540		734
4	Number of complaints received about licensees		18		22
5	Average calendar days to resolve a complaint		120		120
6	Number of inspections		120		160
7	Average calendar days to renew a license		100		100
8	(from receipt of application to issuance)		180		180
9	Administration as a per cent of total cost		13		13
10	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8)	-	6.0		6.0
11	The naturopathic physicians board of medi				
12	expenditures in the prior fiscal year from t		•		
13	special line item to the joint legislative budg	et	committee by A	Aug	ust 1, 2002
14	and August 1, 2003.				
15	Of the appropriated amount, \$5,900 m				
16	physicians board of medical examiners fund in e			dep	partment of
17	administration does not reclassify the secreta		•		
18	Sec. 65. ARIZONA NAVIGABLE STREAM ADJUDICATION	ON (
19			<u> 2001 - 02</u>		<u> 2002-03</u>
20	FTE positions		2.0		- 0 -
21	Lump sum appropriation	\$	185,800	\$	- 0 -
22	Performance measures:				
23	Major watercourse reports and recommendations				
24	to the legislature		0		0
25	Small and minor watercourse reports and				
26	recommendations to the legislature		15,760		0
27	Cost per county to study watercourses	\$	31,400	\$	- 0 -
28	Administration as a per cent of total cost		12.3		0
29	Customer satisfaction rating for hearing				
30	attendees (Scale 1-8)		6.0		6.0
31	Sec. 66. NURSING BOARD				
32			2001-02		2002-03
33	FTE positions		46.2		39.2
34	Lump sum appropriation	\$	2,985,300**	\$	2,559,200
35	Fund sources:				
36	State general fund	\$	132,000	\$	132,000
37	Board of nursing fund		2,853,300		2,427,200
38	Performance measures:		, ,		
39	Number of licensees (new and existing)		41,600		41,600
40	Number of complaints received about licensees		2,160		2,160
41	Average calendar days to resolve a complaint		200		180
42	Number of investigations of licensees		3,500		3,500
43	Average calendar days to renew a license		0,000		0,000
44	(from receipt of application to issuance)		10		10
45	Administration as a per cent of total cost		8.9		9.3
46	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8)		6.0		6.0
-10	- 78 -		0.0		0.0
	- /0 -				

1 2	Sec. 67. BOARD OF EXAMINERS OF NURSING CARE IN	NSTI	TUTION ADMIN	ISTI	RATORS AND
3	According Eliting Photelin Imministra		2001-02		<u> 2002-03</u>
4	FTE positions		5.0		5.0
5	Lump sum appropriation	\$	351,400**	\$	330,400
6	Fund sources:		ŕ		•
7	Nursing care institution				
8	administrators' licensing and				
9	assisted living facility				
10	managers' certification fund	\$	351,400	\$	330,400
11	Performance measures:				
12	Number of licensees (new and existing)		4,300		4,800
13	Number of complaints received about licensees		176		196
14	Average calendar days to resolve a complaint		90		90
15	Number of investigations		96		105
16	Average calendar days to renew a license				
17	(from receipt of application to issuance)		60		60
18	Administration as a per cent of total cost		5.0		5.0
19	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8)		6.0		6.0
20	Sec. 68. OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH REVIE	W BO			
21			2001-02		2002-03
22	Lump sum appropriation	\$	7,000	\$	7,000
23	Sec. 69. OCCUPATIONAL THERAPY EXAMINERS BOARD)			•
24			2001-02		2002-03
25	FTE positions		3.0		3.0
26	Lump sum appropriation	\$	209,400**	\$	203,300
27	Fund sources:		•		•
28	Occupational therapy fund	\$	209,400	\$	203,300
29	Performance measures:				•
30	Number of licensees (new and existing)		1,682		1,837
31	Number of complaints received about licensees		14		17
32	Average calendar days to resolve a complaint		120		120
33	Number of investigations of licensees		14		17
34	Average calendar days to renew a license				
35	(from receipt of application to issuance)		30		30
36	Administration as a per cent of total cost		9.2		7.8
37	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8)		6.0		6.0
38	Sec. 70. STATE BOARD OF DISPENSING OPTICIANS				
39			2001-02		2002-03
40	FTE positions		1.0		1.0
41	Lump sum appropriation	\$	94,200**	\$	94,400
42	Fund sources:		•		•
43	Board of dispensing opticians fund	\$	94,200	\$	94,400
44	Performance measures:		-		•
45	Number of licensees (new and existing)		1,076		1,131
46	Number of complaints received about licensees		25		25

1	Average calendar days to resolve a complaint		90		90
2	Number of investigations		25		25
3			90		90
	Per cent of renewals within 3 days				
4	Administration as a per cent of total cost		6.0		6.0
5	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8)		6.0		6.0
6	Sec. 71. STATE BOARD OF OPTOMETRY				
7			2001-02		<u> 2002-03</u>
8	FTE positions		2.0		2.0
9	Lump sum appropriation	\$	156,600**	\$	141,400
		Ψ	150,000	Ф	141,400
10	Fund sources:		156 600		1.4.1 .4.0.0
11	Board of optometry fund	\$	156,600	\$	141,400
12	Performance measures:				
13	Number of licensees (new and existing)		720		750
14	Number of complaints received about licensees		80		100
15	Average calendar days to resolve a complaint		90		90
16	Number of investigations		80		100
	·		00		100
17	Average calendar days to renew a license				
18	(from receipt of application to issuance)		40		35
19	Administration as a per cent of total cost		5.0		5.0
20	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8)		6.0		6.0
21	Sec. 72. OSTEOPATHIC EXAMINERS BOARD				
22			2001-02		<u> 2002-03</u>
23	FTE positions		8.0		8.0
	·	4		4	
24	Lump sum appropriation	\$	379,800	\$	380,300
25	Health crisis fund repayment		85.000	_	- 0 -
26	Total appropriation - osteopathic examiners				
27	board	\$	464,800**	\$	380,300
28	Fund sources:				
29	Board of osteopathic examiners fund	\$	464,800	\$	380,300
30	Performance measures:		ŕ		ŕ
31	Number of licensees (new and existing)		1,790		1,815
32			250		250
	Number of complaints received about licensees				
33	Average calendar days to resolve a complaint		180		180
34	Number of investigations of licensees		200		200
35	Average calendar days to renew a license				
36	(from receipt of application to issuance)		15		15
37	Administration as a per cent of total cost		0.34		0.34
38	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8)		6.0		6.0
39	Sec. 73. STATE PARKS BOARD				
40	JCC. 75. STATE TARKS BOARD		2001 02		2002 02
	FTF wasthings		<u>2001-02</u>		<u>2002-03</u>
41	FTE positions		235.0		235.0
42	Lump sum appropriation	\$	11,440,600	\$	11,533,700
43	Kartchner caverns	_	1,305,900	_	<u>1,307,400</u>
44	Total appropriation - state parks board	\$	12,746,500	\$	12,841,100
45	Fund sources:				
46	State general fund	\$	7,510,600	\$	7,525,600
	- 80 -		•		•

3,	925,000		3,972,800
1	0.60 0.00		1 000 700
1,	,060,900		1,092,700
	250,000		250,000
2,	450,000		2,500,000
	96		96
\$	0.22	\$	0.17
	7,000		7,000
	9.2		9.2
	5.9		5.9
	2,	2,450,000 96 \$ 0.22 7,000 9.2	1,060,900 250,000 2,450,000 \$ 0.22 \$ 7,000 9.2

The appropriation for law enforcement and boating safety fund projects is an estimate representing all monies distributed to this fund, including balance forward, revenue and transfers during fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003. These monies are appropriated to the Arizona state parks board for the purposes established in section 5-383, Arizona Revised Statutes. The appropriation shall be adjusted as necessary to reflect actual final receipts credited to the law enforcement and boating safety fund.

All other operating expenditures include \$26,000 from the state parks enhancement fund for Fool Hollow state park revenue sharing. If receipts to Fool Hollow exceed \$260,000 in fiscal year 2001-2002 or fiscal year 2002-2003, an additional ten per cent of this increase of Fool Hollow receipts is appropriated from the state parks enhancement fund to meet the revenue sharing agreement with the city of Show Low and the United States forest service.

All reservation surcharge revolving fund receipts received by the Arizona state parks board in excess of \$250,000 in fiscal year 2002 and \$250,000 in fiscal year 2003 are appropriated to the reservation surcharge revolving fund special line item. Before the expenditure of any reservation surcharge revolving fund monies in excess of \$250,000 in fiscal year 2002 and \$250,000 in fiscal year 2003, the Arizona state parks board shall submit the intended use of the monies for review by the joint legislative budget committee.

Sec. 74. PERSONNEL BOARD

	<u> 2001-02</u>	<u> 2002-03</u>
FTE positions	3.0	3.0
Lump sum appropriation	\$ 396,600	\$ 392,700
Performance measures:		
Appeals/complaints filed	89	89
Average number of calendar days from		
receipt of appeal/complaint to final	105	105
Average cost of an appeal/complaint	\$ 1,642	\$ 1,726

- 81 -

1 2 3	Per cent of customers rating service as "good" or "excellent" Administration as a per cent of total cost	98 19.4	98 19.1
4	Sec. 75. PHARMACY BOARD		
5		<u> 2001 - 02</u>	<u> 2002-03</u>
6	FTE positions	17.0	17.0
7	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 1,252,000**	\$ 1,178,200
8	Fund sources:		
9	Board of pharmacy fund	\$ 1,252,000	\$ 1,178,200
10	Performance measures:		
11	Number of licensees (new and existing)	12,000	12,000
12	Number of complaints received about licensees	120	120
13	Average calendar days to resolve a complaint	120	120
14	Number of inspections of licensees	3,047	3,047
15	Average calendar days to renew a license		
16	(from receipt of application to issuance)	5.0	5.0
17	Administration as a per cent of total cost	0.3	0.3
18	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8)	6.0	6.0
19	Sec. 76. BOARD OF PHYSICAL THERAPY		
20		<u> 2001 - 02</u>	<u> 2002-03</u>
21	FTE positions	3.0	3.0
22	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 223,000**	\$ 222,800
23	Fund sources:		
24	Board of physical therapy fund	\$ 223,000	\$ 222,800
25	Performance measures:		
26	Number of licensees (new and existing)	3,100	3,100
27	Number of complaints received about licensees	15	15
28	Average calendar days to resolve a complaint	75	75
29	Number of investigations	15	15
30	Average calendar days to renew a license		
31	(from receipt of application to issuance)	1.0	1.0
32	Administration as a per cent of total cost	8.0	8.0
33	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8)	6.0	6.0
34	Sec. 77. PIONEERS' HOME		
35		<u> 2001 - 02</u>	<u>2002-03</u>
36	FTE positions	117.4	117.4
37	Personal services	\$ 2,967,500	\$ 2,967,500
38	Employee related expenditures	817,800	824,600
39	Professional and outside services	162,700	162,700
40	Travel in-state	19,200	19,200
41	Food	231,800	231,800
42	Other operating expenditures	443,800	452,000
43	Equipment	234,300	- 0 -
44	Prescription drugs	 436,400	 436,400
45	Total appropriation - pioneers' home	\$ 5,313,500	\$ 5,094,200
46	Fund sources:		

1	State general fund	\$ 2,664,300	\$ 2,679,300
2	Miners' hospital fund	1,934,300	1,700,000
3	State charitable fund	714,900	714,900
4	Performance measures:		
5	DHS quality rating (excellent, standard		
6	or substandard)	Excellent	Excellent
7	Per cent of residents rating services as		
8	"good" or "excellent"	98	98
9	Monthly cost per resident	\$3,110	\$3,110
10	Average monthly payment per resident	\$650	\$650
11	Per cent of agency staff turnover	16	16
12	Administration as a per cent of total cost	8.4	8.4

In addition to the amounts appropriated, earnings on state lands and interest on the investment of the permanent land funds are appropriated for the pioneers' home and the hospital for disabled miners in compliance with the enabling act and the constitution.

Any monies appropriated for nurses' stipends that are not spent on nurses' stipends shall revert to the state general fund at the end of the fiscal year in which the monies were appropriated.

The pioneers' home shall not exceed its expenditure authority for monies appropriated from the miners' hospital for disabled miners' land fund.

The governor's office for excellence in government shall prepare a report exploring the viability of privatizing the Arizona pioneers' home. This report will, at a minimum, discuss the potential costs and benefits of privatization and the legal issues pertaining to a private entity's use of the miners' hospital fund. The report shall be submitted to the joint legislative budget committee no later than June 30, 2002.

Before the expenditure of the \$44,700 appropriated for nursing assistant stipends, the Arizona pioneers' home shall obtain approval from the Arizona department of administration for the alternative salary structure for nursing assistants.

Sec. 78. STATE BOARD OF PODIATRY EXAMINERS

-			
33		<u> 2001 - 02</u>	<u> 2002 - 03</u>
34	FTE positions	1.0	1.0
35	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 94,800**	\$ 94,800
36	Fund sources:		
37	Podiatry fund	\$ 94,800	\$ 94,800
38	Performance measures:		
39	Number of licensees (new and existing)	310	310
40	Number of complaints received about licensees	29	29
41	Average calendar days to resolve a complaint	90	90
42	Number of investigations	29	29
43	Average days to process an application		
44	for licensure (from receipt of application		
45	to issuance)	60	60
46	Administration as a per cent of total cost	6.0	6.0

- 83 -

1 2	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) Sec. 79. COMMISSION FOR POSTSECONDARY EDUCATI	ON	6.0		6.0
3	Sec. 79. COMMISSION FOR POSISECONDARY EDUCATI	UN	2001-02		2002-03
4	FTE positions		6.0		6.0
5	Personal services	\$		\$	180,000
6	Employee related expenditures	Ψ	34,300	•	34,400
7	All other operating expenditures		104,200		104,200
8	Leveraging educational assistance		104,200		104,200
9	partnership		3,364,500		3,364,500
10	Private postsecondary education		0,001,000		0,001,000
11	student financial assistance				
12	program		400,000		400,000
13	Family college savings program		85,100		85,100
14	Arizona college and career guide		25,000		25,000
15	Arizona minority educational policy				
16	analysis center		74,500		74,500
17	Twelve plus partnership		231,200		231,200
18	Total appropriation – commission for				
19	postsecondary education	\$	4,498,800	\$	4,498,900
20	Fund sources:				
21	State general fund	\$	1,723,800	\$	1,723,800
22	Postsecondary education fund		2,775,000		2,775,100
23	Performance measures:				
24	Student grants awarded		3,900		3,900
25	Arizona education loan program number				
26	of student borrowers		130,000		130,500
27	Family college savings program account				
28	holders		5,838		6,838
29	College and career guides distributed		10,000		10,000
30	Per cent of customers rating commission				
31	services as good or excellent		85		85
32	Administration as a per cent of total cost		4.0		4.0

The appropriation for leveraging educational assistance partnership is to be used to make grants under the Arizona state student incentive grant program administered by the Arizona commission for postsecondary education. Grants may be made according to the provisions of applicable federal and state laws and regulations relating to this program to Arizona residents who demonstrate financial need and who are attending, on at least a half-time basis, an approved program at a properly accredited Arizona postsecondary educational institution.

Each participating institution, public or private, in order to be eligible to receive state matching funds under the state student incentive grant program for grants to students, shall provide an amount of institutional matching funds that equals the amount of funds provided by the state to the institution for the state student incentive grant program. Administrative expenses incurred by the commission for postsecondary

- 84 -

education shall be paid from institutional matching funds and shall not exceed twelve per cent of the funds in fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003.

For fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003, any unencumbered balance remaining in the postsecondary education fund on June 30, 2001 and June 30, 2002, respectively, and all grant monies and other revenues received by the commission for postsecondary education during this fiscal year, when paid into the state treasury, are appropriated for the explicit purposes designated by special line items and for additional responsibilities prescribed in sections 15-1851 and 15-1852, Arizona Revised Statutes.

Of the total amount appropriated for the private postsecondary education student financial assistance program, no more than ten per cent may be used for program administrative costs.

The appropriations for Arizona college and career guide, Arizona minority educational policy analysis center and twelve plus partnership are estimates representing all monies distributed to this fund, including balance forward, revenue and transfers, during fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003. The appropriations shall be adjusted as necessary to reflect actual final receipts credited to the postsecondary education fund.

Sec. 80. STATE BOARD FOR PRIVATE POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION

		2001-02	<u> 2002-03</u>
FTE positions		4.0	4.0
Lump sum appropriation	\$	243,200**	\$ 247,600
Fund sources:			
Board for private postsecondary			
education fund	\$	243,200	\$ 247,600
Performance measures:			
Institutional licenses approved		350	350
Number of student and consumer complaints			
received		40	40
Average number of days to pay fund claims		90	90
Number of institutional inspections		100	100
Average days to process student record request	S	21	21
Administration as a per cent of total cost		16	16
Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8)		6.0	6.0
Sec. 81. STATE BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGIST EXAMINER	RS		
		2001-02	<u> 2002-03</u>
FTE positions		4.0	4.0
Lump sum appropriation	\$	300,600**	\$ 316,200
Fund sources:			
Board of psychologist examiners			
fund	\$	300,600	\$ 316,200
Performance measures:			
Number of licensees (new and existing)		1,735	1,819
Number of complaints received about licensees		30	30
Average calendar days to resolve a complaint		90	90

1	Number of investigations		30	30
2	Average days to process an application			
3 4	for licensure (from receipt of		45	45
4 5	application to issuance) Administration as a per cent of total cost		7.0	7.0
5 6	·			
o 7	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8)		6.0	6.0
	Sec. 82. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY		2001 02	2002 02
8 9	Aganay support		<u>2001-02</u>	<u>2002-03</u>
9 10	Agency support		194.5	194.5
11	FTE positions	¢		\$ 21,171,000
12	<pre>Lump sum appropriation Fund sources:</pre>	Ф	21,113,700	\$ 21,1/1,000
13	State general fund	¢	21,020,300	\$ 21,077,000
13 14	Criminal justice enhancement fund	Ф	93,400	94,000
15	Highway patrol		93,400	94,000
16	FTE positions		835.0	836.0
17	Lump sum appropriation	\$	53,018,000	\$ 53,349,600
18	Fund sources:	Ψ	33,010,000	Ψ 33,343,000
19	State general fund	\$	12,499,000	\$ 12,538,200
20	State highway fund	Ψ	12,730,100	12,813,900
21	Highway user revenue fund		15,981,800	16,105,500
22	Arizona highway patrol fund		10,969,300	11,054,000
23	Safety enforcement and transportati	οn	10,303,300	11,004,000
24	infrastructure fund	011	750,000	750,000
25	Criminal justice enhancement fund		87,800	88,000
26	<u>Criminal investigations</u>		07,000	00,000
27	FTE positions		314.0	314.0
28	Lump sum appropriation	\$	15,368,800	\$ 15,350,300
29	GITEM		6,134,600	6,139,500
30	Total - criminal investigations	\$	21,503,400	\$ 21,489,800
31	Criminal justice support			
32	FTE positions		435.3	448.3
33	Lump sum appropriation	\$	29,691,500	\$ 31,922,000
34	Fingerprint board		158,900	159,600
35	Total - criminal justice support	\$	29,850,400	\$ 32,081,600
36	Fund sources:			
37	State general fund	\$	21,095,200	\$ 23,259,800
38	Arizona highway patrol fund		524,900	527,200
39	Crime laboratory assessment fund		3,618,300	3,724,000
40	Automated fingerprint identificatio	n		
41	fund		2,158,800	2,108,200
42	Arizona deoxyribonucleic acid			
43	identification fund		416,300	417,500
44	Fingerprint clearance card fund		35,500	35,500
45	Criminal justice enhancement fund		1,939,700	1,947,000
46	Board of fingerprinting fund		61,700	62,400
	- 86 -			

1	Governor's office of highway safety				
2	FTE positions		4.0		4.0
3	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 232	2.500	\$	233,300
4	Fund sources:				
5	State highway fund	\$ 232	2,500	\$	233,300
6	Total appropriation - department of public				
7	safety	\$125,718	3,000	\$12	8,325,300
8	Fund sources:				
9	State general fund	\$ 76,117			8,364,800
10	Highway user revenue fund	15,981			6,105,500
11	State highway fund	12,962			3,047,200
12	Arizona highway patrol fund	11,494			1,581,200
13	Criminal justice enhancement fund	2,120	,900		2,129,000
14	Safety enforcement and transportation				
15	infrastructure fund	750	0,000		750,000
16	Crime laboratory assessment fund	3,618	3,300		3,724,000
17	Arizona deoxyribonucleic acid				
18	identification fund	416	3,300		417,500
19	Automated fingerprint identification				
20	fund	2,158	3,800		2,108,200
21	Fingerprint clearance card fund	35	5,500		35,500
22	Board of fingerprinting fund	61	,700		62,400
23	Performance measures:				
24	Fatal highway crashes		352		352
25	Per cent of total highway crashes related				
26	to alcohol		15		15
27	Per cent of scientific analysis cases over				
28	30 calendar days old		3.0		1.0
29	Per cent of system reliability of the Arizona				
30	automated fingerprint identification network	<	98		98
31	Clandestine labs dismantled		440		460
32	Per cent of agency staff turnover		5.0		5.0
33	Administration as a per cent of total cost		13.9		13.9
34	Customer satisfaction rating for citizens				
35	(Scale 1-8)		6.0		6.0
36	Any monies remaining in the department of	nublic		ioin	
	7.11.j monres remarining in the department of	Public	Juicey	JOIN	

Any monies remaining in the department of public safety joint account on June 30, 2002 and June 30, 2003 shall revert to the funds from which they were appropriated. The reverted monies shall be returned in direct proportion to the amounts appropriated.

The \$61,700 for fingerprinting in fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003 is appropriated from the state general fund to the board of fingerprinting fund and is further appropriated from the fund to the fingerprint board special line item for purposes as set forth by section 41-619.56, Arizona Revised Statutes.

Sec. 83. ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF RACING

2001-02 2002-03

-			54.0		54.0
1	FTE positions	•	54.8		54.8
2	Lump sum appropriation	\$	3,173,000	\$	3,173,300
3	Fund sources:	.	0 700 000	.	0 700 100
4	State general fund	\$	2,789,800	\$	2,790,100
5	County fair racing fund		322,400		322,400
6	Racing administration fund		60,800		60,800
7	Performance measures:				
8	Per cent of horse racing customers reporting		0.4		٥٦
9	very good or excellent service		94		95
10	Per cent of greyhound racing customers		0.4		0.5
11	reporting very good or excellent service		94		95
12	Per cent of positive horse drug tests		0.9		0.9
13	Per cent of positive greyhound drug tests		0.1		0.1
14	Administration as a per cent of total cost		31		31
15	Sec. 84. RADIATION REGULATORY AGENCY				
16			<u> 2001 - 02</u>		<u> 2002 - 03</u>
17	FTE positions		27.0		27.0
18	Lump sum appropriation	\$	1,287,000	\$	1,287,400
19	Medical radiologic technology				
20	board of examiners		192,000		192,000
21	Total appropriation – radiation				
22	regulatory agency	\$	1,479,000	\$	1,479,400
23	Fund sources:				
24	State general fund	\$	1,287,000	\$	1,287,400
25	State radiologic technologist				
26	certification fund		192,000		192,000
27	Performance measures:				
28	Per cent of x-ray tubes inspected		22		26
29	Per cent of x-ray tubes inspected on time		97		98
30	Radiological incidents (non-Palo Verde related	1)	16		16
31	Radiological incidents (Palo Verde)		2		2
32	Administration as a per cent of total cost		18		17
33	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8)		6.0		6.0
34	Sec. 85. GOVERNOR - ARIZONA RANGERS' PENSIONS	;			
35			2001-02		2002-03
36	Lump sum appropriation	\$	11,800	\$	12,000
37	Sec. 86. REAL ESTATE DEPARTMENT	-	,	-	,
38			2001-02		<u> 2002-03</u>
39	FTE positions		67.0		67.0
40	Lump sum appropriation	\$	3,205,700	\$	3,173,600
41	Performance measures:	•	0,200,700	•	0,170,000
42	Average days from receipt to approval of				
43	continuing education course		3.0		3.0
44	Average days from receipt to issuance of		3.0		3.0
45	license reports		3.0		3.0
46	Per cent of surveys from licensees indicating		3.0		3.0
	0.0				

1	good to excellent service		95		95
2	Average days from receipt of complaint to				
3	resolution		120		120
4	Number of working days to issue public reports				
5	for improved lot subdivision		30		30
6	Per cent of licensees with disciplinary action		0.3		0.3
7	Administration as a per cent of total cost		19.4		19.7
8	Sec. 87. RESIDENTIAL UTILITY CONSUMER OFFICE				
9			2001-02		<u> 2002-03</u>
10	FTE positions		12.0		12.0
11	Lump sum appropriation	\$	923,700	\$	923,400
12	Professional witnesses		145,000*		145,000*
13	Total appropriation - residential utility				_
14	consumer office	\$	1,068,700	\$	1,068,400
15	Fund sources:				
16	Residential utility consumer office				
17	revolving fund	\$	1,068,700	\$	1,068,400
18	Performance measures:	•	_,000,00	•	1,000,.00
19	Number of cases analyzed		105		115
20	Number of interventions in rate making		12		14
21	Average rate increase requested by utilities	\$	6,000,000	\$	6,000,000
22	Average rate increase recommended by RUCO		1,800,000	\$	1,800,000
23	Average rate increase approved by	Ψ	1,000,000	Ψ	1,000,000
24	corporation commission	\$	3,400,000	\$	3,000,000
	·	Ф		Ф	
25	Administration as a per cent of total cost		4.4		4.4
26	Customer satisfaction rating for residential		6 0		6.0
27	utility customers (Scale 1-8)		6.0	•	6.0
28	It is the intent of the legislature that				
29	positions in the residential utility consumer o				
30	equivalent to other agencies with similar posi				
31	shall not be implemented without approval by	/ t	he Arizona d	lepa	artment of
32	administration.				
33	Sec. 88. BOARD OF RESPIRATORY CARE EXAMINERS				
34			<u> 2001 - 02</u>		<u> 2002-03</u>
35	FTE positions		4.0		4.0
36	Lump sum appropriation	\$	169,300**	\$	169,200
37	Fund sources:				
38	Board of respiratory care examiners'				
39	fund	\$	169,300	\$	169,200
40	Performance measures:				
41	Number of licensees (new and existing)		3,650		3,650
42	Number of complaints received about licensees		118		120
43	Average calendar days to resolve a complaint		60		60
44	Number of investigations of licensees		110		110
45	Average calendar days to renew a license				
46	(from receipt of application to issuance)		1.0		1.0
	- 89 -				

1	Administration as a per cent of total cost	1.3	1.3
2	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) Sec. 89. STATE RETIREMENT SYSTEM	6.0	6.0
3 4	Sec. 69. STATE RETTREMENT STSTEM	2001-02	2002-03
5	FTE positions	168.0	168.0
6	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 14,536,400	\$ 14,108,300
7	Information technology plan	9,000,000	9,000,000
8	Total appropriation - state retirement system	•	\$ 23,108,300
9	Fund sources:	, ,	,,
10	State retirement system		
11	administration account	\$ 21,249,700	\$ 20,684,400
12	Long-term disability		
13	administration account	2,286,700	2,423,900
14	Performance measures:		
15	Per cent of members satisfied with ASRS		
16	telephone services	85	85
17	Per cent of members satisfied with the		
18	service purchase process	85	85
19	Per cent of investment returns	8.0	8.0
20	Per cent of liability funded	100	100
21	Per cent of benefit payment calculations		
22	that are accurate as measured by quality		
23	control sample	96	96
24	Before the expenditure of the \$18,000,0	• • •	
25	the hiring of FTE positions appropriated f		
26	technology plan, the retirement system shall p	·	·
27	the joint legislative budget committee staff		The retirement
28	system shall include the approval of the pro		
29	document by the information technology authorize		•
30	submission to the joint legislative budget cor		
31 32	agency shall provide semi-annual reports to committee staff regarding the expenditures as		
33	date. Funding appropriated for this purpose is		•
34	section 35-190, Arizona Revised Statutes	•	•
3 4 35	appropriations through June 30, 2005. Actual		•
36	retirement fund for expenditure shall occur for		
37	budget committee staff review of the agency's		
38	Sec. 90. DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE	THI OT III a CTOIL CE	zimorogy pram.
39	See. 50. BEFARMENT OF REVENUE	<u> 2001 - 02</u>	<u> 2002-03</u>
40	FTE positions	1,108.0	1,096.0
41	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 62,647,000	\$ 61,483,300
42	Alternative fuel tax credit	545,300	545,300
43	Total appropriation - department of revenue	\$ 63,192,300	\$ 62,028,600

- 90 -

1	Fund sources:		
2	State general fund	\$ 61,049,700	\$ 59,786,300
3	Tobacco tax and health care fund	393,800	393,800
4	Estate and unclaimed property fund	1,388,400	1,473,000
5	Liability setoff fund	360,400	375,500
6	Performance measures:		
7	Average calendar days to refund income tax	19.4	19.4
8	Total tax documents processed	5,800,000	5,800,000
9	Per cent of non-audit revenue to total		
10	revenue	97.2	97.3
11	Per cent of private taxpayer rulings		
12	completed within 45 calendar days		
13	of receipt	90	90
14	Per cent that collector contacts taxpayer		
15	within 30 calendar days of being		
16	assigned a delinquent account	75	95
17	Per cent of delinquent accounts collected	20	20
18	Per cent of agency staff turnover	16	16
19	Administration as a per cent of total cost	5.8	5.8
20	Customer satisfaction rating for taxpayer		
21	information section (Scale 1-8)	6.0	6.0
22	Before the expenditure of any of the \$339		· ·
23	projects (without an approved project investmen		
24	1, 2000) in fiscal year 2001-2002, the joint	legislative bu	dget committee

Before the expenditure of any of the \$339,100 appropriated for computer projects (without an approved project investment justification as of December 1, 2000) in fiscal year 2001-2002, the joint legislative budget committee staff shall review the department's plans for the expenditure of these monies. Before the expenditure of any of the \$339,100 appropriated for other computer projects in fiscal year 2002-2003, the joint legislative budget committee staff shall review the department's plans for the expenditure of these monies.

The department of revenue may contract with a third party vendor to accept credit card payment for taxes only if there is no cost to the state general fund for accepting credit card payments. The department shall report to the joint legislative budget committee by December 31, 2001 on this program.

Sec. 91. SCHOOL FACILITIES BOARD

	2001-02	2002-03
FTE positions	19.0	19.0
Lump sum appropriation	\$ 1,699,800	\$ 1,699,900
Performance measures:		
Per cent of school districts meeting		
minimum adequacy standards	NA	100
Per cent of schools annually inspected		
for adequacy	20	20
Per cent of inspected schools determined		
to have an adequate maintenance program	75	100

1	Per cent of cumulative critical deficiency		
2	correction projects completed	95	100
3	Per cent of cumulative non-critical		
4	deficiency correction projects completed	53	100
5	Number of new school construction projects		
6	completed	50	35
7	Per cent of school districts rating the		
8	board's services as "good" or "excellent"		
9	in an annual survey	80	90
10	Administration as a per cent of total		
11	cost (excluding deficiencies correction)	0.4	0.4
12	Sec. 92. DEPARTMENT OF STATE - SECRETARY OF STAT		0000 00
13	FTF manitions	<u>2001-02</u>	<u>2002-03</u>
14 15	<pre>FTE positions All other lump sum appropriation \$</pre>	43.0 2,249,200	43.0 \$ 2,244,600
16	Elections	903,700	3,790,200
17	Optical scan voting equipment	3,400,000	3,790,200 - 0 -
18	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6,552,900	\$ 6,034,800
19	Monies appropriated to the optical scan voti		
20	item shall be non-lapsing until the end of fiscal		•
21	Before spending any monies appropriated to		
22	equipment special line item the secretary of	· ·	
23	expenditure plan to the joint legislative budget		
24	For every dollar received from the federal		
25	· ·		
	voting equipment, one dollar from the optical scar	n voting equip	•
26	voting equipment, one dollar from the optical scar line item shall revert to the general fund in		pment special
			pment special
26	line item shall revert to the general fund in		pment special
26 27	line item shall revert to the general fund in federal money is provided.		pment special
26 27 28 29 30	line item shall revert to the general fund in federal money is provided. Performance measures:	the fiscal y	pment special
26 27 28 29 30 31	line item shall revert to the general fund in federal money is provided. Performance measures: Per cent of documents returned to public filer in 48 hours (business services division)		pment special
26 27 28 29 30 31 32	line item shall revert to the general fund in federal money is provided. Performance measures: Per cent of documents returned to public filer in 48 hours (business services division) Per cent of all business documents filed	the fiscal y	pment special ear that the
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33	line item shall revert to the general fund in federal money is provided. Performance measures: Per cent of documents returned to public filer in 48 hours (business services division) Per cent of all business documents filed electronically (business services division)	the fiscal y	pment special ear that the
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34	line item shall revert to the general fund in federal money is provided. Performance measures: Per cent of documents returned to public filer in 48 hours (business services division) Per cent of all business documents filed electronically (business services division) Per cent of election law complaints reviewed	the fiscal y 95 40	pment special ear that the 95
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35	line item shall revert to the general fund in federal money is provided. Performance measures: Per cent of documents returned to public filer in 48 hours (business services division) Per cent of all business documents filed electronically (business services division) Per cent of election law complaints reviewed and acted on within 7 days	the fiscal y	pment special ear that the
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36	line item shall revert to the general fund in federal money is provided. Performance measures: Per cent of documents returned to public filer in 48 hours (business services division) Per cent of all business documents filed electronically (business services division) Per cent of election law complaints reviewed and acted on within 7 days Per cent of candidates using diskette filing	the fiscal y 95 40 100	pment special ear that the 95 40 100
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37	line item shall revert to the general fund in federal money is provided. Performance measures: Per cent of documents returned to public filer in 48 hours (business services division) Per cent of all business documents filed electronically (business services division) Per cent of election law complaints reviewed and acted on within 7 days Per cent of candidates using diskette filing of campaign finance reports	95 40 100	pment special ear that the 95 40 100
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38	line item shall revert to the general fund in federal money is provided. Performance measures: Per cent of documents returned to public filer in 48 hours (business services division) Per cent of all business documents filed electronically (business services division) Per cent of election law complaints reviewed and acted on within 7 days Per cent of candidates using diskette filing of campaign finance reports Per cent of agencies filing rules electronically	95 40 100 100 95	pment special ear that the 95 40 100 100 95
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	line item shall revert to the general fund in federal money is provided. Performance measures: Per cent of documents returned to public filer in 48 hours (business services division) Per cent of all business documents filed electronically (business services division) Per cent of election law complaints reviewed and acted on within 7 days Per cent of candidates using diskette filing of campaign finance reports Per cent of agencies filing rules electronically Administration as a per cent of total cost	95 40 100	pment special ear that the 95 40 100
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	line item shall revert to the general fund in federal money is provided. Performance measures: Per cent of documents returned to public filer in 48 hours (business services division) Per cent of all business documents filed electronically (business services division) Per cent of election law complaints reviewed and acted on within 7 days Per cent of candidates using diskette filing of campaign finance reports Per cent of agencies filing rules electronically Administration as a per cent of total cost Customer satisfaction rating for county election	95 40 100 100 95 0	pment special ear that the 95 40 100 100 95 0
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41	line item shall revert to the general fund in federal money is provided. Performance measures: Per cent of documents returned to public filer in 48 hours (business services division) Per cent of all business documents filed electronically (business services division) Per cent of election law complaints reviewed and acted on within 7 days Per cent of candidates using diskette filing of campaign finance reports Per cent of agencies filing rules electronically Administration as a per cent of total cost Customer satisfaction rating for county election officials (Scale 1-8)	95 40 100 100 95	pment special ear that the 95 40 100 100 95
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42	line item shall revert to the general fund in federal money is provided. Performance measures: Per cent of documents returned to public filer in 48 hours (business services division) Per cent of all business documents filed electronically (business services division) Per cent of election law complaints reviewed and acted on within 7 days Per cent of candidates using diskette filing of campaign finance reports Per cent of agencies filing rules electronically Administration as a per cent of total cost Customer satisfaction rating for county election	95 40 100 100 95 0	95 40 100 95 0
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43	line item shall revert to the general fund in federal money is provided. Performance measures: Per cent of documents returned to public filer in 48 hours (business services division) Per cent of all business documents filed electronically (business services division) Per cent of election law complaints reviewed and acted on within 7 days Per cent of candidates using diskette filing of campaign finance reports Per cent of agencies filing rules electronically Administration as a per cent of total cost Customer satisfaction rating for county election officials (Scale 1-8) Sec. 93. STATE BOARDS' OFFICE	95 40 100 100 95 0 6.0	95 40 100 100 95 0 6.0
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44	line item shall revert to the general fund in federal money is provided. Performance measures: Per cent of documents returned to public filer in 48 hours (business services division) Per cent of all business documents filed electronically (business services division) Per cent of election law complaints reviewed and acted on within 7 days Per cent of candidates using diskette filing of campaign finance reports Per cent of agencies filing rules electronically Administration as a per cent of total cost Customer satisfaction rating for county election officials (Scale 1-8) Sec. 93. STATE BOARDS' OFFICE	95 40 100 100 95 0 6.0 2001-02 4.0	95 40 100 100 95 0 6.0 2002-03 4.0
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43	line item shall revert to the general fund in federal money is provided. Performance measures: Per cent of documents returned to public filer in 48 hours (business services division) Per cent of all business documents filed electronically (business services division) Per cent of election law complaints reviewed and acted on within 7 days Per cent of candidates using diskette filing of campaign finance reports Per cent of agencies filing rules electronically Administration as a per cent of total cost Customer satisfaction rating for county election officials (Scale 1-8) Sec. 93. STATE BOARDS' OFFICE	95 40 100 100 95 0 6.0	95 40 100 100 95 0 6.0 2002-03 4.0

- 92 -

1 2	Special services revolving fund Performance measures:	\$	249,100	\$	249,100
3	Customer satisfaction rating for timeliness				
4	(Scale 1-8)		7.1		7.1
5	Customer satisfaction rating for				
6	responsiveness (Scale 1–8)		7.2		7.2
7	Customer satisfaction rating for				
8	customer-oriented (Scale 1-8)		7.2		7.2
9	Customer satisfaction rating for				
10	quality (Scale 1-8)		7.2		7.2
11	Customer satisfaction rating for				
12	accessibility (Scale 1-8)		7.3		7.3
13	Overall customer satisfaction rating				
14	(Scale 1-8)		7.2		7.2
15	Administration as a per cent of total cost		5.0		5.0
16	Sec. 94. STRUCTURAL PEST CONTROL COMMISSION				
17			<u> 2001 - 02</u>		<u> 2002 - 03</u>
18	FTE positions		33.0		33.0
19	Lump sum appropriation	\$	1,726,400**	\$	1,738,900
20	Fund sources:				
21	Structural pest control commission				
22	fund	\$	1,726,400	\$	1,738,900
23	Performance measures:				
24	Number of licensees (new and existing)		6,500		6,500
25	Number of complaints received about licensees		160		160
26	Average calendar days to resolve a complaint		45		45
27	Number of inspections of licensees		1,600		1,600
28	Average calendar days to renew a license		20		20
29	(from receipt of application to issuance)		30		30
30	Administration as a per cent of total cost		14.2		14.2
31 32	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1–8) Sec. 95. STATE BOARD OF TAX APPEALS		6.0		6.0
33	Sec. 95. STATE BUARD OF TAX APPEALS		<u> 2001 - 02</u>		2002-03
34	FTE positions		5.0		5.0
35	Lump sum appropriation	\$	314,000	\$	311,800
36	Performance measures:	Ψ	314,000	Ψ	311,000
37	Tax appeals caseload		176		206
38	Tax appeals unresolved at fiscal year end		106		126
39	Months to process appeal		6.5		6.5
40	Per cent of rulings upheld in tax courts		90		90
41	Administration as a per cent of total cost		16.4		16.4
42	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8)		6.0		6.0
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				

1	Sec. 96. BOARD OF TECHNICAL REGISTRATION				
2			2001-02		2002-03
3	FTE positions		18.0		19.0
4	Personal services	\$	590,100	\$	615,900
5	Employee related expenditures	-	147,000	-	153,700
6	All other operating expenditures		387,400		393,800
7	Total appropriation - board of technical				
8	registration	\$	1,124,500**	\$	1,163,400
9	Fund sources:	-	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	-	,
10	Technical registration fund	\$	1,124,500	\$	1,163,400
11	Performance measures:	•	_,,	•	_,
12	Number of licensees (new and existing)		25,400		26,700
13	Number of complaints received about licensees		224		224
14	Average calendar days to resolve a complaint		200		180
15	Number of investigations of licensees		260		260
16	Average calendar days to renew a license				
17	(from receipt of application to issuance)		1.0		1.0
18	Administration as a per cent of total cost		15.3		15.3
19	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8)		6.0		6.0
20	Sec. 97. OFFICE OF TOURISM				
21			2001-02		<u> 2002-03</u>
22	FTE positions		28.0		28.0
23	Lump sum appropriation	\$	2,326,000	\$	
24	Media advertising	·	6,044,900	•	6,788,100
25	Travel counseling and direct		0,011,000		0,700,100
26	marketing		1,363,700		1,363,700
27	Travel industry marketing		1,002,700		1,002,700
28	Media communication		442,000		442,000
29	Research and data repository		241,500		241,500
30	Maricopa county tourism promotion		4.000,000		4,200,000
31	Total appropriation - office of tourism	\$	15,420,800	\$	16,422,600
32	Fund sources:	·	10, 120,000	•	10,, 000
33	State general fund	\$	11,420,800	\$	12,222,600
34	Tourism fund	-	4,000,000		4,200,000
35	Performance measures:		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		,,_,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
36	Number of domestic tourists		26,300,000		27,100,000
37	Number of requests for travel materials		500,000		500,000
38	Advertising cost per inquiry for travel		, , , , , , ,		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
39	materials		\$8.00		\$8.00
40	Visitors at the welcome center		115,000		118,000
41	Administration as a per cent of total cost		4.4		4.4
42	Customer satisfaction rating for travel		•		
43	kits program (Scale 1-8)		6.0		6.0
44	An amount equal to the revenues colle	cte		au	
					• • • •

45 section 42-5029, Arizona Revised Statutes, is appropriated from the state

2002-2003. This amount is currently estimated to be \$11,420,800 in fiscal year 2001-2002 and \$12,222,600 in fiscal year 2002-2003.

All monies deposited into the tourism fund by legislative appropriation, or by transfer from the tourism and sports authority under the provisions of section 5-835, Arizona Revised Statutes, are appropriated for use by the office of tourism in fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003. These amounts are currently estimated to be \$15,420,800 in fiscal year 2001-2002 and \$16,422,600 in fiscal year 2002-2003. This appropriation is not in addition to the amounts shown as lump sum and special line items. The amounts shown as lump sum and special line items are estimates of the appropriation that will be provided under current law.

The office of tourism shall report to the joint legislative budget committee by November 1, 2001, and by November 1, 2002 as to how any additional funds that become available to the agency through the statutory funding formula, in excess of the estimates included in the general appropriations act, will be used. If available funds are less than what are displayed in this act, the office of tourism will instead report where the expenditure reductions will occur.

Sec. 98. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

	<u> 2001 - 02</u>	<u> 2002-03</u>
<u>Administration</u>		
FTE positions	467.0	452.0
Lump sum appropriation	\$ 57,262,300	\$ 51,786,400
Fund sources:		
State highway fund	\$ 57,214,900	\$ 51,739,000
Air quality fund	47,400	47,400
Performance measures:		
External customer satisfaction rating		
based on annual survey (Scale 1–10)	8.6	8.7
Per cent that highway user revenue fund		
actual revenues exceed forecast	+2	+2
Per cent that Maricopa regional area road		
fund actual revenues exceed forecast	+2	+2
Per cent of agency staff turnover	13.8	13.8
Administration as a per cent of total cost	16.5	16.1
<u>Highways</u>		
FTE positions	2,004.0	2,004.0
Lump sum appropriation	\$171,624,700	\$174,375,100
Local transportation assistance		
fund deposit	7,052,300	7,052,300
Total appropriation - highways	\$178,677,000	\$181,427,400
Fund sources:		
State general fund	\$ 7,137,800	\$ 7,137,800
State highway fund	138,893,500	141,632,900
Transportation department		
equipment fund	32,645,700	32,656,700

- 95 -

Performance measures:		
Statewide lane miles	17,976	18,168
Maricopa regional area road fund lane miles	569	641
Per cent of Maricopa regional freeway miles		
completed (144 center line miles total)	63	72
Per cent of overall highway construction		
projects completed on schedule	85	85
Per cent of highway maintenance level of		
service - roads meeting minimum standards	88	90
		+00 001 000

Of the total amount appropriated for the highways program, \$93,691,900 in fiscal year 2001-2002 and \$95,473,300 in fiscal year 2002-2003 for the maintenance subprogram are exempt from the provisions of section 35-190, Arizona Revised Statutes, relating to lapsing of appropriations, except that all unexpended and unencumbered monies of the appropriations revert to the state highway fund on August 31, 2002 and August 31, 2003, respectively.

The department of transportation shall report by August 31, 2002 and August 31, 2003 to the joint legislative budget committee on the current levels of service for each of the 9 categories of highway maintenance. The reports shall explain the department's progress in improving its assessment of levels of service and of assigning costs to different levels of service. The 2002 and 2003 reports shall explain how the \$2,200,000 in fiscal year 2001-2002 and \$2,500,000 in fiscal year 2002-2003 appropriations to improve level of service were spent and how the level of service changed for each of these categories.

The Arizona corporation commission and the Arizona department of transportation shall each conduct a study and make a recommendation to the joint legislative budget committee by November 1, 2001 concerning which agency is most appropriate to conduct railroad safety activities.

Employees who participate in the Arizona department of transportation's engineering pay plan are not eligible to receive any general salary adjustments appropriated for state employees for either fiscal year 2001-2002 or fiscal year 2002-2003.

Λ	$^{\circ}$	n	\sim	n	2		+	٦.	\sim
м	С.		u	11	а	u	ι.		CS

FTE positions	33.0	19.0
Lump sum appropriation	\$ 1,774,100	\$ 1,140,200
Fund sources:		
State aviation fund	\$ 1,774,100	\$ 1,140,200
Performance measures:		
Per cent of airport development projects		
completed on schedule	75	75
Per cent that state aviation fund actual		
revenues exceed projection	+4	+4
Working days to complete aircraft registration	2.0	2.0
06 the tetal ammuniction for the con-	 	 C41 F00 :

Of the total appropriation for the aeronautics program, \$641,500 in fiscal year 2001-2002 is for operating the Grand Canyon airport. Before expending any of the \$641,500 of the fiscal year 2001–2002 appropriation for

- 96 -

2

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

operating the Grand Canyon airport, the department shall report to the joint legislative budget committee on the status and projected date of the privatization of the airport. No more than one-twelfth of the \$641,500 may be made available to the Arizona department of transportation in any month. Any monies not expended for operation of the Grand Canyon airport shall revert to the state aviation fund.

•	. or		
7	<u>Motor vehicle</u>		
8	FTE positions	1,649.0	1,684.0
9	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 82,193,100	\$ 83,720,800
10	Fund sources:		
11	State highway fund	\$ 78,962,600	\$ 80,488,300
12	Safety enforcement and		
13	transportation infrastructure		
14	fund	1,244,000	1,244,800
15	Motor vehicle liability insurance		
16	enforcement fund	1,013,700	1,014,200
17	Vehicle inspection and title		
18	enforcement fund	972,800	973,500
19	Performance measures:		
20	Average office wait time (minutes)	15 to 20	15 to 20
21	Average telephone wait time (minutes)	1.5	1.4
22	Per cent of business processed by third		
23	parties	28	30
24	Per cent of alternative renewal methods		
25	(mail, internet, third party)	68	69

It is the intent of the legislature that all monies appropriated for the motor vehicle division field offices and electronic services are combined resources designed to improve customer services and that the department should pursue increased efforts to further the utilization of electronic services (e-business transactions) to enhance customer services and create efficiencies, enhanced customer service and security issues.

Fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003 include \$2,750,400 as a non-lapsing appropriation for the motor vehicle division security enhancement issues and to replace and upgrade computer equipment.

35	Total appropriation - department of		
36	transportation	\$319,906,500	\$318,074,800
37	Fund sources:		
38	State general fund	\$ 7,137,800	\$ 7,137,800
39	State highway fund	275,071,000	273,860,200
40	Air quality fund	47,400	47,400
41	Transportation department		
42	equipment fund	32,645,700	32,656,700
43	State aviation fund	1,774,100	1,140,200
44	Safety enforcement and		
45	transportation infrastructure		
46	fund	1,244,000	1,244,800

- 97 -

1 2		Motor vehicle liability insurance enforcement fund		1,013,700		1 014 200
3		Vehicle inspection and title		1,013,700		1,014,200
4		enforcement fund		972,800		973,500
5	Sec. 99.	STATE TREASURER		972,000		973,300
6	3ec. 99.	STATE TREASURER		2001-02		2002-03
7		FTE positions		36.0		36.0
8		•	\$	2,468,600	¢	2,489,400
9		Lump sum appropriation Justice of peace salaries	Ф		\$	
		•		2,921,000		3,020,100
10	Total ann	Property tax refunds	<u> </u>	200,000*	<u></u>	- 0 -
11		ropriation - state treasurer	\$	5,589,600	\$	5,509,500
12		formance measures:		60.000		66 000
13		deposits with state treasurer		62,000		66,000
14		wire transfers in and out of		07.000		00 000
15		ing bank		27,000		28,000
16		palances of all investment				
17	portfo		\$8,5	00,000,000	\$9,0	00,000,000
18	-	yield of LGIP to Standard				
19		or's LGIP index		1.1		1.1
20		ation as a per cent of total cost		0		0
21		satisfaction rating for local				
22		ment investment pool participants				
23	(Scale			6.0		6.0
24		is the intent of the legislature tha				
25		managed by the state treasurer be			sis po	oints.
26	Sec. 100.	ARIZONA COMMISSION ON UNIFORM STA	ATE LA			
27				<u> 2001-02</u>		<u> 2002-03</u>
28		Lump sum appropriation	\$	44,900	\$	45,200
29	Per	formance measures:				
30	National o	conference committees staffed		8.0		8.0
31	Uniform a	cts approved and adopted by				
32	nationa	al conference		4.0		5.0
33	Uniform la	aws introduced in Arizona		3.0		4.0
34	Uniform la	aws enacted in Arizona		2.0		3.0
35	Administra	ation as a per cent of total cost		0		0
36	Sec. 101.	ARIZONA BOARD OF REGENTS				
37				2001-02		<u> 2002-03</u>
38		FTE positions		29.4		29.4
39		Lump sum appropriation	\$	2,209,800	\$	2,229,100
40		Student financial assistance		2,355,200		2,455,200
41		Western interstate commission offi	ice	99,000		103,000
42		WICHE student subsidies		3,282,400		3,436,800
		WICHE SCUCCIC SUBSTUTES		3,202,400		
43	Total app	ropriation - Arizona board of		3,202,400	_	
43 44	Total app		\$	7,946,400	\$	8,224,100

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32 33

34

35

36

37

45

46

Per cent of graduating seniors who rate		
their overall university experience		
as "good"/"excellent"	94	95
Per cent of full-time undergraduate students		
enrolled per semester in 3 or more primary		
courses with ranked faculty	81	82
Number of degrees granted:	23,431	23,745
Bachelors	16,545	16,830
Master's	5,610	5,630
First professional	516	525
Doctorate	760	760
Average number of years taken to		
graduate for student who began		
as freshman	4.9	4.9
Per cent of agency staff turnover	18.9	18.9
Administration as a per cent of total cost	1.7	1.6
	their overall university experience as "good"/"excellent" Per cent of full-time undergraduate students enrolled per semester in 3 or more primary courses with ranked faculty Number of degrees granted: Bachelors Master's First professional Doctorate Average number of years taken to graduate for student who began as freshman Per cent of agency staff turnover	their overall university experience as "good"/"excellent" Per cent of full-time undergraduate students enrolled per semester in 3 or more primary courses with ranked faculty Number of degrees granted: Bachelors Master's Master's First professional Doctorate Average number of years taken to graduate for student who began as freshman Per cent of agency staff turnover 94 Per cent of agency staff turnover

It is the intent of the legislature that the community colleges and universities cooperate in operating a statewide articulation and transfer system, including the process for transfer of lower division general education credits, general elective credits and curriculum requirements for majors, to ensure that community college students may transfer to Arizona public universities without loss of credit toward a baccalaureate degree. It is also the intent of the legislature that the higher education study committee continue the collaborative process that assures that the postsecondary education needs of students statewide are met without unnecessary duplication of programs. The committee shall focus its efforts on potential students who reside in rural areas or who cannot meet the regular class schedule due to their employment and family matters. Arizona board of regents and the state board of directors for community colleges shall submit an annual report of their progress on both articulation and meeting statewide postsecondary education needs to the joint legislative budget committee by December 15, 2001 and December 15, 2002.

It is the intent of the legislature that adjustments to student enrollment funding for fiscal year 2002-2003 may be considered for each university during the second regular session of the forty-fifth legislature. Sec. 102. ARIZONA STATE UNIVERSITY

Main campus

38	·	<u> 2001 - 02</u>	<u> 2002 - 03</u>
39	FTE positions	6,049.5	6,052.5
40	Lump sum appropriation	\$380,599,100	\$382,401,100
41	Fund sources:		
42	State general fund	\$279,120,300	\$280,504,100
43	University collections fund	101,478,800	101,897,000
44	Performance measures:		

Performance measures:

Per cent of graduating seniors who rate their overall university experience

1	as "good"/"excellent"	94	95
2	Per cent of full-time undergraduate		
3	students enrolled per semester in 3 or		
4	more primary courses with ranked faculty	80	81
5	Number of degrees granted:	9,340	9,340
6	Bachelors	6,700	6,700
7	Master's	2,200	2,200
8	First professional	160	160
9	Doctorate	280	280
10	Average number of years taken to graduate		
11	for student who began as freshman	4.8	4.8
12	External dollars for research and creative		
13	activity	\$118,000,000	\$124,000,000
14	Per cent of agency staff turnover	19.2	19.2
15	Administration as a per cent of total cost	1.2	1.1
16	East campus	1.1	1.1
17	FTE positions	243.0	243.0
18	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 18,659,800	\$ 19,649,300
19	Fund sources:	7 10,000,000	Ţ 13,013,000
20	State general fund	\$ 13,492,400	\$ 13,195,100
21	University collections fund	5,167,400	6,454,200
22	Performance measures:	0,107,100	0,101,200
23	Per cent of graduating seniors who rate		
24	their overall university experience		
25	as "good"/"excellent"	90	91
26	Per cent of full-time undergraduate students	30	31
27	enrolled per semester in 3 or more primary		
28	courses with ranked faculty	75	78
29	Number of degrees granted:	520	650
30	Bachelors	450	570
31	Master's	70	80
32	Average number of years taken to graduate	7 0	00
33	for student who began as freshman	4.8	4.8
34	Fall semester enrollment (headcount)	2,450	3,150
35	Per cent of agency staff turnover	10.3	10.3
36	Administration as a per cent of total cost	4.7	3.9
37	West campus	7.7	3.3
38	FTE positions	672.0	672.0
39	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 42,608,400	\$ 42,807,500
40	Fund sources:	¥ 42,000,400	Ψ 42,007,300
41	State general fund	\$ 39,629,100	\$ 39,643,200
42	University collections fund	2,979,300	3,164,300
43	Performance measures:	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
44	Per cent of graduating seniors who rate		
45	their overall university experience		
46	as "good"/"excellent"	95	95
10	100	55	33

1	Per cent of full-time undergraduate students		
2	enrolled per semester in 3 or more primar		
3	courses with ranked faculty	80	81
4	Number of degrees granted:	1,345	1,370
5	Bachelors	1,130	1,150
6	Master's	215	220
7	Fall semester enrollment (FTE)	3,822	3,900
8	Per cent of agency staff turnover	13.4	13.4
9	Administration as a per cent of total cost	4.2	4.1
10	Total appropriation – Arizona state		
11	university	\$441,867,300	\$444,857,900
12	Fund sources:		
13	State general fund	\$332,241,800	
14	University collections fund		111,515,500
15	The appropriated monies are not to be		•
16	The appropriated monies shall not b		
17	university college of law legal clinic for an		
18	the state department of corrections in which		
19	Any unencumbered balances remaining in		
20	30, 2001 and June 30, 2002, respectively, and		
21	university during the fiscal year, when pai		•
22	appropriated for operating expenditures, cap		
23	Earnings on state lands and interest on the i		•
24	funds are appropriated in compliance wit		
25	constitution. No part of this appropriation r	-	
26	life insurance or supplemental retirement.	•	
27	when deposited in the state treasury, togethe		
28	in the summer session account, are hereby a	• • •	
29	conducting summer sessions but are exclude		
30	above. Within ten days of the acceptance of t		
31 32	funds budget reports, the Arizona board of		
33	legislative budget committee of any tuit		ants that are
33	different from the amounts appropriated by t Sec. 103. NORTHERN ARIZONA UNIVERSITY	ne registature.	
35	Sec. 103. NORTHERN ARTZONA UNIVERSITY	2001-02	<u> 2002-03</u>
36	FTE positions	2,304.8	2,304.8
30 37	Lump sum appropriation	\$138,767,000	\$143,141,000
38	NAU - Yuma	2,320,000	2,320,900
39	Total appropriation – northern Arizona	2,320,000	2,320,900
40	university	\$141,087,000	\$145,461,900
41	Fund sources:	¥141,007,000	Ψ143,401,300
42	State general fund	\$113,322,900	\$117,693,600
43	University collections fund	27,264,100	27,268,300
44	onition of confections rund		
	Federal temporary assistance for	, , , , , ,	27,200,300
44	Federal temporary assistance for needy families block grant	500,000	500,000

1	Performance measures:		
2	Per cent of graduating seniors who rate		
3	their overall university experience		
4	as "good"/"excellent"	97	97
5	Per cent of full-time undergraduate students		
6	enrolled per semester in 3 or more primary		
7	courses with ranked faculty	95	95
8	Number of degrees granted:	4,900	4,900
9	Bachelors	3,000	3,000
10	Master's	1,840	1,840
11	First professional	35	40
12	Doctorate	60	60
13	Average number of years taken to graduate		
14	for student who began as freshman	5.0	5.0
15	Degrees granted to statewide students	660	675
16	Per cent of agency staff turnover	21.2	21.2
17	Administration as a per cent of total cost	1.9	1.8

The appropriated monies are not to be used for scholarships.

Any unencumbered balances remaining in the collections account on June 30, 2001 and June 30, 2002, respectively, and all collections received by the university during the fiscal year, when paid into the state treasury, are appropriated for operating expenditures, capital outlay and fixed charges. Earnings on state lands and interest on the investment of the permanent land funds are appropriated in compliance with the enabling act and the constitution. No part of this appropriation may be expended for supplemental life insurance or supplemental retirement. Receipts from summer session, when deposited in the state treasury, together with any unencumbered balance in the summer session account, are hereby appropriated for the purpose of conducting summer sessions but are excluded from the amounts enumerated above. Within ten days of the acceptance of the universities' semiannual all funds budget reports, the Arizona board of regents shall inform the joint legislative budget committee of any tuition revenue amounts that are different from the amounts appropriated by the legislature.

Sec. 104. UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA

35		<u> 2001 - 02</u>	<u> 2002 - 03</u>
36	<u>Main campus</u>		
37	FTE positions	5,540.9	5,540.9
38	Lump sum appropriation	\$308,363,300	\$309,601,400
39	Agriculture	47,839,600	47,852,600
40	Sierra Vista campus	2,901,400	3,452,000
41	Arizona international college	2,034,500	2,035,000
42	Total - main campus	\$361,138,800	\$362,941,000
43	Fund sources:		
44	State general fund	\$282,347,600	\$284,879,600
45	University collections fund	78,791,200	78,061,400
46	Performance measures:		

- 102 -

1	Per cent of graduating seniors who rate		
2	their overall university experience		
3	as "good"/"excellent"	94.5	94.5
4	Per cent of full-time undergraduate students		
5	enrolled per semester in 3 or more primary		
6	courses with ranked faculty	73	75
7	Number of degrees granted:	6,578	6,670
8	Bachelors	4,877	4,962
9	Master's	1,154	1,158
10	First professional	172	175
11	Doctorate	375	375
12	Average number of years taken to graduate		
13	for students who began as freshman	4.8	4.8
14	Gifts, grants and contracts	\$232,000,000	\$241,000,000
15	Per cent of agency staff turnover	17.4	17.4
16	Administration as a per cent of total cost	1.7	1.6
17	Health sciences center		
18	FTE positions	698.2	698.2
19	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 53,413,000	\$ 54,418,600
20	Clinical teaching support	9,673,600	9,676,800
21	Telemedicine network	1,281,200	1,281,400
22	Clinical rural rotation	474,000	474,100
23	Liver research institute	518,800	518,900
24	Total - health sciences center	\$ 65,360,600	\$ 66,369,800
25	Fund sources:		
26	State general fund	\$ 58,397,100	\$ 59,342,700
27	University collections fund	6,871,500	6,935,100
28	Poison control fund	92,000	92,000
29	Performance measures:		
30	Per cent of graduating seniors who rate		
31	their overall university experience		
32	as "good"/"excellent"	98	98
33	Per cent of full-time undergraduate students		
34	enrolled per semester in 3 or more primary		
35	courses with ranked faculty	75	75
36	Number of degrees granted:	586	600
37	Bachelors	266	278
38	Master's	131	132
39	First professional	149	150
40	Doctorate	40	40
41	Gifts, grants and contracts	\$117,000,000	\$122,000,000
42	Per cent of agency staff turnover	23.1	23.1
43	Administration as a per cent of total cost	1.4	1.3
44	Total appropriation - university of Arizona	\$426,499,400	\$429,310,800
45	Fund sources:	•	
46	State general fund	\$340,744,700	\$344,222,300
	- 103 -		

 University collections fund
 85,662,700
 84,996,500

 Poison control fund
 92,000
 92,000

The appropriated monies are not to be used for scholarships.

Any unencumbered balances remaining in the collections account on June 30, 2001 and June 30, 2002, respectively, and all collections received by the university during the fiscal year, when paid into the state treasury, are appropriated for operating expenditures, capital outlay and fixed charges. Earnings on state lands and interest on the investment of the permanent land funds are appropriated in compliance with the enabling act and the constitution. No part of this appropriation may be expended for supplemental life insurance or supplemental retirement. Receipts from summer session, when deposited in the state treasury, together with any unencumbered balance in the summer session account, are hereby appropriated for the purpose of conducting summer sessions but are excluded from the amounts enumerated above. Within ten days of the acceptance of the universities' semiannual all funds budget reports, the Arizona board of regents shall inform the joint legislative budget committee of any tuition revenue amounts that are different from the amounts appropriated by the legislature.

The approved amount includes \$100,000 for development of management, training and technological courses in greenhouse technology. The \$100,000 approved reverts to the state general fund at the end of the fiscal year unless the private sector matches the amount for the greenhouse technology. Sec. 105. DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS' SERVICES

24		<u> 2001-02</u>	<u> 2002-03</u>
25	<u>Administration</u>		
26	FTE positions	37.0	37.0
27	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 925,500	\$ 928,900
28	Southern Arizona cemetery	211,100	342,000
29	Veterans' organizations contracts	 29,200	 29,200
30	Total appropriation - administration	\$ 1,165,800	\$ 1,300,100
31	<u>Veterans' conservatorship/guardianship</u>		
32	FTE positions	19.0	20.0
33	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 878,500	\$ 927,700
34	Fund sources:		
35	State general fund	\$ 418,600	\$ 401,200
36	State veterans' conservatorship		
37	fund	459,900	526,500
38	<u>Veterans' services</u>		
39	FTE positions	21.0	21.0
40	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 831,400	\$ 832,200
41	<u>Veterans' home</u>		
42	FTE positions	225.0	226.0
43	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 9,771,200	\$ 9,862,000
44	Fund sources:		
45	State home for veterans'		
46	trust fund	\$ 9,771,200	\$ 9,862,000

1					
1	Total appropriation - department of				
2	veterans' services	\$	12,646,900	\$	12,922,000
3	Fund sources:		0 415 000		0 500 500
4	State general fund	\$	2,415,800	\$	2,533,500
5	State veterans' conservatorship		450.000		506 500
6	fund		459,900		526,500
7	State home for veterans' trust fund		9,771,200		9,862,000
8	Performance measures:				
9	DHS quality rating of the veterans' home		F114		F114
10	("excellent", "standard" or "substandard")		Excellent		Excellent
11	Per cent of customers rating department's		0.5		٥٢
12	services as "good" or "excellent"		95		95
13	Per cent of annual fiduciary accountings		0.5		٥٢
14	approved on first submission		95		95
15	Social worker to client ratio		1:45		1:45
16	Per cent of agency staff turnover		45		45
17	Administration as a per cent of total cost		13	,	13
18	The joint legislative budget committee				
19	department of veterans' services contracts abo) V E	: \$20,000, exc	серт	t contracts
20	for nursing and dietary services.				C 1 C
21	Monies appropriated from the state home f				
22	adult day care center for veterans shall not k		•		
23 24	per diem for adult day care is approved and fund			d I (government.
	Sec. 106. ARIZONA STATE VETERINARY MEDICAL EX	ΑM			
25			2001 02		2002 02
26	FTF positions		<u>2001-02</u>		<u>2002-03</u>
	FTE positions	đ	5.5	¢	5.5
27	Lump sum appropriation	\$		\$	
27 28	Lump sum appropriation Fund sources:	\$	5.5	\$	5.5
27 28 29	Lump sum appropriation Fund sources: Veterinary medical examining		5.5 367,800**		5.5 360,600
27 28 29 30	Lump sum appropriation Fund sources: Veterinary medical examining board fund	\$	5.5	\$	5.5
27 28 29 30 31	Lump sum appropriation Fund sources: Veterinary medical examining board fund Performance measures:		5.5 367,800** 367,800		5.5 360,600 360,600
27 28 29 30 31 32	Lump sum appropriation Fund sources: Veterinary medical examining board fund Performance measures: Number of licensees (new and existing)		5.5 367,800** 367,800 1,775		5.5 360,600 360,600 1,850
27 28 29 30 31 32 33	Lump sum appropriation Fund sources: Veterinary medical examining board fund Performance measures: Number of licensees (new and existing) Number of complaints received about licensees		5.5 367,800** 367,800 1,775 75		5.5 360,600 360,600 1,850 75
27 28 29 30 31 32 33	Lump sum appropriation Fund sources: Veterinary medical examining board fund Performance measures: Number of licensees (new and existing) Number of complaints received about licensees Average calendar days to resolve a complaint		5.5 367,800** 367,800 1,775 75 90		5.5 360,600 360,600 1,850 75 90
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35	Lump sum appropriation Fund sources: Veterinary medical examining board fund Performance measures: Number of licensees (new and existing) Number of complaints received about licensees Average calendar days to resolve a complaint Number of investigations		5.5 367,800** 367,800 1,775 75		5.5 360,600 360,600 1,850 75
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36	Lump sum appropriation Fund sources: Veterinary medical examining board fund Performance measures: Number of licensees (new and existing) Number of complaints received about licensees Average calendar days to resolve a complaint Number of investigations Average calendar days to renew a license		5.5 367,800** 367,800 1,775 75 90 80		5.5 360,600 360,600 1,850 75 90 80
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37	Lump sum appropriation Fund sources: Veterinary medical examining board fund Performance measures: Number of licensees (new and existing) Number of complaints received about licensees Average calendar days to resolve a complaint Number of investigations Average calendar days to renew a license (from receipt of application to issuance)		5.5 367,800** 367,800 1,775 75 90 80		5.5 360,600 360,600 1,850 75 90 80
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38	Lump sum appropriation Fund sources: Veterinary medical examining board fund Performance measures: Number of licensees (new and existing) Number of complaints received about licensees Average calendar days to resolve a complaint Number of investigations Average calendar days to renew a license (from receipt of application to issuance) Administration as a per cent of total cost		5.5 367,800** 367,800 1,775 75 90 80 60 3.0		5.5 360,600 360,600 1,850 75 90 80 60 3.0
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	Lump sum appropriation Fund sources: Veterinary medical examining board fund Performance measures: Number of licensees (new and existing) Number of complaints received about licensees Average calendar days to resolve a complaint Number of investigations Average calendar days to renew a license (from receipt of application to issuance) Administration as a per cent of total cost Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8)		5.5 367,800** 367,800 1,775 75 90 80		5.5 360,600 360,600 1,850 75 90 80
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	Lump sum appropriation Fund sources: Veterinary medical examining board fund Performance measures: Number of licensees (new and existing) Number of complaints received about licensees Average calendar days to resolve a complaint Number of investigations Average calendar days to renew a license (from receipt of application to issuance) Administration as a per cent of total cost		5.5 367,800** 367,800 1,775 75 90 80 60 3.0 6.0		5.5 360,600 360,600 1,850 75 90 80 60 3.0 6.0
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41	Lump sum appropriation Fund sources: Veterinary medical examining board fund Performance measures: Number of licensees (new and existing) Number of complaints received about licensees Average calendar days to resolve a complaint Number of investigations Average calendar days to renew a license (from receipt of application to issuance) Administration as a per cent of total cost Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) Sec. 107. DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES		5.5 367,800** 367,800 1,775 75 90 80 60 3.0 6.0		5.5 360,600 360,600 1,850 75 90 80 60 3.0 6.0
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	Lump sum appropriation Fund sources: Veterinary medical examining board fund Performance measures: Number of licensees (new and existing) Number of complaints received about licensees Average calendar days to resolve a complaint Number of investigations Average calendar days to renew a license (from receipt of application to issuance) Administration as a per cent of total cost Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8)	\$	5.5 367,800** 367,800 1,775 75 90 80 60 3.0 6.0	\$	5.5 360,600 360,600 1,850 75 90 80 60 3.0 6.0

1	Arizona water protection fund			
2	deposit	2.500.000		2,500,000
3	Rural water studies	500.000		500.000
4	Total appropriation - department of water			000,000
5	resources	\$ 19,365,600	\$ 1	9,506,200
6	Performance measures:	, 10,000,000		.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
7	Per capita water use in active management			
8	areas (acre feet)	2.76		2.74
9	Per cent of Colorado River entitlement used	94		94
10	Per cent of Arizona's unused Colorado	31		3 1
11	River entitlement that is recharged			
12	via the water banking authority	88		88
13	Number of dams in a non-emergency	00		00
14	unsafe condition	17		15
15	Per cent of rural watershed studies	17		13
16	contract deliverables completed			
17	and accepted	100		100
18	Per cent of agency staff turnover	12		12
19	Administration as a per cent of total cost	11.8		11.8
20	Customer satisfaction rating for hydrology	11.0		11.0
21	program (Scale 1-8)	6.0		6.0
22	Sec. 108. DEPARTMENT OF WEIGHTS AND MEASURES	0.0		0.0
23	Sec. 106. DEPARTMENT OF WEIGHTS AND MEASURES	2001 02		2002 02
	FTF manitions	<u>2001-02</u>		<u>2002-03</u>
24	FTE positions	40.5	,	40.5
25	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 2,689,200	\$	2,690,300
26	Fund sources:	* 1 010 400	,	1 014 400
27	State general fund	\$ 1,913,400	\$	1,914,400
28	Air quality fund	775,800		775,900
29	Performance measures:			
30	Average customer satisfaction rating	F		F
31	(Scale 1-5)	5		5
32	Per cent of retail stores' price			
33	scanning devices in compliance	6.5		7.0
34	(i.e., cash register shows correct price)	65		70
35	Per cent of cleaner burning gas			
36	(required in the Phoenix area)			
37	samples in compliance with oxygenated			
38	fuel standards	99		99
39	Per cent of gasoline dispensing facilities			
40	inspected annually that are in compliance			
41	with vapor recovery standards	93		95
42	Administration as a per cent of total cost	14		14
43				
44	Sec. 109. Appropriation; salary and operating			
45		<u>2001-02</u>		<u>2002-03</u>
46	Salary adjustments	\$ 29,253,100	\$14	5,226,500
	- 106 -			

1	Fund sources:			
2	State general fund	\$	24,223,100	\$119,816,500
3	Other appropriated funds		5,030,000	25,410,000
4	Classification maintenance review			
5	annualization adjustments	\$	8,188,800	\$ 8,188,800
6	Fund sources:			
7	State general fund	\$	4,497,400	\$ 4,497,400
8	Other appropriated funds	\$	3,691,400	\$ 3,691,400
9	Board of regents market salary adjustments	\$	1,000,000	<i>\$ 2,000,000</i>
10	<u>Fund sources:</u>			
11	State general fund	\$	1,000,000	<i>\$ 2,000,000</i>
12	Community treatment program provider rate			
13	adjustments	\$	5,878,400	<u>\$ 22,578,400</u>
14	Fund sources:			
15	State general fund	<u>\$</u>	5,478,400	\$ 20,578,400
16	Federal temporary assistance for			
17	needy families block grant	<u>\$</u>	400,000	\$ 2,000,000
18	Attorney general salary adjustments			
19	Fund sources:			
20	Other appropriated funds	\$	268,900	\$ 268,900
21	Pro rata share contribution adjustments	\$	765,800	\$ 1,385,400
22	Fund sources:			
23	State general fund	\$	663,200	\$ 1,201,700
24	Other appropriated funds	\$	102,600	\$ 183,700
25	State-owned space rental adjustments	\$	1,173,600	\$ 1,574,200
26	Fund sources:			
27	State general fund	\$	912,800	\$ 1,224,400
28	Other appropriated funds	\$	•	\$ 349,800
29	State employee health insurance adjustments	\$	24,535,800	\$ 54,943,400
30	Fund sources:			
31	State general fund		20,338,900	
32	Other appropriated funds	\$		\$ 9,398,200
33	The other appropriated funds may be alloc			
34	board of accountancy fund, acupuncture board			
35	administrative hearings fund, air permits adm			•
36	fund, antitrust enforcement revolving fund, bo			
37	arts trust fund, Arizona health care cost conta			
38	automated fingerprint identification fund, aut			•
39	aviation fund, board of barbers fund, board o			
40	fund, bond fund, capital outlay stabilization			•
41	fund, child fatality review team fund,			enforcement
42	administration fund, children's health insur			
43	chiropractic examiners fund, citrus, fruit a		-	
44	collection enforcement revolving fund, comme			•
45	commission fund, commercial feed fund, communi			
46	confidential intermediary and private fiduo	:1 a	ry tund, con	sulting and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

training fund, consumer fraud revolving fund, cooperative forestry fund, corrections fund, board of cosmetology fund, crime laboratory assessment fund, criminal justice enhancement fund, county fair racing fund, court appointed special advocate fund, defensive driving fund, dental board fund, Arizona deoxyribonucleic acid identification fund, board of dispensing opticians fund, drug and gang prevention resource center fund, state education fund for committed youth, state education fund for correctional education, egg inspection fund, emergency medical services operating fund, inspection fund, environmental laboratory licensure fund, environmental special plate fund, estate and unclaimed property fund, Arizona exposition and state fair fund, federal child care and development fund block grant, federal surplus materials revolving fund, federal temporary assistance for needy families block grant, fertilizer materials fund, board of fingerprinting fund, board of funeral directors and embalmers fund, game and fish fund, game, nongame, fish and endangered species fund, hazardous waste fund, state highway fund, Arizona highway patrol fund, highway user revenue fund, board of homeopathic medical examiners fund, housing trust fund, DHS indirect cost fund, ADEQ indirect cost recovery fund, industrial commission administrative fund, information technology fund, interagency service agreements fund, intergovernmental agreements and grants, investment management regulatory and enforcement fund, judicial collection enhancement fund, lease-purchase building operating and maintenance fund, liability set-off fund, long term care system fund, long-term disability administration account, state lottery fund, board of medical examiners fund, the miners' hospital for disabled miners land fund, motor vehicle liability insurance enforcement fund, motor vehicle pool revolving fund, naturopathic physicians board of medical examiners fund, newborn screening program fund, board of nursing fund, nursing care institution administrators' licensing and assisted living facility managers' certification fund, occupational therapy fund, oil overcharge fund, board of optometry fund, board of osteopathic examiners fund, state parks enhancement fund, personnel division fund, pesticide fund, board of pharmacy fund, board of physical therapy fund, podiatry fund, postsecondary education fund, board for private postsecondary education fund, Arizona protected native plant fund, board of psychologist examiners fund, public access fund, public assistance collections fund, racing administration fund, state radiologic technologist certification fund, records services fund, recycling fund, registrar of contractors fund, reservation surcharge revolving fund, residential utility consumer office revolving fund, board of respiratory care examiners fund, state retirement system administration revolving fund, risk management safety enforcement transportation infrastructure fund, securities regulatory and enforcement fund, seed law fund, solid waste fee fund, special administration fund, special employee health insurance trust fund, special services revolving fund, spinal and head injuries trust fund, state aid to the courts fund, Arizona state hospital fund, state surplus materials revolving fund, structural pest control commission fund, substance abuse services fund,

teacher certification fund, technical registration fund, technology and telecommunication fund, telecommunication fund for the deaf, tobacco tax and health care fund, transportation department equipment fund, tribal state compact fund, used oil fund, utility regulation revolving fund, vehicle inspection and title enforcement fund, state veterans' conservatorship fund, state home for veterans' trust fund, veterinary medical examining board fund, victims' rights fund, watercraft licensing fund, water quality fee fund, and workforce investment act grant.

Salary adjustments

The salary adjustments include personal services and employee related expenditures for state officers and employees in accordance with the department's or agency's compensation plan, except as otherwise provided by this act.

For fiscal year 2001-2002, the joint legislative budget committee staff shall determine and the department of administration shall allocate to each agency or department an amount sufficient to increase the annual salary level of each employee by the greater of \$1,500 or five per cent, effective April 1, 2002. The \$1,500 minimum shall apply to less than full-time employees on a prorated basis.

For fiscal year 2002-2003, the joint legislative budget committee staff shall determine and the department of administration shall allocate to each agency or department an amount sufficient to increase the annual salary level of each employee by the greater of \$1,500 or five per cent above the fiscal year 2001-2002 personal services base effective April 1, 2003. The \$1,500 minimum shall apply to less than full-time employees on a prorated basis.

For fiscal year 2002-2003, the joint legislative budget committee staff shall determine and the department of administration shall allocate to each agency or department an amount to annualize the salary adjustments for fiscal year 2001-2002.

The joint legislative budget committee staff shall also determine and the department of administration shall allocate adjustments, as necessary, in total expenditure authority to allow implementation of salary adjustments of appropriate amounts.

Correctional officers who are eligible for the correctional officer pay plan within the state department of corrections, youth correctional officers who are eligible for the youth correctional officer pay plan within the department of juvenile corrections, employees of the Arizona state schools for the deaf and blind, board and commission members who are paid on a per diem basis, agency heads and who are appointed for a fixed term of office, and employees that are otherwise noted in this act are not eligible for the five per cent or \$1,500 general salary adjustments.

For fiscal year 2001-2002, each correctional officer shall receive a salary adjustment in their annual salary level of \$2,500 for the period April 1, 2002 through June 30, 2002.

For fiscal year 2002-2003, each correctional office shall receive a salary adjustment in their annual salary level of \$1,500 for the period April

- 109 -

1, 2003 through June 30, 2003. The pay adjustment includes an amount sufficient to annualize the salary adjustments for fiscal year 2001-2002.

For fiscal year 2001-2002, each youth correctional officer shall receive a salary adjustment in their annual salary level of \$2,500 for the period April 1, 2002 through June 30, 2002.

For fiscal year 2002-2003, each youth correctional officer shall receive a salary adjustment in their annual salary level of \$1,500 for the period April 1, 2003 through June 30, 2003. The pay adjustment includes an amount sufficient to annualize the salary adjustments for fiscal year 2001-2002.

Classification maintenance review annualization adjustments

The amounts appropriated for classification maintenance review annualization adjustments shall be for positions that received a classification maintenance review adjustment in the Arizona department of administration personnel system on January 1, 2001. The joint legislative budget committee staff shall determine and the department of administration shall allocate to each agency or department the appropriate amounts to annualize the salary increases for these positions.

The joint legislative budget committee staff shall also determine and the department of administration shall allocate adjustments, as necessary, in total expenditure authority to allow implementation of classification maintenance review annualization adjustments of appropriate amounts.

Board of regents market salary adjustments

The amounts appropriated for the board of regents market salary adjustments shall be for adjustments to the salary levels of university positions identified by the board of regents. On or before July 31, 2001 and July 31, 2002, the board of regents shall report their plan for the allocation of monies to the universities in fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003, respectively, to the joint legislative budget committee for its review. On or before July 31, 2002 and July 31, 2003, the board of regents shall report on the expenditure of monies allocated to universities in the preceding fiscal year to the joint legislative budget committee for its review.

<u>Community treatment program provider rate adjustments</u>

For fiscal year 2001-2002, the joint legislative budget committee staff shall determine and the department of administration shall allocate to the department of economic security, the department of health services, the department of juvenile corrections, and the superior court amounts sufficient to increase contracted community treatment provider disbursements by five per cent for the period April 1, 2002 through June 30, 2002, except that increases paid through the developmental disabilities and long-term care system fund cost centers in the department of economic security shall be effective January 1, 2002 through June 30, 2002.

For fiscal year 2002-2003, the joint legislative budget committee staff shall determine and the department of administration shall allocate to the department of economic security, the department of health services, the

- 110 -

department of juvenile corrections, and the superior court amounts sufficient to increase contracted community treatment provider disbursements by five per cent for the period April 1, 2003 through June 30, 2003, except that increases paid through the developmental disabilities and long-term care system fund cost centers in the department of economic security shall be effective January 1, 2003 through June 30, 2003.

For fiscal year 2002-2003, the joint legislative budget committee staff shall determine and the department of administration shall allocate to each agency or department an amount to annualize the provider adjustments for fiscal year 2001-2002.

The joint legislative budget committee staff shall also determine and the department of administration shall allocate adjustments, as necessary, in total expenditure authority to allow implementation of community treatment provider adjustments of appropriate amounts.

The joint legislative budget committee staff shall determine the allocation of adjustments between agencies and within each agency and present that allocation to the joint legislative budget committee for its review prior to November 1, 2001 and November 1, 2002.

Each agency receiving funds under this section shall provide joint legislative budget committee staff an implementation plan for the adjustment by September 1, 2001 and September 1, 2002.

It is the intent of the legislature that each agency or department distribute one hundred per cent of the increase to contracted community treatment providers.

It is the intent of the legislature that the adjustment in this section be incorporated into current contracted rates. Since this increase in the contracted rate would not be competitively procured, the adjustment in this section is exempt from the provisions of Arizona Revised Statutes, title 41, chapter 23, related to procurement.

It is the intent of the legislature that if funds appropriated for the adjustment or associated non-appropriated funds are insufficient for the five per cent increase in either year, agencies shall ratably reduce the increase to match the appropriated level. Ratable reductions are to be allocated evenly within each agency division. Agencies shall report plans for ratable reductions in the September 1 reports required above.

It is the intent of the legislature that agencies allocate funds in this section as a flat percentage increase across the total dollar value of all contracts in eligible categories.

It is the intent of the legislature that monies for the adjustment effective as of January 1 or April 1, 2002 be allocated only to providers with contracts for eligible services in effect as of July 1, 2001. It is the intent of the legislature that monies for the adjustment effective as of January 1 or April 1, 2003 be allocated only to providers with contracts for eligible services in effect as of July 1, 2002.

It is the intent of the legislature that independent providers are eligible for these increases.

- 111 -

It is the intent of the legislature that community treatment providers allocate the adjustments for salary increases to direct care staff who provide direct care services for more than eighty per cent of their time weekly and who earn less than thirteen dollars per hour.

It is the intent of the legislature that these funds be spent only for ongoing pay adjustments and salary-related employee related expenses such as workers' compensation, unemployment insurance, and FICA.

Each contract provider receiving a rate adjustment under this section shall report to agencies by June 1, 2002 and June 1, 2003 on how the adjustment was used. Each agency shall summarize this information and report it to the joint legislative budget committee by July 1, 2002 and July 1, 2003.

Attorney general salary adjustments

The amounts appropriated for attorney general salary adjustments shall be for salary increases of assistant attorney general positions that are funded through contract agreements with other state agencies or departments. On or before May 1, 2001, the attorney general's office shall report the other appropriated funds allocation by agency to the joint legislative budget committee staff. The department of administration shall allocate to each agency or department as determined by the joint legislative budget committee staff. The joint legislative budget committee staff shall also determine and the department of administration shall allocate adjustments, as necessary, in total expenditure authority to allow implementation of attorney general salary adjustments.

Pro rata share contribution adjustments

The amounts appropriated for pro rata share contribution adjustments shall be for increases in agency and department pro rata share contributions to the personnel division fund. For fiscal year 2001-2002, the joint legislative budget committee staff shall determine and the department of administration shall allocate to each agency or department an amount sufficient to increase the pro rata share contribution to 0.95 per cent of the agency's or department's personal services base.

For fiscal year 2002-2003, the joint legislative budget committee staff shall determine and the department of administration shall allocate to each agency or department an amount sufficient to increase the pro rata share contribution to 1.04 per cent of the agency's or department's personal services base.

The joint legislative budget committee staff shall also determine and the department of administration shall allocate adjustments, as necessary, in total expenditure authority to allow implementation of pro rata share contribution adjustments.

State-owned space rental adjustments

The amounts appropriated for state-owned space rental adjustments shall be for increases in agency and department rental rates paid to the capital outlay stabilization fund. For fiscal year 2001-2002, the joint legislative budget committee staff shall determine and the department of administration

- 112 -

shall allocate to each agency or department an amount sufficient to increase the square foot rental rates to \$15.00 for office space, and \$5.50 for storage space.

For fiscal year 2002-2003, the joint legislative budget committee staff shall determine and the department of administration shall allocate to each agency or department an amount sufficient to increase the square foot rental rates to \$15.50 for office space, and \$6.00 for storage space.

The joint legislative budget committee staff shall also determine and the department of administration shall allocate adjustments, as necessary, in total expenditure authority to allow implementation of state-owned space rental adjustments.

State employee health insurance adjustments

The amount appropriated for state employee health insurance adjustments shall be for increases in the employer share of state employee health insurance premiums. The joint legislative budget committee staff shall determine and the department of administration shall allocate to each agency's or department's employee related expenditures an amount sufficient for the employer share of the employee health insurance increase.

The joint legislative budget committee staff shall also determine and the department of administration shall allocate adjustments, as necessary, in total expenditure authority to allow implementation of state employee health insurance adjustments.

Sec. 110. Definition

For the purposes of this act, "*" means this appropriation is a continuing appropriation and is exempt from the provisions of section 35-190, Arizona Revised Statutes, relating to lapsing of appropriations.

Sec. 111. <u>Definition</u>

For the purposes of this act, "**" means this appropriation is available for use pursuant to the provisions of section 35-143.01, subsection C, Arizona Revised Statutes, and is exempt from the provisions of section 35-190, Arizona Revised Statutes, relating to lapsing of appropriations until June 30, 2003.

Sec. 112. Legislative intent; expenditure reporting

It is the intent of the legislature that all departments, agencies or budget units receiving lump sum appropriations under the terms of this act shall continue to report actual, estimated and requested expenditures by budget programs and budget classes in a format that is similar to the budget programs and budget classes used for budgetary purposes in prior years. A different format may be used if deemed necessary to implement the provisions of section 35-113, Arizona Revised Statutes, agreed to by the director of the joint legislative budget committee, and incorporated into the budget preparation instructions promulgated by the governor's office of strategic planning and budgeting pursuant to section 35-112, Arizona Revised Statutes.

- 113 -

Sec. 113. FTE positions: reporting

Full-time equivalent (FTE) positions contained in this act are subject to appropriation. The director of the department of administration shall account for the use of all appropriated FTE positions excluding those in the department of economic security, the universities and the department of environmental quality. The director shall submit fiscal year 2001-2002 reports by February 1, 2002 and August 1, 2002 to the director of the joint The director shall submit fiscal year legislative budget committee. 2002-2003 reports by February 1, 2003 and August 1, 2003 to the director of the joint legislative budget committee. The reports shall compare the level of FTE usage in each fiscal year to the appropriated level. The director of the department of administration shall notify the director of each budget unit if the budget unit has exceeded its number of appropriated FTE positions. The above excluded agencies shall each report to the director of the joint legislative budget committee in a manner comparable to the department of administration reporting.

Sec. 114. <u>Interim reporting requirements</u>

A. The executive branch shall provide to the joint legislative budget committee a preliminary estimate of the fiscal year 2000-2001 state general fund ending balance by September 15, 2001. The preliminary estimates of the fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003 state general fund ending balances shall be provided by September 15 following the end of each respective fiscal year. These estimates shall include projections of total revenues, total expenditures and ending balance. The department of administration shall continue to provide the final report for the fiscal year in its annual financial report pursuant to section 35-131, Arizona Revised Statutes.

B. Based on the information provided by the executive branch, the staff of the joint legislative budget committee shall report to the joint legislative budget committee by October 15 of 2001, 2002 and 2003 as to whether that fiscal year's revenues and ending balance are expected to change by more than \$50,000,000 from the budgeted projections. The executive branch may also provide its own estimates to the joint legislative budget committee by October 15 of each year.

Sec. 115. <u>Transfer of spending authority</u>

The department of administration shall report monthly to the director of the joint legislative budget committee staff on any transfers of spending authority made pursuant to section 35-173, subsection C, Arizona Revised Statutes, during the prior month.

Sec. 116. <u>Definition</u>

For purposes of this act, "review by the joint legislative budget committee" means a review by a vote of a majority of a quorum of the members.

- 114 -

Sec. 117. New position holding account

A. The joint legislative budget committee staff shall determine and the department of administration shall transfer from each department or agency, the amount of new state general fund monies received in this act for new FTE positions in fiscal year 2001-2002 to a new position holding account in the state general fund. The new position holding account shall be administered by the department of administration. Upon hire of the new FTE position, the department or agency shall notify the department of administration, who shall then allocate an amount to the department or agency to fully fund the position for the remainder of the fiscal year. Any monies remaining in the new position holding account at the end of fiscal year 2001-2002 shall revert to the state general fund.

B. The joint legislative budget committee staff shall determine and the department of administration shall transfer from each department or agency, the amount of new state general fund monies received in this act for new FTE positions in fiscal year 2002-2003 to a new position holding account in the state general fund. The new position holding account shall be administered by the department of administration. Upon hire of the new FTE position, the department or agency shall notify the department of administration, who shall then allocate an amount to the department or agency to fully fund the position for the remainder of the fiscal year. Any monies remaining in the new position holding account at the end of fiscal year 2002-2003 shall revert to the state general fund.

<u>C. These provisions shall not apply to new positions in the state universities.</u>

APPROVED BY THE GOVERNOR APRIL 24, 2001.

FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF STATE APRIL 24, 2001.

- 115 -